

SECRET

Executive Register  
6-0648

28 September 1954

MEMORANDUM FOR: Addressees Noted Below with Distribution

SUBJECT: (1) Proposed Regulation [REDACTED] Conduct  
of Agency Employees on Foreign Duty

25X1A

25X1A

[REDACTED]

1. The subject Regulations are submitted for concurrence and comment.
2. These Regulations were initiated by the Comptroller and have been reviewed by the Deputy Director of Central Intelligence who requested coordination and issuance be expedited. Any questions regarding these Regulations may be referred to [REDACTED] Technical Accounting Staff, Office of the Comptroller, ex [REDACTED]
3. The number of copies of drafts usually disseminated for coordination has been reduced in this case due to the sensitive nature of this Regulation.
4. Please indicate your concurrences or comments on the appropriate Concurrence Sheet attached and submit to this Staff by 5 October 1954.

25X1A

25X1A

[REDACTED]  
Chief, Regulations Control Staff

cc: Deputy Director (Administration) (Info) - 2 ✓  
Deputy Director (Intelligence) - 2  
Deputy Director (Plans) - 2  
Assistant Director for Communications - 2  
Assistant Director for Personnel - 2  
Director of Training - 2  
General Counsel - 1  
Comptroller - (Info) - 3  
Inspector General - 1  
S/A DCI for Planning and Coordination - 1  
Director of Security - 2

SECRET

TO: REGULATIONS CONTROL STAFF, 126 [REDACTED]

25X1A

CONCURRENCE SHEET

PROPOSED ISSUANCE: [REDACTED] Conduct of Agency Employees on Foreign Duty  
DRAFT 28 September 1954

25X1A

CONCUR:

- (a) Substance
- (b) Classification
- (c) Distribution

25X1A

[REDACTED]

#

DDIA  
Office

2 Oct 54  
Date

COMMENT

If Section 6, "Social Conduct" is intended to mean what I think it is, then what is set forth seems to skirt a major issue: I see no mention whatever of "compromising personal relationships, either with other Agency employees or nationals of a foreign country." The bald and innocuous statement that "The conduct of Agency employees abroad must at all times be above reproach" has been said earlier in Section 1 of the Proposed Regulation; saying it again here merely seems to reveal our embarrassment at coming to grips with the problem, and is certainly redundant unless the word "personal" were added before the word "conduct."

While I realize that you cannot legislate morality by regulation, it certainly seems appropriate to recognize the fact that the Agency has more to fear, and the individual more to lose, from having its employees compromised through "doing what comes naturally" than by becoming addicted to dope or alcohol as Section 6 of the Proposed Regulation specifically states.

(Job #775-TT)  
DOL: 5 October 1954

SECRET