

~~SECRET~~

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Tuesday - 4 November 1969

1. (Unclassified - GLC) Met with Mr. Joseph Bastien, Executive Assistant to Senator Edward J. Gurney (R., Fla.), about the letter the Senator received from a constituent, Mr. William [redacted] of Sarasota, Florida. [redacted] asked for the Senator's opinion on several articles about Harold Philby especially one entitled "An Interview With Comrade Philby" which according to [redacted] purportedly showed that even CIA was fooled by Philby. The Senator's inquiry to the State Department on this was referred to us by State. I told Mr. Bastien that the Agency did not comment on matters of this sort but that I had talked to Mr. Jack Norpel, of the Senate Internal Security Subcommittee staff, who would be happy to provide him with the names of some recent publications on Philby that might be of interest to [redacted]. Mr. Bastien thought this would be very helpful and would be responsive to [redacted] communication.

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT
STAT

2. (Unclassified - JMM) During a conversation with Representative John Moss, Chairman of the Foreign Operations and Government Information Subcommittee of House Government Operations Committee, I recalled the case several months ago in which he had complained to the Secretary of the Navy about the over-classification of an item which turned out to be of Agency origin. I told Mr. Moss that this was a rather common problem, but arose from the fact that even though the item was from a Soviet publication, Voyennaya Mysl' (Military Thought), it was not widely distributed and since our only access to such material was through a unique and sensitive source we often had to restrict knowledge of our possession of it. I said I was aware of Moss' concern about over-classification within the Government, and whenever he had any problems with the Agency in this regard I hoped he would call on me. (I have known Mr. Moss socially for some years but have had no recent official contact with him.) Mr. Moss said he understood the problem and would indeed take advantage of my offer if the occasion arose.

~~SECRET~~

SECRET

Office of Legislative Counsel
Wednesday - 1 October 1969

Page 2

25X1
FOIAB5
OGC

5. [redacted] Met with Mr. Jack Matteson, House Foreign Operations and Government Information Subcommittee staff, concerning the question of the Director's statutory authority to withhold witnesses and information from the Green Beret trial (see Journal item of 30 September).

[redacted]

He will so report to Chairman Moss. Mr. Matteson expressed his appreciation for my meeting with him and indicated once again that this type of problem is one of the most difficult for the Chairman. As we closed he noted that the information provided should be sufficient to avoid the necessity of a formal letter from the Chairman to the Director on this matter.

25X1
25X1
25X1
25X1

6. [redacted] Met with Mr. Clifford Gaucher, Administrative Assistant to Representative Philip Philbin (D., Mass.), and discussed with him the employment application of [redacted]


[redacted] I told Mr. Gaucher that present information on the case indicates we will not be able to employ [redacted] and discussed some of the details with him. Mr. Gaucher volunteered that [redacted] husband had made several calls to the office and had not left the best impression with the staff. In conclusion Mr. Gaucher advised that turndown of [redacted] reemployment application by the Agency will be handled in "routine" fashion by their office. Office of Personnel has been advised.

25X1
25X1
25X1

7. [redacted] Met with Representative George P. Miller (D., Calif.), Chairman, House Science and Astronautics Committee, and separately with Mr. James Wilson, of the Committee staff, and briefed them on a Soviet space matter.

SECRET

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



30 Sept 1969
gfb

30 September 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Meeting with Jack Matteson re Agency's Authority
for Refusal to Provide Witnesses & Information
in Prosecution of Green Berets

1. Jack Matteson, Professional Staff Member, House Foreign Operations and Government Information Subcommittee, called and advised he had just received a personal note from Chairman Moss asking him to check the authority for the Agency's refusal to provide witnesses and information in the prosecution of a "criminal trial" involving the Green Berets. Representative Moss' appetite was apparently whetted by an item in this morning's New York Times that indicated in effect that the citation of legal authority for this action "was not readily available." I thanked Jack for the call and told him I would try to be back in touch this afternoon, but if not, then first thing tomorrow.

FOIAB5

OGC

2.



[redacted] also advised that the appropriate contact in Army JAG is Colonel William Chilcoat, Director, Military Justice Division, telephone: 11-56433.

