

SECRET

File

DIARY NOTES

A-DD/S

26 October 1964

1. Items from the Executive Committee Meeting have been reported separately.

25X9A8

2. [redacted] National Security Agency, called me to express his appreciation for the briefing by Messrs. [redacted] of the Office of Personnel on the career program organization of the Agency. [redacted] is heading up a group at NSA to establish a career program in that Agency. He stated that the briefing given by Bill and John was excellent and exactly what NSA wanted to know.

25X1A9a

25X9A8

25X1A9a

3. I have informally heard that the committee established by President Johnson to act on the recommendations of the report on the assassination of President Kennedy will probably ask the Agency for nomination of an individual to head the protective staff at the White House. (I presume this means the replacement of Mr [redacted] [redacted] presumably was at a meeting in which this matter was discussed. This information should not be passed on until we have received word directly and officially.)

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

4. Bob Fuchs stopped by to discuss the move of the Office of Finance to the Key Building in Rosslyn. He made it quite plain that he was very happy over the proposed move, but he wondered whether DD/P was fully aware of the factors of the move and if they would raise a question at the last minute after all the move planning had been accomplished. Bob was thinking about the records of clandestine personnel, lists of agents, etc., which he feels will be properly protected and controlled. I advised him that Dick Helms and [redacted] were aware that the Office of Finance was moving into the Key Building, but I did not know whether the points he raised had been discussed by Colonel White with Dick or Tom at any point. (I advised Bob not to say anything until I had had a chance to check with Colonel White to see whether this matter should be further explored.)

25X1A

5. Kimbell Johnson, Director of the Bureau of Personnel Investigations at the Civil Service Commission, called and reported that the question of investigation of sensitive positions in Government had been raised as a result of [redacted] case. The basic question is whether or not persons in sensitive positions should be reinvestigated every two to five years with new sets

SECRET

SECRET

of fingerprints, etc. A second question is whether the system of notification of arrests and morals charges are properly reported and reach the departments concerned. I informed Mr. Johnson of the Agency's five-year program of re-investigation and our security review program which is of a continuous nature. I told him we felt that our reporting system worked well concerning arrest records wherein the department concerned was advised, and that our liaison contacts with other security units of Government kept us fairly well advised of anything of a questionable nature affecting our employees. Mr. Johnson said he knew that the Agency and the Atomic Energy Commission both have good systems involving periodic reinvestigations, but that other departments and agencies of Government do not have such strong systems of control and investigation. (Mr. Johnson sought this information for his own guidance and said that it would not appear in any report that he might make.)

RLB:fp

SECRET