Approved For Release 2008/06/09: CIA-RDP73T00325R000100080009-6

Toha

I Found

This with the

Mis Reguests

Nami

I. Use of Present MIS

Active project list

Component time allocation

By employee name, list of time charged to projects

Projects active by component

Project number index

II. Special Retrievals

__-Manpower application

Requests have been made for listings limited to our component and arranged by project number, by activity code, and by project number blocks. These were used to total manhours for various activities or projects and relate costs. Since some of our project numbers have been placed in S49, these runs are no longer valid.

III. Deficiencies Noted

a. Structural - the system was devised for the production cycle. For those components providing services, it can be quite complicated, One person in one day may work 20 projects for 15 minutes each. The record keepong becomes very involved by the end of the week.

- b. Structural the present use of project numbers is of no value inmeasuring services. Several years ago each major component had a general project number to be used when requesting services. We were able to relate manhours and cost against components. At the present, 710030 is used for O/DIR, SS, PS, TSG, etc. The information then retrieved on project numbers is of no value to us.
- c. Procedural Requesters do not give us correct or valid project numbers. Much time is lost in verifying numbers.
- d. Procedural The introduction of \$49 prevents us from obtaining valid machine runs on the activities of our branch. It has caused confusion among branches. A weekly listing of \$49 projects is circulated. Since the projects are not easily identified, branches have cancelled other branches projects.

IV. MIS Done Away With

Rejoice! Forms used by employees daily to record project numbers and time could be eliminated. Much time would be saved.

Good

Good