STAT

3. Mr. Matteson found the reference to the uniform military code a difficult authority to digest. In his attempt to reflect Representative Moss' views, Jack did not feel that the Manual for Courts Martial should have application to actions by the Director of Central Intelligence. It was necessary, therefore, to review with him the normal processes involved in requesting witnesses or information for use in a trial under the Manual for Courts Martial and, as in this case, the attendant determination by the head of an agency to authorize agency personnel, etc., to be used or not in accordance with the "rules" spelled out in the manual.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CONFIDENTIAL

4. Although Mr. Matteson was not fully convinced himself and was quite hesitant about the reception that will be given this information by Chairman Moss, he agreed that he would check with Colonel Chilcoat before proceeding further with a formal request to the Director for citation of the authorities relied upon by him in the publicized determination concerning the availability of Agency witnesses and information in the prosecution of the Green Berets. He will call if he encounters difficulties with Army JAG. It was also agreed that I would go by during the morning to meet with him.



25X1

[Signature]
Assistant Legislative Counsel

Distribution:

~ Orig - Subj
1 - Chrn

OLC/JGO:sml (1 Oct. 1969)

CONFIDENTIAL

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Office of Legislative Counsel
Tuesday - 30 September 1969

Page 2

25X1

6. [redacted] Received a call from Mr. Jack Matteson, Professional Staff Member of the House Government Operations Committee, Foreign Operations and Government Information Subcommittee, who called on behalf of Chairman John Moss (D., Calif.) concerning the statutory citations of Agency authority to withhold witnesses and information from the "criminal trial" of the Green Berets. [redacted]

FOIAB5
OGC

[redacted] I also advised him that the Department of Army JAG was the appropriate authority for advice on such questions. (See Memorandum for the Record.)

25X1

[redacted]
Acting Legislative Counsel

cc:
Ex/Dir-Compt
O/DDCI
Mr. Houston
Mr. Goodwin

25X1

[redacted]
DDI
DDS
DDS&T
OPPB
EA/DDP
Item # 4 - Pers.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SECRET

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Monday - 25 August 1969

Page 2

25X1

6. [redacted] Hand carried to Mr. Al Tarabochia, on the staff of the Senate Internal Security Subcommittee, additional texts of debriefings by the Agency of [redacted] Mr. Tarabochia said they were putting some material together on the basis of some information which we had provided and would probably be in touch with us sometime next week.

25X1

25X1

7. [redacted] Checked with Mrs. Cynthia Swift, on the staff of Representative James M. Hanley (D., N. Y.), to determine whether the Congressman is available either in Washington or his District office. Mrs. Swift said that Mr. Hanley would not be in touch with either of his offices until after the recess. We are interested in talking with Representative Hanley in connection with his inquiry to the Army about the case of a former Agency contract employee.

25X1

8. [redacted] Jack Matteson, on the staff of Representative John Moss' Foreign Operations and Government Information Subcommittee, called regarding press reports that the Agency had invoked "executive privilege" in connection with the Green Beret case. He said that Chairman Moss is extremely sensitive to any abuses of "executive privilege" by government agencies and will probably inquire about these allegations. I told Matteson that we had not invoked executive privilege in the case and did not presently plan to do so. (See Memorandum for the Record.)

25X1

[redacted signature box]

JOHN M. MAURY
Legislative Counsel

cc:
Ex/Dir-Compt
O/DDCI

25X1

[redacted box]

Mr. Houston
Mr. Goodwin
DD/S
DD/I
DD/S&T
OPP
EA/DDP
Item #6 - WH/Cuba

SECRET

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

25 August 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Conversation with Mr. Jack Matteson, Foreign
Operations and Government Information Subcommittee,
Re Green Beret Case

25X1 1. This afternoon I called Mr. Jack Matteson, on the staff of Representative John Moss' (D., Calif.) Foreign Operations and Government Information Subcommittee, in response to Matteson's earlier call to [redacted] regarding the Green Beret case. Matteson was interested in press reports that the Agency had claimed executive privilege in connection with the military investigation in Saigon, and remarked that this was something that would be of major concern to Representative Moss (now in Sacramento). Having earlier checked with Mr. Houston, I told Matteson that in the present hearings under "Article 32" the witnesses are under no compulsion to answer any particular question, and the matter of executive privilege was irrelevant. I pointed out that the Military Court Martial Manual authorizes heads of agencies to invoke executive privilege where they consider it necessary, but I explained that the Agency had not invoked executive privilege in the present hearings and was fully cooperating with the military authorities in the investigation. I added that at the present time we had no intention of invoking executive privilege.

