

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Monday - 13 September 1971

25X1

25X1 1. [ ] Senator Milton Young called to inquire about the application of [ ] in whom the Senator had previously expressed considerable interest. He said [ ] was extremely anxious to obtain a position with the Agency, and I said when I last looked into the matter his processing was well underway, but I would take another look in the light of possible personnel freezes.

25X1

Senator Young said he would like an intelligence roundup briefing sometime this week, tentatively at 2:00 p.m. on Thursday, 16 September.

25X1 2. [ ] Called Joe Wolf, State Department, to request a change in the Department's draft reply to Senator Stuart Symington's 7 July request for information about U.S. arrangements for information and intelligence exchanges with foreign governments. Wolf said my proposal would cause them some problems but I said I thought it was the best we could do and he said he would check it out with his superiors and call me back.

25X1 3. [ ] Upon learning that the Hanley Subcommittee on Employee Benefits, of House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, planned hearings tomorrow on a new version of the Ervin bill, I met with Chairman Hanley and Richard Barton, of his staff, to explain that, despite the fact that the new version of the bill provided the Agency a number of desirable exemptions, we were still very much troubled by the fact that it nevertheless provided aggrieved employees with the right of appeal to an outside board or a Federal court. I pointed out that this would result in forcing the Agency either to reveal a great deal about its inner workings in order to defend itself in such an appeal, or remaining silent in the interest of security and thereby losing the case by default. Hanley appreciated our position and assured us of his support for a complete exemption for CIA, as well as NSA and the FBI. He was uncertain as to how the other members of the Subcommittee would vote, but seemed to think there was a fair chance that a complete exemption could be obtained. If not, he thought we could still solve our problem if Chairmen Mahon and Hebert would weigh in for a full exemption with Chairman Dulski, of the full Committee, when the bill reaches that point.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~