

SECRET

DD/S 69-4156

IPC-Projects
SPECLE

8 SEP 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Security

SUBJECT : SPECLE

- REFERENCE :
1. Memorandum for ExDir-Comptroller thru DD/S from D/Security dated 8 November 1968, subject: Consolidation of Compartmented Intelligence Clearance Records and Adaptation of Remote Access to SPECLE Compartmented Clearance Index (DD/S 68-5597)
 2. Memorandum for D/Security from ADD/S dated 13 December 1968, same subject (DD/S 68-6178)
 3. Memorandum for ADD/S thru C/SSS/DDS from D/Security dated 29 January 1969, same subject
 4. Memorandum for ADD/S from D/SIPS Task Force dated 24 June 1969, subject: SPECLE
 5. Memorandum for Chief, MSD/OCS from DD/Security/PS dated 17 July 1969, subject: Remote Access Terminal Exchange
 6. Memorandum to ADD/S from D/SIPS Task Force dated 21 August 1969, subject: Consolidation and Improvement of Compartmented Clearance Indices (DD/S 69-3941)

1. The reference exchanges of correspondence together with information gleaned from several meetings and discussions lead me to conclude that it would be worthwhile to proceed with your proposal to consolidate the compartmented intelligence clearance records and to install remote terminal devices in selected locations. Your request is approved, therefore, with the understanding that:

a. No additional positions or people will be required in any of the locations. If such a requirement should develop it will be submitted to the DD/S for approval with complete explanation and justification before any re-programming of resources is done in the Office of Security.

b. The installation of individual terminals will be reviewed in terms of the Data Management Center concept being developed as a part of the SIPS project. If this concept

8820000

844100100

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

SECRET

SECRET

proves to be feasible, operationally efficient, and more economical than individual terminals scattered about in several locations a Data Management Center may be installed to satisfy all of the requirements of the Office of Security for data communication with the OCS Computer Center. Office of Security systems will be reviewed periodically with this in mind as the Data Management Center concept evolves.

c. After the system has been in operation for about one year, in January 1971, you will conduct a thorough review of the system in collaboration with the DD/S Information Processing Coordinator to evaluate its effectiveness from an operational as well as cost standpoint.

2. The installation of terminals is approved as follows:

a. 2 IBM 2260 CRT terminals in Room 3E-49.

b. 1 IBM 2260 CRT terminal in Room 3F-29.

c. 1 IBM 2741 typewriter terminal in Room CE-05 to replace one IBM 2260 currently operating there in support of the SANCA and SPECLE Systems (Reference 5 above); this 2260 to be used to satisfy one of the three authorized above.

3. It is understood that the costs of the new system are limited to one-time development and installation charges and consist of \$3,500 for line installation and about \$12,000 for programming and related computer development. These costs will be paid from funds of the Support Services Staff. Terminal rentals for the balance of FY 70 will also be paid by the Support Services Staff. The Support Services Staff has budgeted funds to cover these costs in ensuing years and funding will be arranged consistent with Agency policy governing this type of installation at that time.

(Signed) John W. Coffey
John W. Coffey
Assistant Deputy Director
for Support

DDS/SSS/RHW:jms/lja (28 August 1969)

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Adse
1 - D/SIPS Task Force
1 - SSS
1 - DD/S Subject
1 - DD/S Chrono

2

SECRET

SPECLE

CONFIDENTIAL

5 August 1969

Mr. Coffey:

1. My inclination is to say we should go ahead with the SPECLE system but this may be wavering resistance as much as it is conviction that this really is the right thing to do. We have a lot of information spread through several papers but it is extremely difficult to make it into a cohesive package. Even if you decide to authorize them to proceed after our meeting Wednesday afternoon, I wonder if it wouldn't be worthwhile for the sake of record to ask Security to pull the whole thing together in one document, perhaps following the attached outline.

Some specific questions that you may want to have clarified follow.

25X1A
2. Regarding [redacted] memo 24 June 1969 25X1A

Para 1. What are the tangible benefits? [redacted] memo shows savings totalling about \$1400 a month but the basis for the figures isn't identified. Are these dollars estimated on the basis of clerical manhours saved? One cost item shows \$954 saved by elimination of the need for additional clericals. How real is this?

Para 2. What is meant by "a significant reduction in the number of hard copy reports"? How many are being produced now and how many will be produced in the new system? Are we talking about a reduction in reports, or only numbers of copies, or both?
How much overtime is being worked? How much will the elimination of overtime save in man-hours and dollars?

How does microfilming relate to benefits to be derived from the terminals? What system advantages accrue from microfilming? Is there, or will there be a separate proposal on this system? With whom has it been coordinated? Is it being considered only to gain space? How do the system advantages offset the cost of microfilming? Who would do the microfilming?

2820000


CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

What will be gained by substituting wall storage for floor storage? How much space will be gained? How will it be used?

Has this been coordinated with the Records Management people? What will wall storage equipment cost? How does this offset against cost of equipment to be turned in? Does this imply the retention of hard copy files for manual search? Why is this necessary? How long will duplicate systems be maintained?

25X1A

 memo says "we can proceed with further development rapidly with few resources". What does that mean? What resources will be required between now and the "scheduled completion" in December - 6, 12, 18, 24 man months? Does the slippage in main line Human Resources systems equate to that amount of time? How does the pay off for implementing SPECLE balance against the pay off for implementing any of the other Human Resources subsystems in Agency terms?

3. Some of these questions have persisted from the beginning, but most of the questions we have raised have been satisfactorily answered. Perhaps if we had an oral description of how the system will work and what the real pay off will be it would help to put all of the pieces in place. Then, with the answers to the questions you may choose to ask I think I would be satisfied to recommend that you authorize them to proceed.

25X1A



DDS/SSS/RHW:jms (5 August 1969)

Distribution:

- Orig - Adse
- 1 - SSS Subject
- 1 - SSS Chrono

0000282

0010148

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

17 JUL 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Management Support Division/OCS
THROUGH : Chief, Support Services Staff/DDS
SUBJECT : Remote Access Terminal Exchange

1. The Security Records and Communications Division, Office of Security presently utilizes three (3) IBM 2260 CRT terminals for processing priority requests for search of the SANCA index. The Division's experience with on-line terminals indicates a high percentage of daily use averaging 1,500 searches per week. Of this number, approximately 25 per cent involve all trace searches resulting in considerable output manually transcribed from the 2260 terminal.

2. In an effort to provide this office with a more expeditious means of recording all trace search output, we request that one (1) IBM 2260 CRT terminal located in Room GE-05, Headquarters Building be replaced with an IBM 2741 typewriter terminal. The latter will provide hard copy output and will thus enable us to service customer requirements entirely by computer.

3. It is our understanding that the IBM 2741 is less costly and more practical than the IBM 1053 printer and also does not interfere with other terminals within the area.

4. It should be noted that this office has requested three (3) additional 2260 terminals for access to the SPECLE index and we anticipate utilizing the 2741 for SPECLE hard copy requirements as well.

5. Should you have need for additional information concerning this request, please contact [redacted] on extension 7338 or [redacted] on extension 5102.

