CPYRGHT



The BALLY EWS

A SCRIPPS-HOWARD NEWSPAPER
"Give light and the people will find their own way.

John T. O'Rourke, Education

Ray F. Muck.

Bysiness Manager

РЖІДАУ, ДЕСЕМВЕР 28, 1962. 1013-13th SEC NAV. (Zone 5)

. DI. 7:7777

In Mctropolitan Washington: By Carrier, 30 per week; \$1.30 per month By Mail: 3 months \$3.90; 6 months, \$7.50; per year, \$15.60. Foreign Mail: \$2 month \$24 a year.

Rea. U. S. Pat. Off.

Who Gave the Million?

CPYRGHT

OW it turns out that, at the last minute in the Cuban Fansom deal, Attorney General Robert F. Kennedy picked up the telephone and got \$51 million cash pledge from "a personal friend."

This, because Fidel Castro demanded \$2.9 million which the Cuban Families Committee had agreed but failed to pay for the 60 of the most serious wounded prisoners previously release. A committee headed by Gen. Line Clay quickly raised most of the sing \$1.9 million.

Who was the generous man who so quickly agreed to give \$1 million cash?

The Justice Department will say only be is "a personal friend of the Attorney General, not a businessman, who does not want his name made public."

This is not a sufficient answer. The donor should be identified.

This was no ordinary deal—no ordinary case of Joe Blow, volunteer solicitor, calling up Mr. Rich for a big contribution to the Red Cross.

This was the Attorney General of

the United States—the nation's chief aw enforcement officer and the man n an unequalled position to put "the arm on" if he so chose—calling up someone to get him to come thru with \$1 million cash to pay as ransom to the nead of a foreign government.

The identity of the individual is important because then, to use a favorite Kennedy family phrase, "a judgment an be made" as to whether the great lower of the Justice Department was being exerted upon some hapless individual or whether it was a case of the same of the same press agents say.

Without any evidence of what happened except the barebones Justice Department announcement, yet having high opinion of the character and disretion of Robert Kennedy, our guess s that full disclosure with the till the ttdiney ceneral observed all mappities of dischiple offices and

However, since he was transacting ublic business, we submit the public's entitled to know the when, where, ow and why. This is no case to be andled by "managed news" nor swept nder the rug by managed non-news.