Front Edit / Other Page Page Page

HUTCHINSON, KANS. NEWS

CPYRGHT

FOIAb3b1

D. 51,258

S. 52,541

SEP 1 6 1965

Why Not Harold Stassen?

The Republicans have no exclusive franchise on splinter groups. The Democrats are in the act too.

The newest baby was spawned up in Salmon country, at a Democratic picnic in Seattle, Wash. It picked a name, "Democrats for Democrats Foreign Policy," a title which is certain to confuse the electorate, many of whom are convinced we haven't had anything but a Democrat foreign policy since before Woodrow Wilson.

But listen. The DDFP lists three complaints:

1. Too many Republicans shape foreign policy, to wit: Secretary of State Dean Rusk, Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara, Henry Cabot Lodge, Arthur Dean, Bill Bundy, McGeorge Bundy, Sen. Everett

Dirksen, CIA directors McCone and Raborn.

- 2. The advice of leading Democats in the foreign policy field, such as Senators Mike Mansfield and William Fulbright, and the late Adlai Sievenson, is ignored by the White House.
- 3. The proof of all this pudding is that the President's popularity is rising among Goldwater Republicans, and slipping among Democrats and Republican defectors in the 1964 election.

Finally, concludes the DDFP, if we must use Republicans to establish foreign policy, "Why not Harold Stassen?"

There's one thing to say about that question. Harold would be delighted to volunteer.