Russians Hit Spy's Book, Authenticity Is Questioned

CPYRGHT

By BERNARD GWERTZMAN Strike nuclear attack United States—even

the publication of "The Penkovskiy Papers," a collection of "the authors of the 'papers' notes and documents purported stuffed them with stereotype

experts also subscribe in whole authors of the 'papers' appar- placed as Penkovsky, a colonel

or in part to this view.

In this country, the Russians have reacted most harshly against the reprinting of the book in the Washington Post and other newspapers. So far as is known, no protest has been filed with Doubleday & Co the

and other newspapers. So far as is known, no protest has been filed with Doubleday & Co., the publishers of the book which officially comes out on Friday.

On Saturday, the Soviet Foreign Ministry called the Post's Moscow corresponent, and told him, according to the paper, that "the papers are a falsified story, a mixture of anti-Soviet inventions and slander which are put into the mouth of a demasked spy, provocatory claims whose purpose is to denigrate the Soviet Union, poison the international atmosphere, and make difficult a search for ways to improve relations between states."

In addition the foreign ministry said if publication of the "papers" continues, "we reserve the right for ourselves to take necessary measures." The the kind that would probably that Perkovsky actually sent that Perkovisky actually sent the poblev's distinction and comments, as series of hastily written notes, sketches, and comments, and co

had served."

charge that the book had been to risk sending out of the councreated by British and Ameritry. can intelligence services, a view Fervent Anti-Communist subscribed to many Western Additional doubts are thru experts.

throughout the book.

to have been smuggled to the with stereotype was agreed on in 1963.

West by Oleg Penkovsky, a Soviet intelligence officer who was executed in 1962 for spying.

The Russians, as could be concept of preventive war, cials, most of whom are or were appeared to the soviet instance, the lives of some top Soviet officers. The Russians, as could be concept of preventive war, cials, most of whom are or were expected, charge the papers are which in reality is hatched by in the military—the type of a forgery. But many Western certain forces in the West. The knowledge someone as well

ad served."

thing that he purportedly wrote Gibney's hands. If intelligence agencies were responsible, as the first official down and then would have had agencies were responsible, as

Additional doubts are thrown ment? reperts.

The embassy disputed one views attributed to Penkovsky, theme that runs who appears as a fanatical antithroughout the book.

Penkovsky is purported to West was letting its guard down have written many times that in the face of an immense the Soviet high command, from Nikita S. Khrushchev on down, seriously considered a first 2001/07/26 kc/LAWRD 15-004445R016066005500052-5who

on the Knrusnchev, for instance, would defected in the 1959s to the when sign a separate peace treaty West. Nothing more was heard soviet officials have re Khrushchev was saying the with Last Germany as he from him that his participation sponded with unusual anger to contrary.

The Soviet reply said that not sign a nuclear test ban it is considered likely that

"papers" continues, "we reserve the right for ourselves to take necessary measures." The Post today printed on schedule the final installment of the series.

Yesterday, the Soviet Embassy here charged the book was "nothing but a crude forgery cooked up two years after Penkovsky (the book refers to him as "Penkovsky," using the cooked up two years after Penkovsky," using the left of them.

Penkovsky (the book refers to him as "Penkovskiy," using the cooked up two years after penkovsky," using the cooked up two years after penkovsky," using the cooked up two years after penkovsky, "the series to him as "Penkovskiy," using the editorial yesterday.

The question is raised, however, as to who was responsible for the "papers" falling into Gibney's hands. If intelligence are the potpourri of information and gossip that Penkovsky gave to Working in this instance as an agent of the U.S. government—something the Post denied in an editorial yesterday.

The question is raised, however, as to who was responsible for the "papers" falling into Gibney's hands. If intelligence

for the "papers" falling into Gibney's hands. If intelligence some observers believe, then was the publication approved at the highest levels of govern-

Gibney, who supplied the commentaries that preceded each chapter of the "papers,"

treaty with the West for 10 Deriabin had some connections was agreed on in 1963.

Much of the book is devoted agencies, at least on a consulta-It is considered likely that