[redacted]
Deputy Director of Security
for Personnel Security

Distribution:
Orig. & 1 - Addressee
1 - C/SSS/DDS

7820000

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

24 June 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR: Assistant Deputy Director for Support

SUBJECT : SPECLE

REFERENCES : Memo from D/OS to ED/Comptroller dated 8 November 1968
Memo from DC/MSD to C/MSD, dated 24 June 1969, Same subject

1. Members of the SIPS Task Force have studied the Office of Security request for on-line terminal devices for use with the Special Clearance Files (SPECLE). Their study indicated that the tangible benefits warrant proceeding with the design and implementation of an on-line SPECLE system. They also conclude that the system is feasible and practical from an OCS point of view.

2. Benefits foreseen are: a significant reduction in the number of hard copy reports, a stabilization of the manpower requirements in the Compartmented Information Branch to preclude the necessity for requesting additional manpower, and the elimination of overtime work. [Space requirements can be materially reduced by converting SPECLE source files to microfilm.] In the interim, floor storage devices can be exchanged for wall-type storage which will provide needed working space. The costs for computer support for the present and proposed systems are about the same. The estimated savings of the new system are \$1300 per month, offset by a terminal rental charge of \$300, leaving a net savings of \$1500 per month. A one-time charge of \$3500 for line installation is estimated.

3. SPECLE must be considered as a part of the Human Resources Systems. While detailed specific priorities for Human Resources Systems have not yet been developed, SPECLE is a known quantity and we

*What is significant - from how many to how many?
How does microfilm relate to reports to be derived from the terminals?
How much does it cost?
What savings accrue from PTI cabinets?
do replacement systems - how purchase cost?
duplicate backup systems - does it duplicate parallel computer system?*

*in volume - judgment? This D/S is judgment on the paper should be permit him to make it?
How much is needed and is several hundred and how much will this save in manhours and dollars?
What system advantages accrue from microfilm - is only to gain the posting plan - what the source to be made?*

CONFIDENTIAL

*What time for access?
- How many?*

can proceed with further development rapidly with few resources. We are some time away from developing an equal depth of detail for other Human Resources Systems and sub-systems. An intangible side benefit of the development of SPECLE will be the experience we acquire with an on-line application.

4. The Data Management Center idea is not fully developed and the Center would not be constructed in time to be of use for this system scheduled for completion in December 1969. The terminals could be placed on a temporary basis, pending systems decisions relating to the Center. To that end, funding the terminals with Task Force funds is suggested.

5. The number of terminals to be installed should be three, not four as proposed in the reference. Installation of a terminal for the Security Duty Office should be deferred until Emergency Locator, Badge, and Credential information is available on-line.

6. The tangible savings of the proposed system are not immense, but are significant. It is certain that the development of the SPECLE system will generate additional work-load over the next six months for our resources. It is also true that this same work will have to be done sooner or later in any event, so that the overall effect on schedules is negligible. It therefore seems to be a question of managerial judgment as to whether this is the proper time to expend these resources. The Chief of the Human Resources Team estimates no serious deadline slippage would occur if we were to proceed with SPECLE implementation. I recommend we do so.

*How much -
what slippage
will it cause
in SIPS/HRS
target dates.
Don't any
slippage
persons?*

25X1A

Director
SIPS Task Force

Distribution:

- Original + 1 - Addressee, w/attachments
- 1 - D/OCS, w/attachments
- 1 - HRS Team Leader. w/o attachments
- 1 - File, w/attachments
- 1 - Chrono

CONFIDENTIAL

SPECLE

NOV 68-5597

8 NOV 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director-Comptroller
THROUGH : Deputy Director for Support
SUBJECT : Consolidation of Compartmented
Intelligence Clearance Records
and Adaptation of Remote Access
to SPECLE Compartmented Clearance
Index

1. This memorandum is submitted for your approval. Such recommendation is contained in paragraph 10.

2. In a memorandum dated 10 July 1988, the Chief, Special Intelligence Staff (SPINT) proposed, for Office of Security consideration, the merger of three independent clearance record systems with the Special Clearance Evaluation (SPECLE) system maintained by the Compartmented Information Branch (CIB), Office of Security. This proposal was initiated in an effort to eliminate duplication of certain Special Intelligence (SI) clearance record keeping functions and to place other manual systems into computerized format. The specific indices are: the Community Special Intelligence contractor and consultant index maintained by the Executive Secretary, USIB; a listing of Agency personnel authorized access to select compartmented sub-series of SI maintained by the SPINT Staff; and the Automated Special Intelligence Clearance (ASPIC) system consisting of approximately 12,000 card records on Agency employees, contractors and consultants, and personnel of non-USIB member agencies, including the executive offices of the White House, who have been cleared by the Agency for SI. The latter system is maintained and utilized by the Special Intelligence Security Staff (SISS) for controlling visitor access to the Special Center and for insuring the operational and physical security of SI materials.

3. In acting upon this proposal, it has become evident that remote access to the SPECLE index is essential to the needs of the Agency and to extend to all users the full potential of the system. At the present time the SPECLE index records 14 separate clearances authorizing access to information controlled by three compartmented intelligence systems. Approximately 75,000 individual records are contained in the index and holdings are increasing at the rate of approximately 1,200 records

SECRET

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

per month. On a monthly average, CIB receives 5,000 telephone inquiries and over 800 action cables seeking information contained in these records. During the past fiscal year, maintenance of the system required over 70,000 record changes in some form or another.

4. A recent study, conducted by this office, has revealed that a fully automated SPECLE index, equipped with IBM 2260 remote access terminals, would not only improve the service provided by CIB to the Agency and the intelligence community, but, if extended to other offices such as SISS and the Security Duty Office, would offer opportunity to completely eliminate the present ASPIC system of record keeping which is actually a duplicate record of SI clearances listed in SPECLE.

5. The elimination of the ASPIC system does not in any way imply that this office intends to abrogate the authority or responsibilities vested in the SISS. They are professionally staffed to furnish support for the Special Center and specifically the CIA SIGINT officer and the Chief, SPINT. We recognize their need for accurate up-to-date clearance information in support of their mission. The installation of a remote access terminal in the SISS would permit that office to answer the daily inquiries of receptionists and guards using the SPECLE machine records rather than the manual card file. On an average over 2,700 visitors are processed through the Special Center each month. The greater majority of these require the receptionist to make telephonic contact with SISS to obtain clearance certification or special instructions concerning access control.

6. The adaptation of 2260 CRT remote access to SPECLE would provide the Agency with a single master clearance index to serve the needs of all users. Such a system has already been proven. The Office of Computer Services just recently adapted the system to remote random access search utilizing the SANCA CRT access method. Remote access tests have been conducted on existing CRT terminals installed in the Security Records and Communications Division proving the system to be a definite time savings device. With limited library changes only, all information necessary to meet the needs of the SISS mission can be provided in a single record. This would include information pertaining to compartmented SI sub-series, now maintained in a separate manual system.

7. In addition to providing a time saving device, a fully automated system would eliminate the need for key punch support now provided to ASPIC by OCS and drastically reduce the hard copy output necessary to support the current two systems. Further, the CIB storage requirement for hard copy card records would be reduced to provide much needed office space for the current staff, and the SISS manual record system can be destroyed.