2. Matteson thanked me for the information but commented that Representative Moss had letters from three Presidents assuring him that executive privilege would be invoked by executive agencies only on the personal authority of the President.

25X1

[redacted]
JOHN M. MAURY
Legislative Counsel

Distribution:

- ✓ Orig - Subj
- 1 - DDP
- 1 - C/FE
- 1 - Sava
- 1 - OGC

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~SECRET~~

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Thursday - 14 August 1969

25X1

[REDACTED]

2. [REDACTED] of OSR, called and provided us with copies of the pertinent papers involved in OSR's assistance to Mr. Gerard Smith, ACDA, in responding to a letter from Senator Albert Gore (D., Tenn.). I told [REDACTED] we had no objection to this as long as they had checked Mr. Smith's response for accuracy.

25X1

25X1

25X1

3. [REDACTED] Received a call from Mrs. Virginia Johnson, in the office of Representative Jamie L. Whitten (D., Miss.). She provided me with the telephone number and address of [REDACTED] [REDACTED] who is interested in an Agency employment interview. This information was passed on to the Office of Personnel who will arrange for their [REDACTED] recruiter to contact [REDACTED]

25X1
25X1

25X1

25X1
25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

4. [REDACTED] Talked with [REDACTED] about Representative Donald Lukens' (R., Ohio) interest in the use of aircraft in [REDACTED] said the main interest of the White House staff was in assuring that government funding was not involved. (See Memo for the Record.)

25X1

25X1

25X1

5. [REDACTED] Called Ward White, on the staff of Senator Bob Dole (R., Kans.), and gave him a report on the status of the application of [REDACTED] for employment with the Agency. I suggested that [REDACTED] write to the Director of Personnel providing him with his new address when this is certain again. (See Memo for Record for details.)

~~SECRET~~

Page Denied

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Denied

~~SECRET~~

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Wednesday - 13 August 1969

Page 3

25X1



25X1 9. [redacted] Jay Sourwine, on the staff of the Senate
25X1 Internal Subcommittee, called and asked if we could provide him with any
25X1 information on [redacted] who were expelled from [redacted] 25X1
25X1 on charges of spying. Sourwine said the Subcommittee is considering
25X1 calling the [redacted] as witnesses before the Subcommittee.

25X1 10. [redacted] I advised Mrs. Gloria Bryan, in the
25X1 office of Representative John O. Marsh (D., Va.), of the letter we had
25X1 received from [redacted] indicating that he decided not to
25X1 pursue his efforts with GSA to be transferred to a guard position at the
25X1 Agency. We are sending a copy of [redacted] letter to the Congressman. 25X1

25X1 11. [redacted] Called Ed Braswell, Chief of Staff, Senate
25X1 Armed Services Committee, and explained briefly the facts regarding
25X1 the [redacted] case.

~~SECRET~~

Page Denied

Next 6 Page(s) In Document Denied

25X1

25X1

~~SECRET~~


Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Thursday - 24 July 1969

Page 3

25X1

7. [redacted] Hand-carried to Representative Dante B. Fascell (D., Fla.) some suggested language for inclusion in the report of his Subcommittee on Legal and Monetary Affairs of the House Government Operations Committee as he had requested. Mr. Fascell thanked me for my "pursuit" of this matter.


25X1



25X1

9. [redacted] The office of Senator Wallace F. Bennett (R., Utah), called requesting two copies of the CIA phone directory for their use. I told them that the directory is classified. They understood and thanked me.

25X1



JOHN M. MAURY
Legislative Counsel

cc:
Ex/Dir-Compt
O/DDCI

25X1



Mr. Houston
Mr. Goodwin
DD/I
DD/S
DD/S&T
EA/DDP
OPPB

~~SECRET~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Wednesday - 23 July 1969

Page 4

25X1

14. [redacted] Received a call from Mr. Don DeKieffen, Senate Republican Policy Committee, concerning back copies of the FBIS Daily Report for March, April and May. After checking with FBIS advised Mr. DeKieffen that copies are not retained for more than a month. He expressed his appreciation for the return call and advised that he was sure he could obtain them from one of his members who does maintain a file of FBIS reports.

25X1

15. [redacted] In response to his request of yesterday, advised Mr. Vincent Augliere, Staff Director, House Foreign Operations and Government Information Subcommittee, that [redacted] is not associated in any way with the Agency. Advised for the Chairman's information that [redacted] was a member of OSS.

