		В.	Are potential counseling, as feasible:	and given	s employees opportunity	given prel for retrai	iminary notic	ce, provided reassignment	d t
ILLEG	IB			yes		no			
		С.	Have all emptheir rights	oloyees bee under the	n made aware m?	of the su	rplus procedu	ires and of	
				yes		no			
	XVI.	OBJ	ECTIVE: Esta	ablish a un	iform grieva	nce proced	ure within th	ne Directora	ate.
		Α.	Does the Car non-EEO issu		e have a for	mal grieva	nce procedure	to handle	
				yes		no			
		В.			e grievance as a federa		ensure that t ?	the employee	9
				yes		no			
		c.					anticipate ar through the		for
				yes		no			
		D.	Has the Care grievance p		promulgated	amplifyin	g instruction	ns on its	
				yes		no			
	PR0	BLEM	AREAS						
			escribe any d ersonnel appi		ou have enco	untered in	implementing	, the new	
	NOT	ES							
			his space is o the 16 obje		for explaini	ng, as des	ired, any ans	wer relatir	ng

		· ·				
:	B. Have	e all true manage ments been ident	gerial positions tified?	and their most s	ignificant fo	unctiona
!		yes		no		
IIX	. OBJECTIV and rota	E: Provide pol tional tours.	icy to facilita	te inter-Directora	ite transfers	3
1	A. Has	the Career Serv	ice publicized a	a policy to ensure such assignments	that employ, if qualifi	vees ed?
:		yes		no		
	B. Has y assig	your PDP involve	ed the use of in	ter-Career Servic	e developmen	tal
		L yes	P	no		
	C. Has t mutua	the Career Servi	ice negotiated w / positions to b	ith other Career : e used for develo	Services in opposite purpo	order oses?
	•	yes		no		
XIII.	OBJECTIVE honor and	: Establish pr merit awards.	rocedures and pro	ovide guidance for	recommendir	ng
LEGIB	A. Has t for s	he Career Servi uch recommendat	ce promulgated a	emplifying guideli	nes on polic	; y
		yes		no		
	B. Where honors	applicable does and awards in	s the Career Ser itated by Career	vice review recom Sub-Groups?	mendations f	or
		yes		no		
XIV.	OBJECTIVE: in Persona	Provide a sys I Rank Assignme	stem for the ann	ual review of sup- orrective action w	ergrade perso when needed.	onnel
	Does the S	enior Personnel		d or other designe		9
		yes		no		
XV.	OBJECTIVE: counseling of surplus	Develop proce, consideration status.	dures to handle for retraining	potential surplus and/or reassignme	cases, inclent, and noti	uding fication
	A. Have spending	pecific procedu ees?	res been develop	ed to identify po	tential surp	lus
		yes		no		

	IX.	OBJECTIVE: Establish Directorate standards for selecting candidates to attend senior schools or coursesgiving consideration to how the trainees will be utilized afterwards.	•
ILLEGIB		A. Have Directorate-wide standards for senior schools been established?	
		yes	
		B. Is the selection of candidates based upon the PDP?	
		yes no	
		C. Are employees notified of the basis for selection?	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	4.5.	D. Are the post-training assignments of attendees to senior schools identified and made known to the employee before training is undertaken?	
	(J)5-	never seldom usually always.	, P
	Х.	OBJECTIVE: Establish Directorate policy and standards for approving external full-time and part-time training	
		A. Has the Career Service established policy and standards for approving external training?	
		yes no	
		B. At what level is approval for such training?	
		Division Office Career Service Branch	
		C. Have Career Service guidelines been promulgated?	
		yes no	ILLEGIB
		D. Is external training approval meshed with PDP?	
ILLEGIB		yes no	
		E. Do Evaluation boards/panels make training recommendations or indicate training deficiencies to supervisors?	
		yes no	
	XI.	OBJECTIVE: Establish minimum training standards for managerial positions and for occupational positions and groups when training is considered significant for job performance and employee development.	
		A. Has the Career Service initiated action prepare to developmental profiles where appropriate (PDP)?	
		Approved For Release 2001/08/09 : CIA-RDP78-05343A000100100008-8	

