FOIAb3b

CLAYTON FRITCHEY

Why Does Johnson Alienate Fulbright Panel?

President Johnson, to put it the chairman, Sen. J. W. politely, has permitted Adm. Fulbright, DArk, for the William F. Raborn Jr. to overwhelming com mittee a resign as the director of the votes against the administra-Central Intelligence Agency, tion's foreign aid bill indicate but he elso permitted Raborn, a widespread resentment as his last important act in organist Johnson, as well as a effice to prob the Secretary district of his foreign office, to snub the Senate, growing distrust of his foreign Foreign Relations Committee, policy.

And the President, sup-posedly the greatest practical politician in modern American! his foreign aid bill through a" fearsome and obviously; unfriendly grilling.

It is hard to understand how this master politician, who has spent a successful lifetime at wheeling and dealing in the Senate, who will go to any length to appease Sen. Everett Dirksen, R-Ill., the minority leader, or Sen. Richard Russell, D-Ga., the leader of the Southern conservatives, will at the same time give the back of his hand to the prestigious group of senators who dominate the Foreign Relations Committee.

tions Committee.

The hostility the President no doubt that it took a maultas inspired in that committing.

tee is by no means confined to Pertings these senators.

Why does the President choose to aggrevate this history, wonders why that situation, rather than, as his powerful committee has put custom, ameliorate it? The only answer seems to be that, where Viet Nam is concerned, he has lost his political touch, and is unable to control his personal feelings toward those who have criticized opposed his Asian policy.

It is unfortunate that the foreign aid bill should end up being the innocent bystander. in this conflict. The Foreign Relations Committee, which is composed of some of the most respected men in the Senate, relented considerably at the last minute, so that the bill as finally reported out is not in critical condition, but there is

susually so responsible, should not have let their feelings influence them in cutting the bill and hedging it with awk-ward restrictions, but they, too, are human, and don't like to be called "nervous Nellies"; or have their patriotism questioned, directly or indirectly.

The plain fact is that Johnson and his secretary of state, Dean Rusk, have provoked the present hostility. While the aid bill was still being considered, Raborn informed Fulbright that the OIA could not confidentially brief members of his committee, as it does a so-called "watchdog" group of senators from the Armed Services and Appropriations committees.

It goes without saying that the CIA director could not have brushed off the Foreign Relations Committee without the concurrence or encouragement of the President, Nobody knows better than Johnson how strongly the committee feels it should share in the surveillance of the CIA

Musk invited trouble when his. he bried to suggest that pre-

This prompted an amendment of the bill's policy declaration to say that the "furnishing of economic, military creating a new commitment the U.S. for the defense of any foreign country."

Later, by a vote of 15 to 4. the committee ripped out the entire administration policy declaration, which stated it

countries and international organizations to deter or if necessary to defeat aggression . . ." Fulbright said the committee was determined to avoid "any fancy rhetoric" that later might be used to justify actions in which it did not concur.

The final vote of 17 to 2, by which the emasculated bill was ultimately reported out, indi-cates how suspicious this key committee has become over the administration's foreign policy, not only in Asia but elsewhere.

Aside from any personal animosities, there is a genuine fear that Viet Nam has seriously imbalanced U.S. policy. Committee members frankly appalled at the distortions involved in earmarking nearly \$600 million in economic aid for tiny Viet, Nam, which is more than is scheduled for whole continents like Africa and. Latin America.

Many of the senators share the views of Gen. Ne Win, the Burmese chief of state, about the unleashing of huge U.S. spending in Asian countries like

"This kind of aid," says Ne vious Viet Nam ald authoriza- Win, "does not help. It cripples." tion constituted at least it paralyzes. The recipients negative approval of adminis-tration policy in that area. selves. They rely more and selves. They rely more and more on foreign, experts and foreign money. In the end, they lose control of their country."

or other assistance under this wrong with Burma, but there is act, shall not be construed as no graft or corruption among creating a new commitment the top officials. They are not There are a lot of things becoming millionaires, and they are not acquiring fancy mis-tresses or fancy Swiss bank accounts. This would, of course, be a terrible hardship for the United States to impose on some of its Asian beneficiaries, was the intention of Congress' but it would be popular with the through military aid to improve the ability of friendly

CPYRGHT:

Sanitized - Approved For Release: CIA-RDP75-00149R000200910028-3