

Harry Magdoff should be on the faculty of every liberal arts institution as the New School, and almost incredible that he should be permitted to occupy the dais in McCosh 10 at Princeton University to defame our country, its industrial corporations, economic and social system, and political leaders without there having been present to challenge him anyone from the Princeton Administration, or board of trustees, or student government. Granted that most of the young people in McCosh 10 were well aware of and in sympathy with Magdoff's Marxist-Leninist views, there seemed to be present a few young men and women who, like the uneasy, questioning Columbia student, were troubled at what they heard. Had its objectivity been effectively disputed by a Princeton University faculty member or respected Princeton official, perhaps the uncertain young people might have been enlightened and would have quit the conference.

Cherchez La Femme

An undeluded young person there, however, was a beautiful pint-sized blonde dressed in pale lavender and looking as innocent as the driven snow on the campus outside the Chapel across from McCosh Hall. Though not listed as a speaker on the official SDS-REP program, she mounted the dais on Sunday morning to deliver a paper harshly critical of American enterprise in Latin America and especially in Brazil.

She said U.S. investments in Latin America create a new form of dependency there on the part of exporters of raw materials and consumers of U.S. manufactured goods. This exploitation, she said leads to Latin nations' failure to produce for the home market. What U.S. investment in Latin America does, she charged, is to set up "foreign enclaves" in the underdeveloped Latin economies. She quoted but twisted the meaning of a statement by George Moore, president of the First National City Bank, to prove her point, and pronounced his name as if it were that of the devil himself.

It was astonishing to hear the little blonde speak so coldly about eco-

nomics for she was introduced as "Miss Edith Black, Union Theological Seminary" and she looks like an angel with pink-and-white complexion and long flowing wavy golden hair.

"I am a revolutionist," she told an elderly woman during lunch hour. "Not just a Marxist, a revolutionist." Miss Black discussed with a few friends—all very much in the inside SDS—her contacts with friends at the Leftist radical *Ramparts Magazine*, and said she is now doing some research "with four or five people" that will make the current revelations about the Central Intelligence Agency seem insignificant. "We're working on quite a few situations," she said, and added with a sweet smile, "They'll rock the nation." She said the job done on the National Student Association and other "reformist" groups was easy. "What we're working on now is going to blow the whole CIA works."

When she said "we're doing research on it," the average American would think she meant that she and her friends are doing research on what makes the flowers bloom in May and snowflakes dance in December. But what she really means by "research" was made clear to the REP conference participants while she was on the dais and with charming feminine deference paid highest intellectual tribute to Harry Magdoff.

It seems Miss Edith Black is a very hard worker. She not only attends classes at Union Theological Seminary but also works in a cubicle on the 9th floor at 475 Riverside Drive (the building that houses many religious institutions, including headquarters of the National Council of Churches, a recipient of CIA funds) with a group called "NACLA," North American Congress on Latin America. There was talk at the SDS-REP conference at Princeton of creating a new NACLA magazine to "blow" more revelations about the CIA and "bring down" the "reactionary" governments of several Latin American countries.

To judge by what the *Ramparts Magazine* clique already have accomplished in damaging U.S. national prestige through the CIA revelations, it would be most unwise to discount

the possible achievements of researchers in and around NACLA who are in touch with *Ramparts* and with the SDS Radical Education Project, a general situation substantiating the boast printed in the original REP document issued by SDS at Ann Arbor, Michigan last year that SDS had established an "international intelligence network." (See page 6, *U.S.A. Magazine*, Vol. XIII, September 16, 1966, No. 19—special report on the Second Annual Conference of Socialist Scholars.)

About 300 registrants were present at the SDS-REP conference at Princeton during the February weekend. It would be very, very foolish to estimate their true strength by their number. University administrators and trustees should have learned by now about the danger of the New and Old Left radicals on campus, a militant minority capable of disrupting and even destroying the majority.

Even while the Princeton conference was taking place, a grave crisis created by a handful of Leftist radicals confronted the University of Wisconsin. Elsewhere, the election to high student government office of a radical SDS member at the University of Iowa plunged its administration into a situation with ominous future implications. Greg Calvert, new SDS National Secretary, boasted from the dais in McCosh about the SDS triumph in Iowa.

The Issue Is Control

There is not the slightest doubt about the goals of Students for a Democratic Society. Gerry Tenney, a co-author of the new "Port Authority Statement," summed up the SDS's aim: "The issue is control," he said. "The issue of control is the one issue that exists. To ask for question of control is to ask for the most radical thing. If as radicals we place the question of control as the most important thing, the thing we have to ask for all the time is control."

At Princeton University and at the University of California at Berkeley, at Cornell, Harvard and Yale, at Stanford and Columbia, an important political and academic thing in