8. As you will recall, one of the most significant advances in the field of automation within the Agency was the conversion of the Security index to machine language and a machine search capability otherwise known as project SANCA. Further advancement has provided SANCA with a remote access capability for processing priority search requests. Project SPECLE has similarly advanced to the point where remote access to these records will give the SPECLE user a three (3) second response to requests for verification of special clearances. With such a capability, it is now possible to extend the use of SANCA and SPECLE to the Security Duty Office. A single IBM 2260 CRT display installed in that office will greatly assist duty officers in handling the many queries received during non-duty and duty hours.

9. To adapt remote access to the SPECLE index is a relatively simple process insofar as programming is concerned. Both SANCA and SPECLE files have similar characteristics and can be accessed and updated using essentially the same access method. We feel compelled, therefore, to take advantage of this compatibility by progressing to the next logical step in the development and full utilization of computerized security systems. It is our understanding, however, that the Office of Computer Services has limited the number of IBM 2260 CRT terminals available for use within the Agency and that any additional requirements, which would exceed the current limit of 27, is subject to your approval.


10. In view of the many advantages offered by a fully automated SPECLE system, we recommend that you authorize the Office of Computer Services to complete the adaptation of remote access to the SPECLE index and that you approve the installation of four (4) IBM 2260 CRT terminals in the

following three (3) locations for access to the SPECLE index:
two (2) terminals in the Compartmented Information Branch
(Room 3E-49), one (1) each in the Special Intelligence Security
Staff (Room 3F-28) and the Security Duty Office (Room 1E-26).

25X1A



Howard J. Osborn
Director of Security

CONCUR:


Robert L. Bannerman
Deputy Director
for Support

(Date)

The recommendation in paragraph 10 is approved.


L. K. White
Executive Director-Comptroller

(Date)

Distribution:

- Orig. - Return to OS
- 1 - ER
- 2 - DD/S
- 1 - Chief, SSS/DDS

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2000/04/18 : CIA-RDP78-04723A000300080001-8

24 June 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Management Support Division, OCS

SUBJECT : SPECLE

1. In an effort to clarify the status of the SPECLE project and to formulate a true perspective regarding cost comparison, valid requirements, placement, etc., I have gathered together factual information from several of the papers prepared on this subject within the last year.

2. This memo also serves a dual purpose: to specifically answer questions that you have raised.

3. I should also like to clarify my personal position in this matter, to wit - I have no feelings one way or the other in regards to pride of ownership, etc. In fact I suffer mixed emotions in that I feel that we should go ahead with the project at the same time that I realize that it will generate additional work for our limited resources.

4. The following are questions which you have raised and the answers as I see them.

Q. What are the comparative costs of the proposed system vs the current system?

A. SAVINGS (Per Month)

\$ 338.00	Elimination of SPINT (ASPICS) Activities
106.00	" " USIB Activities
954.00	" " need for addn. clericals
100.00	" " need for addn. floor space
<u>315.00</u>	" " SPECLE Volume Reports
1813.00	Total
<u>- 400.00</u>	Terminals (monthly rental)
\$1413.00	Total savings Per Month

This monthly saving is offset by a one-time charge of \$4500.00 (maximum) for installation of the four (4) lines.

Approved For Release 2000/04/18 : CIA-RDP78-04723A000300080001-8

CONFIDENTIAL

PROPOSED

The cost of on-line TS operations is undetermined. The present system is run eight (8) or nine (9) times per month - plus the final month-end run. Runs other than the month-end consume 1-1½ hours of computer time. The month-end run consumes 2-4 hours of computer time. It is expected that computer costs to run in a TS environment would not appreciably increase or decrease. The major part of the system devoted to hard-copy requirements will continue to be serviced in a batch mode on other than the TS system.

- Q. What alternatives are there to on-line query?
- A. The current SPECLE system is the result of serious study of several existing computer techniques supporting the user through the use of generalized routines. The current Specle system is the best of alternative BATCH processes explored. At the time, there was no requirement for placing SPECLE on-line.
- Q. What valid requirements suggest on-line query as the recommended alternative?
- A. The current SPECLE system is growing at a greater rate than expected. There are 84,000 records in the current master file. This fact (as one consideration) begins to nudge one toward an evaluation of batch vs on-line if for no other reason than manual processes of storage, searching, and retrieval are taxing the capability of SR&CD to keep up. As the size of the file increases there is an increased ratio of query on the file.

SR&CD, in addition to authorizing regular O/T for CIB, has detailed personnel to CIB on a more-or-less continuous basis. But the workload within SR&CD has also increased and [REDACTED] has indicated to me that he is finding it increasingly difficult to make these detailed assignments. This, of course, impacts on CIB who, in addition to their regular duties of debriefing, outside communications, etc., are not keeping abreast of the

25X1A

25X1A workload. This has prompted [REDACTED] to look at the necessity
 of requesting two (2) clerks to back-stop CIB operations.
 25X1A [REDACTED] feels that two of CIB's people using the query
 approach could handle the load, relieving two or three
 others to continue the remainder of Branch activities
 which obviates the necessity for an increase in T/O.

Q. What impact does this priority have on other Human Resources assignment, tasks, and targets?

A. SPECLE is not the number one priority. The request to structure SPECLE in a query mode was made before the HRS took definite shape. Tab D of the Human Resources Systems Action Paper addresses the fact that work on a new SPECLE system was anticipated.

There is a judgement factor exercised by MSD when faced with multiple tasks. One is faced with deciding which are short-term tasks and which are long-term tasks. Hopefully short-term tasks can be approached and implemented without serious affect on long-term requirements. This was the case in evaluating the new SPECLE requirement. It falls within the framework of a short-term task. It is possible to implement SPECLE well in advance of the Manpower Control System without having a serious affect on either.

Q. What evidence is there that the installation of two terminals in CIB will provide relief for the space problem?

A. The request for two terminals is not aimed specifically at the space problem although there is some trade off implied. The solution to the space problem becomes a byproduct of the new design. If CIB is machine supported, it then becomes unnecessary to have a floor filing system in order to answer the 200-300 inquiries they receive daily. SR&CD looks on the filing problem as being resolved in two (2) phases. In Phase I the floor filing system would be moved to wall filing, if given computer support. In Phase II - dependent on the success of the new system - SR&CD intends to explore the possibility of micro-mation as a means of complete elimination of source files. The complete SPECLE master file can be contained in one cartridge of microfilm produced directly from magnetic tape. There is currently an in-house capability to do this.

- Q. What are the pros and cons of designing SPECLE as a "stand-alone" versus its being part of the MCS wheel, versus its being an interim "stand alone" to be incorporated into the wheel or left alone at a later date? Aren't we, by making SPECLE a "stand alone", interim or otherwise, in fact assuring that it will always be a "stand alone?"
- A. One can only design toward specific goals. Is it practical to make a decision at this time that SPECLE fits very neatly into the MCS concept? The only evidence of a MCS is on a physical chart used for briefing purposes. The briefing, incidentally, was a conceptual approach as so noted to those being briefed. There was no how stated. Why not make SPECLE a stand-alone system? One can argue that we are proposing a centralized approach to our data processing problems, and this, then, is centralization. One can also argue that:
- a) Since we don't have a clear picture of the MCS design, how do we know it will fit.
 - b) If we see an increase of 59,000 records to the MCS central file - in which only a minimal amount of data relating to SPECLE is stored - do we know what future impact this will have?
 - c) What is wrong if an interface between two systems is worked out to the advantage of users who are not aware that there are two systems.
 - d) What if we find that SPECLE fits within the design concepts of the MCS? Good. If it doesn't, is that bad?
 - e) I see no built-in assurance that if SPECLE is an interim stand-alone system we are, in fact, assuming that it will always be a stand-alone system.