25X1
25X1

25X1

[redacted signature box]

JOHN M. MAURY
Legislative Counsel

cc:
Ex/Dir-Compt
O/DDCI

25X1

[redacted]

Mr. Houston
Mr. Goodwin
DD/I
DD/S
DD/S&T
EA/DDP
OPPB

25X1

Item #11 - [redacted] TSD

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

3365-1

23 JUL 1969

Honorable Henry S. Reuss
Chairman, Conservation and Natural
Resources Subcommittee
Committee on Government Operations
House of Representatives
Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This is in followup of our letter of 6 June 1969 and completes our response to your letter of 16 May 1969 concerning air pollution abatement at Federal installations.

The General Services Administration will include in its report to your Subcommittee all information requested on agency installations. Accordingly and in keeping with the understanding reached with Mr. Gerald Schatz of your staff, we will not duplicate GSA's reporting.

We hope this information proves helpful. If we can be of any further assistance, please let us know.

Sincerely,

[Redacted Signature]

John M. Maury
Legislative Counsel

Distribution:

- Orig. & 1 - Addressee
- 2 - DDS
- 1 - Subj.
- 1 - Chrono

CONCUR:

[Redacted Name]

Deputy Director for Support

22 July 69
Date

OLC/LLM:rw (18 July 69)

23 JUL 1969

Honorable Henry S. Reuss
Chairman, Conservation and Natural
Resources Subcommittee
Committee on Government Operations
House of Representatives
Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This letter supplements our letter of 6 June 1969 and completes our response to your letter of 16 May 1969 concerning air pollution abatement at Federal installations.

The General Services Administration will include in its report to your Subcommittee all information requested on Agency installations. Accordingly and in keeping with the understanding reached with Mr. Gerald Schatz of your staff, we will not duplicate GSA's reporting.

We hope this information proves helpful. If we can be of any further assistance, please let us know.

Sincerely,

[Redacted Signature]

John M. Maury
Legislative Counsel

Distribution:

- Orig. & 1 - Addressee
- 2 - DDS
- ✓1 - Subj
- 1 - Chrono

CONCUR:

/s/ Robert L. Bannerman 22 July 1969
Deputy Director for Support Date

OLC/LLM:rw (18 July 69)

Rewritten:OLC/JMM:rw (22 July 69)

STAT

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

DD/S 69-3402

SUBJECT: (Optional)
 Survey of Air Pollution Abatement at Federal Installations

FROM: Director of Logistics
 1447 Ames Building

EXTENSION NO. []
 FILE []
 DATE 23 JUL 1969

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building) DATE RECEIVED FORWARDED OFFICER'S INITIALS COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

STAT Chief, Support Operations Staff
 STAT 1 []

7-25-69 RBL

1. [] Office of Legislative Counsel, has advised that his Office does not want to report air pollution data on the Headquarters Building to the congressional Subcommittee since the General Services Administration (GSA) had already done so. Accordingly, GSA was contacted to insure that we would report the proper figures on [] since one table was a consolidation of the information obtained for both buildings.

2. 7D18 Headquarters

2. At this point Mr. Schick of GSA advised that although they had reported [] to us, they really did not feel it was necessary to report that installation to the Subcommittee. In fact, GSA had not reported installations for which it was responsible which used that type of oil.

3.

3. Accordingly, the following statement can accurately be made to the Subcommittee in lieu of any detailed statistical reports.

4.

"All information which the Subcommittee requested on Agency installations will be reported by GSA."

STAT

5.

[] indicated that he intended to so indicate in the letter to the Subcommittee

6.

STAT

8.

9.

0.

1.

2.

3.

STAT

STAT

5.

JUL 9 1969 / George E. Meloon

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
	UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	[Redacted] 7D02		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
Remarks:			
<p>Dick:</p> <p>This represents the outcome of my talk with [Redacted] He is sending you up a note probably through George Meloon bearing this out. I will be on leave next week and the letter must be in the Committee's hands no later than August 1, 1969. Please obtain Mr. Bannerman's concurrence and forward to our office so that it can be sent out early next week.</p> <p>[Redacted]</p>			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
OLC 7D35 [Redacted]			
	UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

Survey of Air Pollution Abatement at Federal Installations

14 JUL 1969

STAT Director of Logistics
1227 Ames Building



STAT Chief, Support Operations Staff
Attn:
7D18 Headquarters

1. Attached are the reports in triplicate requested by the Honorable Henry S. Reuss relating to a survey of air pollution abatement at Federal installations. As you are aware, the Legislative Counsel earlier gave an interim report to the Subcommittee. The General Services Administration (GSA) has advised that it is reporting separately on the Headquarters Building but not on the FBIS Station,

The Legislative Counsel should be made aware of this duplicate reporting by GSA on the Headquarters Building.

