APR 2 3 1966

The Washington Merry-Go-Round

STATINTL

'Campaign' Aid Asked by Sen. Dodd

By Drew Pearson and Jack Anderson,

pocket the proceeds from a about contributing. 1961 testimonial dinner and a ate Ethics Committee.

column's claim that his net Dodd raise campaign funds. take was \$54,555.58 from the "Dear Lyndon," wrote Do take was \$54,555.58 from the "Dear Lyndon," wrote Dodd on Aug. 5, 1963, "allow me to more than \$100.000.

but insisted that the contribu-pal centers of the State. tions to his 1961 and 1963 testimonials were personal gifts, you how enthusiastic everyone therefore tax-free.

Dodd neglected to report this day." income on his tax returns.

and all that he was raising the formed for the donor.

Movertheless, with total inthis event, he persuaded Vice of \$88,031.88, Dodd President Hubert H. Humberters, typed in his Washing solicited from the people in 1961, which he now claims ton office and stuffed in his whom Dodd had helped in was a tax-free gift.

Movertheless, with total inthis event, he persuaded Vice President Hubert H. Humberts, typed in his Washing solicited from the people in 1961, which he now claims whom Dodd had helped in was a tax-free gift.

Martford office by Senate and Washington For the Dodd in the Dodd case byer WTOP and all that he was raising the formed for the donor.

one jack Anderson, they had known the difference of the personal benefit, casework files and prepare a \$33,365.45.

Conn.) has now conceded that tional furor over Nixon's \$18, that had benefited from his tee should also investigate a number of other Dodd fund.

The claim that the two testi-1963 "Dodd Day" breakfast monials were personal, not lunch dinner. He made this political, should also startle admission in reply to written president Johnson, who was interrogatories from the Sen-persuaded to be the star attraction at both events for the later deposited to his own accept in Los Angeles, and a test He also did not dispute the specific purpose of helping

the 1963 affair - a total of thank you again for your generous offer to come to Con-The Senator contended, how-necticut to assist me in my ever, that the money was forthcoming campaign. Since raised for his personal use, not receiving definite word from his political campaign chest your office that you will be His associates acknowledged to available on Oct. 26 (Dodd The Washington Post that po- Day) for the entire day, I have litical funds diverted to his scheduled a variety of activipersonal use would be taxable, ties that will cover the princi-

is about your visit and how This becomes an extremely much it will assist me in get-important distinction, since ting my campaign drive under

Even if Dodd's explanation It will come as a surprise to were accepted at face value, the dinner guests that they he would be in trouble with were donating to Dodd's per-Internal Revenue. The law his campaign. For he told one able if any services are per-

Hartford office by Senate em-Washington. For the Dodd In 1963, when Dodd pocketed radio tonight at 6:40.)

Connecticut.

count from lobbyists, busi-nessmen and friends for whom he had performed Government services,

Dodd's associates also told The Washington Post that Dodd had found it necessary to hold testimonials to relieve the financial strain of serving in the Senate.

"Testimonial dinners enable a poor man to remain in office. They are part of the American way of life," Dodd's spokesman told The Washington Post reporter Richard Harwood.

In 1961, the year of Dodd's first testimonial, he reported salary, \$4463.41 from lectures and \$61,068.47 from his law come.

ployes, soliciting "campaign Day solicitation, the Senator \$47,000 in contributions that he contributions" for Dodd Day assigned one of his aides, Joe failed to report on his tax re-If they had known the dinners Flynn, to go through all his turns, he listed an income of

this column was correct in re000 personal expense fund, Washington wire-pulling. Approximately 1000 names were raising affairs, including one proximately 1000 names were raising affairs, including one forwarded to the field work-thrown by Pan American vice ers who were selling tables President Sam Pryor and and seeking contributions in heavyweight champion Gene Tunney in New York City, an 🤄 The truth is that Dodd raised other thrown by right-wing? most of the money that he razor blade maker Pat Fraw. timonial in the District of Cou lumbia.

> Former Senate Secretary Bobby Baker helped round up contributors for Dodd's D.C. dinner. The Senator accepted: some contributions in cash and precise records are not avail? able. As nearly as this column has been able to determine, Dodd raised about \$300,000 between 1961 and his election in November, 1964. He reported only \$190,000 in campaign expenditures, and some of these expenditures have turned out to have been phony.

When Richard M. Nixon, as California Senator, raised a an income of \$88,031,88. This slush fund of \$18,000 to help included his \$22,500 Senate defray office expenses, it caused a national sensation.

Believe it or not, Dodd firm. Of this, \$50,000 came staged still another testimonial were donating to Dodd's per- Internal Revenue. The law from the Teamsters Union dinner in March, 1965, for the sonal finances rather than to states that gifts, too, are tax- This is not a poor man's in announced purpose of paying off his "campaign deficit." At