- Q. Who is going to answer the questions Mr. Coffey sent to Mr. Osborn?
- A. As far as I know the questions put to O/S were answered in the 27 Jan 1969 paper addressed to Mr. Coffey from Mr. Osborn. Is the question one of 1) Mr. Coffey's questions were not answered, or 2) some of the questions were not satisfactorily answered?
- Q. What is the "decided advantage to be gained" by installing this system?
- A. Assuming user requirements are valid *→ This should not be an assumption - it should be demonstrable and provable.*
- We will provide a service for the user in meeting his immediate and long-range requirements.
 - Elimination of O/T, need for additional space, and need for additional resources
 - A cost saving can be assumed
 - A completely duplicate system (ASPICS) can be eliminated
 - In every endeavor of this type we, as technicians, learn more of our trade which can then be applied to future projects
 - More MSD personnel will be trained in an environment closely resembling the direction being taken for other Human Resources Systems - this we need.
- Q. Are you recommending "the total system" or an interim search capability?
- A. Within the time that it will take to get terminals installed (Dec 1969 or Jan 1970) a total system can be implemented.

CONFIDENTIAL


Approved For Release 2000/04/18 : CIA-RDP78-04723A000300080001-8

- 6 -

Q. Why can't the four terminals be in the Data Center, from the beginning?

A. Why not? However, the Center is, as far as I know, in the conceptual stage. Many decisions will have to be made before the Center becomes a reality. The planning, staffing, etc. in establishing a Data Management and Communications Center will probably take a better part of 14-18 months. The question then becomes one of do we defer SPECLE until the Center is ready?

25X1A



Deputy Chief
Management Support Division

Approved For Release 2000/04/18 : CIA-RDP78-04723A000300080001-8

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

PROSPECTS -

Approved For Release 2000/04/18 : CIA-RDP78-04723A000300080001-8 SPECLE

20 March 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Security
Director, SIPS Task Force


SUBJECT : SPECLE

1. I have met with representatives from your office who are concerned with the SPECLE System. The purpose of the meeting was to find a way to resolve a few outstanding issues surrounding your request for terminal capability for the SPECLE System.

2. We will need to assure the DDS that the capability developed for SPECLE will be fairly long lived and that the benefits to be derived justify the cost and effort. I believe this can be done by first examining the whole SPECLE system, with particular emphasis on updating and reporting from SPECLE Master Files, recognizing that the reporting problem is not one which the Office of Security can control. Secondly, potential relationships with the Human Resources systems being developed as part of SIPS should be explored. The objective here is not to solve the problems of relating SPECLE to Human Resources systems but simply to identify relationships to avoid making it impossible to establish the relationship at the appropriate time. Finally, we will need some reasonably accurate estimates of costs for the terminal devices and their installation and maintenance. The Office of Computer Services is not prepared to fund this equipment.

3. Subject to your approval, we have agreed to ask members of your office and members of the SIPS Task Force to meet with me at their earliest convenience to develop a coordinated project proposal for DDS consideration.

25X1A


Chief, Support Services Staff

DDS/SSS/JB:jms (20 March 1969)

Distribution:

- 1 - Each adse
- 1 - SSS Subject
- 1 - SSS Chrono

SECRET

Approved For Release 2000/04/18 : CIA-RDP78-04723A000300080001-8

Mr. Coffey read this memo before it was sent today. He commented that cost should not be the only criteria for judging this system and it should not be adopted simply because it is glamorous and impressive. We should have real evidence of operational and management gain.

RHW
20 March 1969

SPECLE

Recommend a limited systems study--not as an alternative to terminals but to determine:

1. File Order

If organization is known for each SPECLE name search then programming done by [REDACTED] group can be used. This would require some modification to handle the terminals but Bill feels that can be done quickly.

25X1A

If this won't work we run into the possibility of having to maintain two master files one for terminal software (SANCA) and one for update and output of listings.

2. Listings

Need to determine more precisely what impact terminals will have on the outputs presently produced for SPECLE.

3. There have been some misunderstandings over SPECLE between O/S and OCS. Security people (CIB & SPECLE) apparently led [REDACTED] and his group to believe that terminals were not planned for the immediate future. At the same time [REDACTED] was fooling around with SANCA software and giving demonstrations. The demonstrations led the present Deputy Chief of the Records

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A Division ([REDACTED]) to conclude it was just the thing for
25X1A SPECLE. [REDACTED] had worked either with Special Clearances
or Compartmented Information so he knew the system. Two sets
of people in Security working with two groups in OCS doesn't
always provide the best result.

3 March 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: SPECLE

25X1A
25X1A

1. [redacted] and [redacted] met to discuss ^{the} best course to take in responding to the Office of Security request for terminal devices supporting SPECLE files.

2. The conclusion of the group was that while the request has merit, terminal devices have potential for reducing the manual filing problem and the query problem, it does not solve the larger SPECLE problem which includes file update and a large output (100 listings) problem. These latter issues are of major concern to OCS and are probably not of special note to the Office of Security.

25X1A

3. The SPECLE chronology is confused, but it appears that CI/B personnel requested an upgrading of SPECLE processing. OCS responded by developing a modified "query" capability based on index sequential file organization using query cards. The objective was to supply printed output on an overnight basis. [redacted] indicated that the objective had not been achieved and that some ill-feeling is resulting. The question of terminal devices came up several times during the development of this system and OCS people were apparently satisfied that terminals were not part of the immediate future for SPECLE. Despite this a request for terminals was made based apparently on the conviction of [redacted] that the SANCA demo using SPECLE data was precisely the right approach for resolving SPECLE problems.

25X1A

SPECLE is currently used by Organization

4. Using SANCA software for SPECLE confines SPECLE to name searches and will require a restructuring the SPECLE file. This could lead to two files--one for query and one for update and listing unless SPECLE queries can be accommodated by the index sequential software (plus query software) built by [redacted] people. The Index sequential file is ordered by "Organization". A query under this system would be by Organization with individuals' name as a "secondary" part of the query. This would not affect output.

25X1A

5. The group recommends that a "limited" systems study be made to (1) identify the need for and possibilities of reducing the "in-house" listings produced from SPECLE files and (2) determine the prospects for using the index sequential approach to building a query capability. The objective of the latter would be to satisfy SPECLE requirements for query and for listings from one file and one set of software. (3) SPECLE users (Security Duty Officer for one) have information requirements beyond SPECLE which are being worked on in SIPS. These relationships should at least be identified, not necessarily solved before SPECLE goes too far down any road. The limited systems study would attack these three questions.

25X1A
[REDACTED]

Index sequential is an index "list" stored in the file (disc) which provides an address for the data related to the index entry. If you were looking for Joe Smith, employed by Xerox - an index sequential search in [REDACTED] system would lead you to an index to find Xerox. At that location on a disc the program would find an address for, in this case, names of people employed by Xerox. The program then searches the list of names sequentially until it finds Joe Smith.