STAT

STAT

2. earlier indicated that upon receipt of the completed forms, the Legislative Counsel would write the letter to the Subcommittee.

STAT

3. We would appreciate your passing the attachment to who, in turn, will ensure that Mr. John Maury will transmit it to Mr. Reuss.

Signed: John F., Blake

George E. Meloon

Att

01 9 4727

Name of department or agency: GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

TABLE I.--Summary of Air Pollution Abatement by Federal Installations in Air Quality Control Regions as of April 1, 1969

(1)	(2) Number of installations	(3) Total annual emissions (Tons)		(5) Number of installations exempted by DIRM, as of April 1, 1969, from abatement requirements		(7) Number of installations not in compliance with abatement requirements as of April 1, 1969		(9) Number of installations in full compliance
		(4) Sulfur dioxide	(4) Particulates	(6) Sulfur dioxide	(6) Particulates	(8) Sulfur dioxide	(8) Particulates	
TOTALS	<u>2</u>	<u>242.7</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>NONE</u>	<u>NONE</u>	<u>NONE</u>	<u>NONE</u>	<u>2</u>
Air Quality Control Regions								
1. Washington, D. C. National Capital Interstate Air Quality Control Region	2	242.7	11					2
2. New Jersey-New York- Connecticut Interstate Air Quality Control Region								
3. Metropolitan Chicago Interstate Air Quality Control Region								
4. Metropolitan Philadelphia Interstate Air Quality Control Region								
5. Metropolitan Denver Air Quality Control Region								
6. Metropolitan Los Angeles Air Quality Control Region								

Name of department or agency: GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

TABLE I (continued)

(1)	(10) Number of installations where work was done or under way in FY 69 to reduce emissions	(11) Estimated cost of work cited in Col. 10 (Thousands of dollars)	(12) Number of installations proposing abatement work in addition to that cited in Col. 10	(13) Estimated cost of work cited in Col. 12 (Thousands of dollars)	(14) Amount requested from Budget Bureau for abatement in FY 70 (Thousands of dollars)	(15) Amount approved by Budget Bureau for abatement in FY 70 (Thousands of dollars)	(16) (17) Estimated annual emissions after completion of remedial measures cited in Cols. 10 and 12 (Tons)	
							Sulfur dioxide	Particulates
TOTALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	—	—
Air Quality Control Regions								
1. Washington, D. C. National Capital Interstate Air Quality Control Region	0	0	0	0	0	0		
2. New Jersey-New York-Connecticut Interstate Air Quality Control Region								
3. Metropolitan Chicago Interstate Air Quality Control Region								
4. Metropolitan Philadelphia Interstate Air Quality Control Region								
5. Metropolitan Denver Air Quality Control Region								
6. Metropolitan Los Angeles Air Quality Control Region								

Name of department or agency: GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Number of installations listed in Col. 1: 2

TABLE I-A-1.--Air Pollutant Emissions and Compliance with Abatement Requirements by Federal Installations, as of April 1, 1969, in Washington, D. C. National Capital Interstate Air Quality Control Region

(1) Installation and location	(2) Emissions sources	(3) Total annual emissions (Tons)		(5) Installations exempted by DHEW, as of April 1, 1969, from abatement requirements		(7) Installations not in compliance with abatement requirements, as of April 1, 1969		(9) If installation not in compliance, cite applicable standard(s)
		Sulfur dioxide	Particulates	Sulfur dioxide	Particulates	Sulfur dioxide	Particulates	
TOTALS	-----	---	---	<u>NONE</u>	<u>NONE</u>	<u>NONE</u>	<u>NONE</u>	<u>NONE</u>
STATSPEC Headquarters Bldg. Langley, Virginia	(3) Boilers (3) 600HP #6 oil each	242.6	11					
	1) Boiler #2 oil.	.14	negligible					

Name of department or agency: GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Page 1 of 1 pages