	C	Have members of counselors they assistance and c	the Career Service could go to on the Juidance?	e been told eir own init	the names tiative to	seek job
		yes		no		no yel
	D	. Are low-ranking	employees receivin	g counselin	a?	
		yes		no		
	E	Does the Career of employees on	Service counseling a systematic basis	program pro?	ovide for	the counseling
		yes		no		
	F.	Have those person training for tha	ns designated as "d t role?	counselors"	been giver	any specific
		yes		no		
	G.	Do the Career Ser	vice Sub-Groups ha	ve a separa	te counsel	ing program?
VIII.	OB gra imp	JECTIVE: Organize ade, function, progolement the personn	el policy guidance	s and instri	eir member uctions of	s, will the Directorate
	Α.	Have "groups" hee	n organized within uld implement the	46. o	_	
		yes		no		
	В.	Have the former of career sub-groups?	ffice-level career	services be	en designa	ted as
•		yes		no	not	applicable
	C.	Have any non-offic sequent to the app	e level "Career Su roval of this obje	b-Groups" b	een organi	zed sub-
		yes		no		
	D.	If not, does the C such "Sub-Groups"?	areer Service anti	cipate the d	organizati	on of any
		yes		no		
	Ε,	If yes, specify the	e kind of Sub-Group	contemplat	ted.	

ILLEGIB

	В.	Are the the inf	results ormation	reported in makin	so that the b g decisions r	Deputy (elative	or equivale to his APP	ent) may u and PDP?	se
			yes	;		no no			
٧.		ECTIVE:		sh Direct	orate personn	el objec	tives (thro	ough MBO,	
	Α.	The Car	reer Serv		xplicit perso		agement ob;	jectives.	
			L			no			
	В.		ivalent)	prior to	objectives a promulgation		wed by the	Deputy	
			yes yes	i		no	•		
	С.		s in mee (equival		ctives is mon	itored p	eriodically	y by the	
			yes	i		no			
VI.	OBJ sup	DECTIVE: pergrade	Establi personne	sh a prog	ram and crite Career Servic	ria for e level.	the career	managemen	ıt of
	Α.	Special agement	criteri of supe	a have be rgrade pe	en establishe rsonnel.	d for th	e evaluatio	on and man	-
			yes	•		no			
	В.	Supergr	ade rank	ings are	consolidated	at the C	areer Serv	ice level.	
			yes			no			
	C.	In conj are pro	unction vided ca	with the reer coun	PDP, supergrad	es and c acement.	andidate su	upergrades	
			yes	;		no			
	D.				rocedures for s both within				
			yes	;		no			
VII.		JECTIVE:		a Directo	rate-wide cou	nseling	program	and a vis	ible
	Α.	Has a f	formal Ca	reer Serv	ice-wide coun	seling p	rogram bee	n institut	ed?
			yes	;		no			
	В.	Has the		Service i	nformed its e	mployees	about its	counselin	ıg
			yes	.		no			
		Δn	nroyed Fo	r Release 2	001/08/09 · CIA-	RDP78_05	34340001001	100008-8	