25X1A

~~SECRET~~

29 JAN 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR: Assistant Deputy Director for Support
THROUGH : Chief, Support Services Staff/DDS
SUBJECT : Consolidation of Compartmented
Intelligence Clearance Records
and Adaptation of Remote Access
to SPECLE Compartmented Clearance
Index
REFERENCE : Memorandum DD/S 68-6178 dtd 18 December
1968 for D/S from ADD/S, Subject as above


1. In response to referent memorandum and in answer to questions contained therein, we submit the following:

(Q) What is wrong with the present system which consolidation would correct -- objectives of consolidation?

(A) In May 1962, the Compartmented Information Branch (CIB), Office of Security was established to satisfy a requirement of the President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board (PFIAB). The purpose of this action was to create, within the executive branch of government, a single compartmented clearance record and control facility which would serve the needs of the Agency and the intelligence community. Although the CIB is presently recognized as the office of central record for compartmented clearances controlled under the [REDACTED], Talent, Talent/Keyhole and Special Intelligence systems, it is not being utilized to its fullest capacity within the Agency. The Special Intelligence Security Staff (SISS), for example, has a functional responsibility which requires that office to maintain a separate index which duplicates approximately 12,000 Special Intelligence clearances contained in the CIB SPECLE index. The SISS index, known as the ASPIC system, is maintained and utilized by that office to control visitor access to the Special Center and for insuring the operational and physical security of SI material. In many instances, however, it is necessary

25X1A

~~SECRET~~



for SISS to query CIB for additional clearance information, such as TKH, as a means of administering proper control procedures. Under present procedures, unescorted access to the Special Center requires both an SI and TKH clearance. In the case of official visitors, holding both clearances, unescorted access is only authorized if the visitor is also in possession of a Visitor No Escort badge to the Headquarters Building. (See Special Center badge samples attached under Tab A.)

Another independent index is currently maintained by the Executive Secretary, USIB who has, by USIB directive, been charged with the responsibility for recording Special Intelligence clearances issued, by the various member agencies, to contractor employees and consultants. This index consists of a machine listing of some 6,000 names to which all changes or corrections are posted by hand notation. The SIGINT Committee of USIB has already approved the transfer of these records to CIB for inclusion in the SPECLE system. Key punch support, in this instance, is being furnished by the Office of Security and conversion is being handled as part of the normal SPECLE input. In the future, USIB member agencies will report add, changes and deletions to CIB, using the standard SPECLE Form 1927. For the first time, USIB will have a standard, uniform means for reporting record information. Statistical data, required by USIB, will henceforth be produced from organizational machine listings currently produced for CIB.

A third independent index is being maintained under the control of the Special Intelligence Staff (SPINT). This particular index, consisting of some 600 records, identifies those Agency employees who have been authorized access to sensitive Special Intelligence formerly published by the National Security Agency (NSA) in several restricted sub-series. This index is currently being maintained in the same manner as the USIB records, i.e., a machine listing to which add, changes and deletions are posted by hand notation. A new machine listing is prepared periodically and the Central Reference Service (CRS) provides key punch and related support. A new listing is soon to be published, however, SPINT has agreed to transfer the index to CIB

*What documentation supports
this approval?*

*What documentation supports
this agreement?* 50

~~SECRET~~

provided they and SISS will continue to have access to the individual records and retain control over access authority approvals and necessary briefings and debriefings. Should this occur, the Office of Security would provide key punch support. Again, this would not increase current work load as changes and adds would be handled as normal input to the system.

Consolidation, without remote access, can only aid USIB by relieving that office of the record keeping function. Other components such as SPINT and SISS must have access to the SPECLE index to fulfill functional requirements. Under the present system there is wasteful duplication of record maintenance, duplicate key punching of separate indices and duplicate machine listings are being prepared on the same individuals. The primary objective of consolidation and the application of remote access is to bring about a more efficient clearance record keeping operation and reduce the response time in answering clearance queries.

(Q) Are there not more than three independent clearance systems?

(A) Of immediate concern are the [REDACTED], Talent, Talent/Keyhole and Special Intelligence Systems. There are other clearances which are recorded by CIB, however, the primary systems involved, in which there is extensive community interest, are those listed above. (See Form 1927, Tab B, listing clearances controlled by CIB and a comparison with the ASPIC record.)

25X1A

(Q) Ref conversion of manual files to machine format, who will do it, how long will it take, and how much will it cost?

(A) The ASPIC system is a duplication of 12,000 Special Intelligence clearances which already appear in the SPECLE system, therefore, transfer to machine format will not be necessary. Additional data desired by SISS for Special Center control purposes will require a minor library change in Columns 49-50 and 51 of the CIB Form 1927 (See Tab B) and the SPECLE computer system library. The 6,000 USIB records are currently being transferred to SPECLE format as part of the normal record input. The SPINT Special Intelligence sub-series records of which there are 600

~~SECRET~~

We should make more of
a point of this duplication.

This is not responsive to the
question.

What about cryptographic
clearances, for example —
and why not give Commo
a terminal — or provide for
their access in some other
way, thus eliminating the
duplicate file.

cannot be transferred until the proposed library change in Column 49 has been made. Subsequent key punch support will also be furnished by the Office of Security as part of the normal SPECLE input. Costs involved would not exceed those currently necessary for SPECLE system updating.

(Q) What makes remote access to the SPECLE index essential, and evident, and why? Suppose we consolidate without remote access.

(A) The time currently required to search the manual SPECLE index in response to query involves several minutes, depending upon the nature of the request. The total CIB staff, consisting of eleven (11) employees, is involved in searching the index in order to keep abreast of the number of queries now averaging 250 calls per day. When not so occupied, personnel of CIB perform other duties related to record maintenance, such as recording clearance indoctrinations and debriefing actions, updating the records, filing oaths and other correspondence related to individual records, preparing cable responses and performing liaison services with and for the various government agencies of the intelligence community. The manual index now numbers approximately 79,000 card records located in eight table type tub trays for ease of access and search. Space within CIB has already become critical and with the index expanding at the rate of 1,200 records per month, the present quarters will be inadequate in a very short time. Further, as the index expands the need for personnel to maintain the system correspondingly increases. With a remote access capability, consisting of two (2) 2260 CRT terminals, CIB would be able to rotate two (2) employees each hour for the purpose of conducting all telephonic search requests. This would relieve all other personnel for record maintenance and related duties which are now interrupted repeatedly by requests for clearance data. Further, the manual index would then become a back-up system which could be relocated in up-right cabinet drawer files, providing much needed space. Remote access to the SPECLE index has already been proven to be a time saving device. Tests are currently being conducted on existing CRT terminals located in the Security Records and Communications Division (See Tab C for CRT SPECLE record display). Response time necessary to answer a query on a given

*How long will this back up
have to be retained?*

record has been reduced from minutes to seconds (a 3 second response time per record has been proven under test). In addition, the record is in constant display to answer any additional questions the requestor may have concerning a given individual. Also, the operator is stationary, and from a single fixed location, she can conduct a search involving several names without leaving her desk. Under the present manual search system, such a search would be a time consuming operation.

