Approved For Release 2002/05/06 : CIA-RDP75-00793R000100430005 € 73-1684

SECRET

OGC SUBJ: DOMESTIC

OGC SUBJ: DOMESTIC

RELATIONS

CSB 73-472

5 September 1973

;	MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD	
25X1A	SUBJECT: 25>	X 1A
	tain a divorce from his wife. He and his wife are currently in the United States on home leave. She had been safe-havened in while he was officially 25>	X1A X1A X1A
25X1A	stationed in She is a naturalized citizen (1970) of the United States. She was formerly Vietnamese from Chinese parentage.	
25X1A 25X1A 25X1A STATOTH 25X1A		- X1A THF
	lawver in Miami by the name of	X1A X1C
25X1C 25 X1A	3. It was decided by the undersigned that it would be better to advise the lawyers that was an Agency employee. In order to forestall any possible later embarrassment resulting from any counter suits or claims, a call to Mr.	
25X1A	OGC, confirmed that he was going toon September 10 and 25X	(1A X1A
25X1A	he would. was told that was supposed to be in Headquarters 25 Monday. September 10 and that if we could get hold of him we would do so and advise of the result of our discussions with so that he 25 would have this information available prior to his briefing of the lawyers.	X1A
		X1A
25 \ 1 \	Orig - CCS/CSB # 08/8	
25X1A	1 - Chrono 1 - Read Board SECRET E2 IMPDET CL BY 063851	
25X1A	CCS/CAP proved For Release 2002/05/06 : CIA-RDP75-00793R000100430005-7	

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2002/05/06 : CIA-RDP75-00793R000100430005-7

OGC 73-2134



14 November 1973

	MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		
TATINTL	SUBJECT: Divorce Action		
		STATINTL	
	1. At 1530 today	STATOTHE	
T&TRITUR	attorney, called the undersigned. With him was attorney. explained that and their son were with	STATINTL	
	Judge Edward S. Klein, Judge, Dade County Circuit Court, in his chambers awaiting their return. He explained further that under a provision of Florida law the Judge had ordered		
	a period of marriage counseling prior to hearing further argument on the divorce action. By statute this period of counseling may not exceed three months and according to		
TATOTHR	it normally lasts about a month. At the time of making this order, the Judge directed counsels to ask the Agency two specific questions:		
	(a) Would this cause to lose his job with the Agency?; and,	STATINTL	
TATINTL	(b) During this period of counseling, would be paid?		
TATOTHR	2. also explained that the counseling could be done by a psychiatrist, psychologist or a marriage counselor; that it would not necessarily have to be done in Florida, and even if done in Florida, it could be done on weekends or on a weekday at the beginning or toward the end of a workweek. I		

Approved For Release 2002/05/06 : CIA-RDP75-00793R000100430005-7

		STATINTL
	put the attorneys on hold and called	
	Chief, VNO/Personnel, who was not in. I spoke with	STATINTL
STATINTL	his deputy, who received the two questions	SIATINIL
STATINTL	and then went to Chief, EA/PEM. He	
	returned saying that it was VNO's position that	
	would not be fired and that, assuming that he continued to	
	work at his job here in the area and undertake this counseling	
	on his own time (weekends or annual leave) and at his own	
	expense, he would of course continue to be paid. I relayed	
	this information back to Messrs. who said	STATOTHR
	that they would so inform the Judge.	
STATOTHR		OT 4 TO TUD
STATINTL	3. advised that he and had	STATOTHR
DIATINIL	stipulated to salary information and that the	STATINTL
	Judge had ordered the case file to be sealed.	017111112
	Assistant General Counsel	
	cc: VNO/Personnel	
	cc. vno/reisomei	
	Distribution:	
	Original - DOMESTIC RELATIONS	
STATINTL	1 - Signer 1 - Chrono	
	r - Chrono	

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt

OGC 73-2064

	1 November 1973
MEMORANDUM FOR:	Chief, VNO Personnel
SUBJECT:	Divorce Action, Dade County Circuit Court, Miami, Florida
l. The unde	rsigned received a call today from
	attornor TTo state 1 1
that he and	close to a property settlement but
	s attorney, had a couple is an agreement on the property
settlement and it provid	les for paying the trans-
portation of	the child, and their jointly owned
household effects back	O Would the Correspondent
these costs? He based	the request on the assumption
shortly.	ng to a new assignment in
saloubly.	
2. I replied	that given the existence of the divorce
action, I could not see i	ow the Government could pay for the
or amphor ration of 1	land the child to
respect to the household	effects, it might be neggible to
or barr of mem at Go	overnment expense, if it is assign.
to However,	I said I would have to chook on both
hearing is scheduled for	Probably on Monday The diagram
and an entertained for	14 November.
3. Could you	please review circumstances
and let me have EA's vie	prease review circumstances was on his assignment and the question
asked by	Same and the question
•	
GMB:ks	Trestation General Counsel
Di -4 11 11	

Approved For Release 2002/05/06 CHARPEZ 5-00793R0001100430005-7

1 - Chrono

Signer

STATOTHR

STATOTHR

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATOTHR

STATINTL