

~~SECRET~~
CONFIDENTIAL

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

DATE: 15 February 1960

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

CONTRACT NO: RD-76, T.O. I

REPORT NO: 9
(If final, so state)

25X1

[Redacted]

T.O. I, RD-76

Contractor [Redacted]

25X1

Addressee [Redacted]

Contract: **SECRET-STERILE**
Classification **Equip: UNCLASSIFIED**

Commodity/Service Time Event Marker

No. of Prototypes TEM-15, TEM-A - 1 Contract Completion Date 28 Feb. 1960

The Contractor is on schedule _____ Yes x No

The Contractor will remain within his allocated funds _____ Yes x No

An extension of time will be necessary _____ Yes x No

All phases of the technical progress are satisfactory x Yes _____ No

The overall performance of this contractor is : Satisfactory

DOC <u>11</u>	REV DATE <u>8 APR 1960</u>	BY <u>064540</u>
ORIG COMP <u>033</u>	OPI <u>56</u>	TYPE <u>02</u>
ORIG CLASS <u>5</u>	PAGES <u>1</u>	REV CLASS <u>C</u>
JUST <u>22</u>	NEXT REV <u>2010</u>	AUTH: HR 10-2

- Subject to Improvement
- Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments.

Comments This task was reviewed with the contractor and we have requested that they try to improve their overall performance. The contractor stated they will try to comply to our request. The ruggedized TEM-A has been returned to the contractor for rework; additional funds for this purpose have been requested.

Recommended Action The payment of funds to the contractor should continue. Continued monitoring.

By Contractor:

Date of Visit [Redacted] 22 January 1960

ILLEGIB

APPROVED:

Inspected by: [Redacted]

25X1

Division: OC-E/R+D EP

Extension: [Redacted]

25X1

Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

CONFIDENTIAL
~~SECRET~~