To eliminate the ASPIC system without remote access would be impossible. The 12,000 records they currently maintain are essential to their every day needs in furnishing security support to the Special Center and specifically the CIA SIGINT Officer and the Chief, SPINT. The barrier control procedure that has been established requires that they maintain records of clearance, special badge information, credentials and other type data to effectively control the many visitors, who require the special attention of the SISS staff. To completely eliminate this record keeping function, without remote access, would require SISS to telephonically obtain clearance information from CIB. This is not practical because of the number of calls that would be involved. Such calls would over-burden CIB to the point where they could not handle the volume. To transfer the control function to CIB would likewise be impractical because that office is not professionally staffed to support such a task.

(Q) Is growth net or gross?

(A) The growth of the SPECLE index is net and the system now contains a total of 79,000 individual records.

(Q) How do we eliminate clearances no longer required?

(A) Under the present system, the deletion of individual clearances requires a correction of the manual record first as it is the principal source of clearance information. The deletion action is then sent to key punch to up-date the machine record. The volume of such changes, approximately 70,000 per year, does not always permit the change to be recorded on the day on which it occurs. Under a fully automated system, however, the procedure would reverse. SPECLE currently

How many calls would
be involved?

What staffing would be
required?

has a daily update capability which would permit a key punch operation to record the change as it occurred and the corrected record would be available for CRT access the following day. The correction of the manual back-up index could then be made as time would permit as it would no longer be the principal record.

(Q) What are the statistics on queries from receptionists and guards?

(A) The monthly statistical reports prepared by SISS for the Chief, SPINT and the CIA SIGINT Officer show the number of visitors to the Special Center as averaging 2,745 per month during calendar year 1968. These figures are obtained from the monthly reports submitted by the receptionists. The SISS reports also indicate that approximately one-half of the number of visitors processed by the receptionists and guards require a telephone call to SISS for verification of the visitor's clearance status or instructions on necessary entry control. In many instances, at the time the call is made, the person serving as the visitor's escort is also given specific instructions when access is to be restricted to specific areas or select classified material.

(Q) Time and dollar savings amount to how much and for whom -- clerical or professional personnel?

(A) The suggested elimination of the ASPIC system would result in cost savings that involve computer time, key punch operations and materials as follows:

(1) Use of IBM S/360-65 computer once per month. Run time 60-70/minutes @ \$170.00 per hour.

(2) Processing approximately 2,000 transactions (key punch) per month. Total 16 hours @ GS-4 level (\$39.52).

(3) Production of eight (8) reports, generating 44,000 lines of print on five (5) print tapes listed on the IBM S/360-20 computer. Run time 60-90/minutes @ \$45.00 per hour.

(4) Approximately five (5) boxes of 116 paper used to prepare reports at a cost of (\$8.56 x 5) \$42.80.

SECRET

At the present time, one GS-5 Clerk/Typist assigned to SISS devotes one-half of her time in maintaining the ASPIC index, which includes recording all SI clearance actions as they occur and posting these actions to a transaction sheet which is sent to OCS once each month for key punch and updating of the machine index. She also types clearance record cards for the manual index and removes those cards on persons no longer holding SI clearance. The balance of her time is spent answering calls from receptionists, guards, and offices within the Special Center concerning clearance certifications or pending actions and performing other administrative duties related to the daily operation of the SISS. Under a fully automated system, this individual would operate the 2260 CRT terminal for access to the SPECLE index while continuing to perform her same administrative duties.

The transfer of USIB contractor/consultant records to the SPECLE index would eliminate 30 hours of personnel time now spent posting and maintaining the manual record. USIB has advised that such time is equally divided between a GS-6 and GS-9 (\$45.60 + \$61.05) amounting to \$106.65 per month.

Within the CIB, the installation of remote access terminals would not result in specific cost savings either in personnel or dollars. The more efficient system, however, would reduce the need for additional personnel and space resulting from the expansion of the index. Personnel currently assigned to CIB can be utilized more efficiently, thereby offering better and more timely service to the Agency and the intelligence community.

(Q) How do savings compare with increased equipment costs?

(A) At the present time, IBM 2260 CRT terminals are installed on a rental basis. This rental includes the cost of operating one IBM 2848 Control Unit which can accommodate up to eight (8) CRT terminals on a time-sharing basis.

This is not responsive -
How much do the
terminals cost? How
much does installation
cost? Space - Physical security
What are the one-time
and recurring costs?
Whose program are they in?

SECRET

In our attempts to obtain cost comparison figures, considerable difficulty has been encountered in obtaining hardware cost estimates for the IBM 2848 Control Unit and the IBM 360/67 processing time that would be devoted to a time-shared SPECLE system. Meetings with several OCS officials have convinced us that it is most difficult to assign a specific hardware cost to a single system which operates in a time-sharing environment. We feel, therefore, that any estimate we would make in this area would simply be a guess that could neither be proven accurate or inaccurate until the system is actually on-the-air and the 360/67 is equipped with an adequate time slice clock to measure SPECLE usage.

We can say, however, that we are confident that the computer time saved by discontinuing the ASPIC system will offset the computer time needed to service a time-shared SPECLE system.

It should be noted that due to the difficulties in separating hardware costs, OCS has absorbed the rental costs for all IBM 2260 CRT units currently installed in the Headquarters Building.

(Q) If key punching is eliminated, what is substituted and what would be the comparative cost of the two methods?

(A) The consolidation proposal eliminates those key punching operations which are now being duplicated. Other key punch operations will be transferred to the Office of Security where they can be incorporated into the current SPECLE update operation which is being handled by a key punch section rather than being assigned to a single operator. Costs would be absorbed into what is now current production.

(Q) How many queries do Security Duty Officers receive during duty hours? during non-duty hours? What are the "many advantages" offered by the proposed fully automated system?

(A) The number of calls received by the Security Duty Office (SDO) vary from day to day. They consist of crank calls, emergency calls, general inquiry, etc. There are many instances when it would be a great advantage to know if there have been previous calls from

SECRET

What space modifications
will be required?

OC's does not have unlimited
resources ↓

This is not responsive -
How many calls are
there - average - or high
and low -
This sounds nice-to-have
rather than necessary -

~~SECRET~~

an individual or if there is a record in the Security index concerning the person who is the subject of a telephone inquiry. The primary desire in locating a remote terminal in the SDO is to provide that office with immediate access to the Security index (SANCA). Further, it is contemplated that the SDO would have access to the personnel locator file currently being automated for remote access. In this particular instance the use of a 2260 CRT remote terminal would be to improve efficiency and eliminate the need for after hours access to SR&CD and a manual after-the-call search of the Security indices and subsequent file review. In the case of a "missing employee", for example, the immediate access to SANCA and SPECLE would provide senior officials with pertinent information pertaining to the employee involved.

(Q) What justification for the numbers and separate locations of terminals?


(A) Two 2260 CRT terminals is the minimum requirement for CIB. In addition to utilizing these instruments to obtain clearance data in response to query, they will also be used to obtain data of record when new clearances are being processed. An individual's record can be viewed, for example, to determine whether a newly requested clearance is a duplicate request, thereby saving processing and security evaluation time. This occurs in a number of cases where there is dual Agency interest in a particular individual.

One 2260 CRT terminal will be necessary in SISS to access the SPECLE index, and to meet the requirements of that office for access control of the Special Center. With remote access they will no longer maintain a card file, or machine listings.

One 2260 CRT terminal will allow the Security Duty Office access to the Security index (SANCA), the SPECLE index and the eventual Personnel Locator File.