	٠	б.	How often are	evaluations cond	ucted?		
			Annua	lly	Semiann	nually	
		7.	Have employee:	s been notified w	ho their evalua	iting officers are?	
			yes		no		
		8.	Do the panels performance, a	use rating scale:	s for evaluation	n of qualifications,	
			yes		no		
III.	tha	t wil	/E: Provide the lidentify emp I identify emp I between.	ne evaluation pand ployees with the N	els with unifor nighest and lea	m ranking criteria st potential and	
	Α.	Has prom	the Career Ser	rvice identified u	uniform ranking oughout the Car	criteria (not eer Service?	
			yes		☐ no		
	В.	Has crit	the Career Ser eria used to r	rvice provided for rank employees to	the systemati verify their v	c study of the alidity?	
			yes		no.		
	C.	Does to m	the Career Se easure employe	rvice have expres e career potentia	sly stated cri	teria designed	
	**	-	yes		no		
7	D.	Has cons	the Career Ser titutes "the l	vice established owest ranking emp	a definition e	xplaining what	
	S. Barrell State		yes		no		
	Ε.	Has havi	the Career Ser ng the lowest	vice established ranking will have	a procedure to this fact made	ensure that "those e known to them"?	LEGIB
			yes		no	per forman in cool	LEGID
	F.		employee ranki	oups are involved ng technique and	does the Care procedure used	er Service review by each of the Sub-	
			yes		no	not applicable	
IV.		ECTIV iviti	E: Provide for es and results	r periodic review ·	by the Deputy	of evaluation	•
	Α.	Proce	edures have beduation activit	en established to ies.	give the Deput	ty a report of	•
		Арр	noved FortReleas	± 2001/08/09/a CIA-R	DP78505343A0001	19010000808 arterly	

			Approved For Release 2	001/08/09 : CIA-RDP /6-05343A000100100008-8
II.	to	sonne	uct, at least annually, el.	oriate panel structure and procedure the evaluation and ranking of professional
-	Α.	Has ranl	the Career Service est k all professional pers	ablished boards/panels to evaluate and onnel?
			yes	no
		1.	If not, has the Career establishment of such	Service set a target date for the panels?
			yes	no
		2.	anch amployed is prope	reviewed its membership to ensure that rly identified according to sub-category ional, technical, or clerical?
			yes	no
		3.	technical and clerical	established panels to evaluate and rank employees?
			yes (technical)	no (technical) \square yes (clerical) \square no (clerical)
		4.	If not, does the Caree for the evaluation and	er Service anticipate providing a structure I ranking of such employees?
		گود _و	1 / yes	no
	В.	In fol	lowing questions.	valuation procedures, please answer the
		1.	Do the evaluation boamade by supervisors?	rds/panels review promotion recommendations
			yes	no
		2.	Do they make promotio	n recommendations?
			yes	no
		3.	Does inadequate time- consideration for prom	in-grade make an employee ineligible for otion?
			yes	no
		4.	What is the term of o	ffice of panel members?
			V e vier	No. of years.
		5.	Is it required that p field of employees be	anel members be of higher grade than the ing evaluated?
			Approved For Release 2	001/08/09 : CIA-RDP78-05343A000100100008-8

•	*	Approved For Relea 2001/08/09 : CIA-RD	
		SURVEY OF PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTING T	HE NEW PERSONNEL APPROACHES*
		Career Service	
_			W. Company
Ι.	OBJ	ECTIVE: Develop and disseminate unifo	rm promotion criteria.
	Α.	Does the Career Service presently hav	e uniform promotion criteria?
		yes	V no
		1. If yes, how long has it had them?	
		weeks mo	nths years (Underline one)
~ e	C,	2. If no, has a target date been set ment of uniform promotion criteri	for completing the develop- a?
1		yes	no
		3. Where Career Sub-Groups are invol reviewed the promotion criteria o	
		yes	I no
		 Where Career Sub-Groups are invol- determined what, if any, promotion modification or exception by all 	n criteria must be used without sub-groups?
		yes	no was
	В.	Has the Career Service examined and repromotion policies and criteria?	eaffirmed the value of its
		yes	□ no ?
	С.	Have all Career Service and (as applicate members been given appropriate promotinformation?	cable) Career Sub-Group board/panel ion policy and promotion criteria
		yes	no
		Have all members of the Career Service Career Service and (as applicable) Carand promotion criteria as it applies to occupational category?	reer Sub-Group promotion policies
		yes	_ no

Response to questions should reflect the Career Service situation as of 30 September 1974.