The location of these terminals has been determined by the physical separation of the offices involved.

25X1A


Howard J. Osborn
Director of Security

~~SECRET~~

Minimum locator file is
1975 -

How often is this kind
of access required to
SARCA? to SPECLE?
to THE LOCATOR.

How is this minimum
established?

What prevents the
offices from moving
closer together?

Why does every body have
to have one? Can't one
place telephones the other?

Attachment:

- Tab A - Special Center Badge Control
- Tab B - Comparison of SPECLE and ASPIC
Control Cards
- Tab C - Hard Copy of SPECLE CRT Display
DD/S 68-5597, dtd 8 Nov 68

Distribution:

- Orig. & 1 - Addressee
- 1 - Chief, SSS/DDS

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt

~~SECRET~~

DOS 68-5597

8 NOV 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director-Comptroller

THROUGH : Deputy Director for Support


SUBJECT : Consolidation of Compartmented
Intelligence Clearance Records
and Adaptation of Remote Access
to SPECLE Compartmented Clearance
Index

1. This memorandum is submitted for your approval. Such recommendation is contained in paragraph 10.

2. In a memorandum dated 10 July 1968, the Chief, Special Intelligence Staff (SPINT) proposed, for Office of Security consideration, the merger of three independent clearance record systems with the Special Clearance Evaluation (SPECLE) system maintained by the Compartmented Information Branch (CIB), Office of Security. This proposal was initiated in an effort to eliminate duplication of certain Special Intelligence (SI) clearance record keeping functions and to place other manual systems into computerized format. The specific indices are: the Community Special Intelligence contractor and consultant index maintained by the Executive Secretary, USIB; a listing of Agency personnel authorized access to select compartmented sub-series of SI maintained by the SPINT Staff; and the Automated Special Intelligence Clearance (ASPIC) system consisting of approximately 12,000 card records on Agency employees, contractors and consultants, and personnel of non-USIB member agencies, including the executive offices of the White House, who have been cleared by the Agency for SI. The latter system is maintained and utilized by the Special Intelligence Security Staff (SISS) for controlling visitor access to the Special Center and for insuring the operational and physical security of SI materials.

3. In acting upon this proposal, it has become evident that remote access to the SPECLE index is essential to the needs of the Agency and to extend to all users the full potential of the system. At the present time the SPECLE index records 14 separate clearances authorizing access to information controlled by three compartmented intelligence systems. Approximately 75,000 individual records are contained in the index and holdings are increasing at the rate of approximately 1,200 records

~~SECRET~~



SECRET

Approved For Release 2000/04/18 : CIA-RDP78-04723A000300080001-8

per month. On a monthly average, CIB receives 5,000 telephone inquiries and over 800 action cables seeking information contained in these records. During the past fiscal year, maintenance of the system required over 70,000 record changes in some form or another.

4. A recent study, conducted by this office, has revealed that a fully automated SPECLE index, equipped with IBM 2260 remote access terminals, would not only improve the service provided by CIB to the Agency and the intelligence community, but, if extended to other offices such as SISS and the Security Duty Office, would offer opportunity to completely eliminate the present ASPIC system of record keeping which is actually a duplicate record of SI clearances listed in SPECLE.

5. The elimination of the ASPIC system does not in any way imply that this office intends to abrogate the authority or responsibilities vested in the SISS. They are professionally staffed to furnish support for the Special Center and specifically the CIA SIGINT officer and the Chief, SPINT. We recognize their need for accurate up-to-date clearance information in support of their mission. The installation of a remote access terminal in the SISS would permit that office to answer the daily inquiries of receptionists and guards using the SPECLE machine records rather than the manual card file. On an average over 2,700 visitors are processed through the Special Center each month. The greater majority of these require the receptionist to make telephonic contact with SISS to obtain clearance certification or special instructions concerning access control.

6. The adaptation of 2260 CRT remote access to SPECLE would provide the Agency with a single master clearance index to serve the needs of all users. Such a system has already been proven. The Office of Computer Services just recently adapted the system to remote random access search utilizing the SANCA CRT access method. Remote access tests have been conducted on existing CRT terminals installed in the Security Records and Communications Division proving the system to be a definite time savings device. With limited library changes only, all information necessary to meet the needs of the SISS mission can be provided in a single record. This would include information pertaining to compartmented SI sub-series, now maintained in a separate manual system.

Approved For Release 2000/04/18 : CIA-RDP78-04723A000300080001-8

SECRET

7. In addition to providing a time saving device, a fully automated system would eliminate the need for key punch support now provided to ASPIC by OCS and drastically reduce the hard copy output necessary to support the current two systems. Further, the CIB storage requirement for hard copy card records would be reduced to provide much needed office space for the current staff, and the SISS manual record system can be destroyed.

8. As you will recall, one of the most significant advances in the field of automation within the Agency was the conversion of the Security index to machine language and a machine search capability otherwise known as project SANCA. Further advancement has provided SANCA with a remote access capability for processing priority search requests. Project SPECLE has similarly advanced to the point where remote access to these records will give the SPECLE user a three (3) second response to requests for verification of special clearances. With such a capability, it is now possible to extend the use of SANCA and SPECLE to the Security Duty Office. A single IBM 2260 CRT display installed in that office will greatly assist duty officers in handling the many queries received during non-duty and duty hours.


9. To adapt remote access to the SPECLE index is a relatively simple process insofar as programming is concerned. Both SANCA and SPECLE files have similar characteristics and can be accessed and updated using essentially the same access method. We feel compelled, therefore, to take advantage of this compatibility by progressing to the next logical step in the development and full utilization of computerized security systems. It is our understanding, however, that the Office of Computer Services has limited the number of IBM 2260 CRT terminals available for use within the Agency and that any additional requirements, which would exceed the current limit of 27, is subject to your approval.

10. In view of the many advantages offered by a fully automated SPECLE system, we recommend that you authorize the Office of Computer Services to complete the adaptation of remote access to the SPECLE index and that you approve the installation of four (4) IBM 2260 CRT terminals in the

SECRET

following three (3) locations for access to the SPECLE index:
two (2) terminals in the Compartmented Information Branch
(Room 3E-49), one (1) each in the Special Intelligence Security
Staff (Room 3F-28) and the Security Duty Office (Room 1E-26).

25X1A


Howard J. Osborn
Director of Security

CONCUR:

Robert L. Bannerman
Deputy Director
for Support

(Date)

The recommendation in paragraph 10 is approved.

L. K. White
Executive Director-Comptroller

(Date)

Distribution:

- Orig. - Return to OS
- 1 - ER
- 2 - DD/S
- 1 - Chief, SSS/DDS

SECRET

DD/S 68-6178

18 December 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Security

REFERENCE : Memo dtd 8 Nov 68 for Ex. Dir.-Compt., thru DD/S,
fr D/S, subj: Consolidation of Compartmented Intelli-
gence Clearance Records and Adaptation of Remote
Access to SPECLE Compartmented Clearance Index
(DD/S 68-5597)

Ox:

As you well know from other conversations and from comments at Staff Meetings, we have no choice but to examine most critically each ADP proposal surfaced within the Support Directorate. It has become very clear that, with the creation of the task group, OCS considers that we have the total available wherewithal with which we must satisfy all Support ADP needs and not only those previously identified as SIPS.

With apologies for the long time lapse since you sent it to us, we are returning herewith your memorandum for the Executive Director-Comptroller on the above subject. A number of questions came to mind in going through the paper. These include:

What is wrong with the present system which consolidation would correct -- objectives of consolidation?

Are there not more than three independent clearance systems?

Ref conversion of manual files to machine format, who will do it, how long will it take, and how much will it cost?

What makes remote access to the SPECLE index essential, and evident, and why? -- Suppose we consolidate without remote access?

Is growth net or gross?

How do we eliminate clearances no longer required?

Perhaps the study mentioned in paragraph 4 should be surfaced?

What are the statistics on queries from receptionists and guards?

Time and dollar savings amount to how much and for whom -- clerical or professional personnel?

How do savings compare with increased equipment costs?

If key punching is eliminated, what is substituted and what would be the comparative cost of the two methods?

How many queries do Security Duty Officers receive during duty hours? during non-duty hours? What are the "many advantages" offered by the proposed fully automated system?

What justification for the numbers and separate locations of terminals?

I would appreciate your reviewing the paper with considerations such as these in mind and having appropriate people get together with [REDACTED] to review both requirements and priorities, especially in the time of task force personnel.

25X1A

25X1A

151
John W. Coffey

Att
Ref Memo

UNCLASSIFIED

USE ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)
 Consolidation of Compartmented Intelligence Clearance Records and
 Adaptation of Remote Access to SPECLE Compartmented Clearance Index

FROM: Howard J. Osborn
 Director of Security

EXTENSION NO.
 6777

DATE 29 JAN 1969

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE RECEIVED FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. Chief, SSS/DDS
710 Magazine Bldg. 3
2. Director of Security
Rm 4E-60 Hdqrs.
3. ADD/Support
Rm 7D-24
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.
- 8.
- 9.
- 10.
- 11.
- 12.
- 13.
- 14.
- 15.

FEB 1969

1. I would appreciate your comments and return to me for hand carry to ADD/Support

SECRET

CONFIDENTIAL

USE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

INTERNAL

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

Approved For Release 2000/04/18 : CIA-RDP78-04723A000300080001-8

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)
Consolidation of Compartmented Intelligence Clearance Records and
Adaptation of Remote Access to SPECLE Compartmented Clearance Index

FROM: Director of Security	25X1A 6777	EXTENSION	NO.
		DATE	8 NOV 1968

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED		

1. DD/Support Rm 7D-26				
2. Ex. Dir.-Comptroller Rm 7D-59				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8. Return to OS Rm 4E-60				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				

Approved For Release 2000/04/18 : CIA-RDP78-04723A000300080001-8

SPECLE

CONFIDENTIAL

3 SEP 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Computer Services


SUBJECT : Consolidation of Intelligence
Clearance Machine Records

1. In a memorandum dated 10 July 1968, the Chief, Special Intelligence Staff (SPINT) proposed, for Office of Security consideration, the merger of the Special Clearance Evaluation (SPECLE) system with three other indices maintained within CIA for the purpose of recording Special Intelligence (SI) clearances. This proposal was initiated for the purpose of eliminating the duplication created by the existence of separate clearance control indices, namely, the SPECLE system maintained by the Compartmented Information Branch (CIB), Office of Security, the ASPIC system maintained by the Special Intelligence Security Staff (SISS), Office of the Chief, SPINT and the community Special Intelligence contractor clearance index maintained by the Executive Secretary, United States Intelligence Board (USIB). In submitting his proposal, the Chief, SPINT indicated his desire to merge the present three systems into the SPECLE system controlled by OS/CIB.

2. The Office of Security supports this proposal and has so advised the Chief, SPINT noting that such consolidation would result in our being able to maintain a more accurate and current index. Further, it would offer this office greater opportunity to be of service to the Agency and the intelligence community.

3. A recent study initiated by this office determined that the proposed consolidation can begin immediately without modification to the existing SPECLE program. Accordingly, we have solicited the assistance of USIB member agencies in supplying updated machine listings from which pertinent data can be extracted. Necessary key punch service will be provided by the Office of Security.

4. In acting upon this proposal, it has become evident that remote access to the SPECLE index is essential to the needs of the Agency and to extend to all users the full



CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

potential of the SPECLE system. The locating of IBM 2260 CRT display terminals in select offices within the Agency, will allow each office to have access to a central file and eliminate currently used separate manual systems in support of their individual missions. This is particularly important to the Special Intelligence Security Staff who has the responsibility for controlling access to the Special Center, and the Security Duty Officers who respond to queries on behalf of the Agency during non-duty hours.

5. The attached computer service request is therefore submitted in support of these requirements. It is noted that with the installation of these additional CRT units, a total of seven (7) 2260 displays will be available for access to security systems. Accordingly, your office may wish to consider a single 2340 control unit for accessing security files and related records.

6. In the event there is need for further discussion concerning this matter, I will be glad to make available [redacted] of this office.

25X1A

25X1A

[redacted]
Howard J. Osborn
Director of Security

Attachment:
As Stated

CONFIDENTIAL

classification

COMPUTER SERVICES REQUEST FORM

25X1A

REQUESTING OFFICE Office of Security	COMPONENT SR&CD (6171/0202)	REQUESTOR'S CONTROL NO. S-130
REQUESTOR'S NAME [REDACTED] C/SR&CD	EXTENSION 7338	DATE 5 Sep 1978

SERVICE REQUESTED (use additional sheets if necessary)

- Using program EO-012A and pre-printed Form 1927, provided by this office, print in duplicate and burst approximately 7,000 SPECLE add transactions containing "USIB" in columns 30-33.
- Provide CRT remote access to the SPECLE records and install IBM 2260 CRT display equipment in the following locations for access to SPECLE records (quantity for each office shown in parentheses). Rooms 3E49 (2), 3F28 (1), and 1E26 (1), the latter office to require 24 hour access as soon as practicable.

25X1A

TARGET DATE FOR COMPLETION AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE	APPROVED BY [REDACTED]	
DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES		
TYPE OF REQUEST (code)	DATE RECEIVED	OCS TASK NO.
PROJECT NAME	EST. MANHOURS	SPECS RECEIVED (DATE)
REQUEST RECEIVED BY		
ASSIGNED TO		
ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE	DATE COMPLETED	ACTUAL MANHOURS

REMARKS

DATE _____ APPROVED BY _____

IPC - Projects
SPECLE

TMM - 9/10/68
FB 7/10
RHW W

12 November 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: SPECLE

25X1A

25X1A

1. I went to [redacted] office, DC/SRCD, to read a "draft" of the paper [redacted] had prepared for their SPECLE terminal device request. The paper is pretty well done and I think it contains enough information to justify their request.

2. While the paper seems okay, its routing leaves something to be desired. I read a copy of the final draft, the finished version was on its way to Mr. Osborn for signature. They expect Mr. Osborn to send it on to Mr. Bannerman. I suggested that the C/SSS was the proper route for all this; they are sending a copy here in response to that requirement.

3. I believe this request represents a legitimate extension of existing hardware and software capability. SIPS will be able to utilize the Security Duty Officer remote with the Locator. The other benefits, identified in the SPECLE paper, are capable of being achieved.

25X1A