TABLE I-B-1.--Air Pollution Abatement by Federal Installations, as of April 1, 1969, in Washington, D. C. National Capital Interstate Air Quality Control Region

(1) Installation and location	(2) Work done or under way in FY 69 to reduce emissions	(3) Estimated cost of work described in Col. 2 (Thousands of dollars)	(4) Abatement work proposed in addition to that described in Col. 2	(5) Estimated cost of work described in Col. 4 (Thousands of dollars)	(6) Amount requested from Budget Bureau for abatement in FY 70 (Thousands of dollars)	(7) Amount approved by Budget Bureau for abatement in FY 70 (Thousands of dollars)	(8) Estimated annual emissions after completion of remedial measures described in Cols. 2 and 4 (Tons)		(9)
							Sulfur dioxide	Particulates	
TOTALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	242.7	11	
							242.7	11	

Name of department or agency: GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

TABLE II.--Total Changes in Fuel Consumption and Sulfur Dioxide Emissions of All Federal Installations for Which There Were Plans, as of April 1, 1969, for Fuel Shifts to Result in Abatement of Air Pollution

(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)		(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Consumption for year preceding April 1, 1969		Residual oil (Thousands of gallons)	Total sulfur dioxide emissions for year preceding April 1, 1969 (Tons)	Estimated annual consumption after completion of all fuel shifts planned as of April 1, 1969		Distillate fuel oil (Thousands of gallons)	Natural gas (Millions of cubic feet)		Estimated annual sulfur dioxide emissions after completion of fuel shifts (Tons)
Coal (Thousands of tons)				Lower-sulfur coal (Thousands of tons)	Lower-sulfur residual oil (Thousands of gallons)				
		1,954.	242.8		1,954	7.9			161.9

STAT

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE
		16 July 1969
TO:		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
7D35	Hqs.	
REMARKS:		
Per our phone conversation.		
FROM		
Support Operations Staff/DD/S		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION
7D02	Hqs.	

STAT

STAT

~~SECRET~~

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Tuesday - 22 July 1969

Page 3

25X1 9. [redacted] In response to his call of Friday evening met with Mr. Vincent Augliere, Staff Director, House Foreign Operations and Government Information Subcommittee. Mr. Augliere advised that Chairman Moss is interested in following up on information he has received

25X1 [redacted]

I thanked Mr. Augliere for the Chairman's courtesy and 25X1 advised that I would relay the request and be back in touch with him.

[redacted]

JOHN M. MAURY
Legislative Counsel

cc:
Ex/Dir-Compt
O/DDCI

25X1 [redacted]
Mr. Houston
Mr. Goodwin
DD/I
DD/S
DD/S&T
EA/DDP
OPP

~~SECRET~~

INTERNAL USE ONLY

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Friday - 18 July 1969

Page 4

14. (Internal Use Only - LLM) Spoke with Robert Hull, Department of State, concerning the Daniels bill's prospects and the impact on the CIA and Foreign Service retirement systems. Representative Wayne L. Hays (D., Ohio), Chairman, Subcommittee on State Department Organization and Foreign Operations, has promised to introduce a bill and hold hearings if and when the Daniels bill starts to move again.

STAT

[Redacted Signature Box]

JOHN M. MAURY
Legislative Counsel

cc:

Ex-Dir/Compt O/DDCI

STAT
STAT

[Redacted] Mr. Houston

Mr. Goodwin [Redacted]

DD/I DD/S DD/S&T

OPPBA EA/DDP

STAT

Item 12 - [Redacted]

Item 11 - [Redacted]

~~INTERNAL USE ONLY~~

~~SECRET~~

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Friday - 11 July 1969

25X1 1. [] Talked with Bill Woodruff, on the staff of the Senate Appropriations Committee, and advised him that the special clearances for Guy McConnell have been approved. I also urged Woodruff to get together with Bob Michaels, on the staff of the House Appropriations Committee, at his earliest opportunity to discuss the procedure to be followed concerning the funding of the Radios.

25X1 2. [] Called Winston Bryant, on the staff of Senator John McClellan (D., Ark.), to advise him of Senator Ervin's decision to allow the Agency to appear before the Constitutional Rights Subcommittee in executive session on S. 782. I told Bryant the appearance has been scheduled for the 22nd of July at 10:30 a. m. and expressed our sincere hope that Senator McClellan would attend.

25X1 3. [] Advised Oneta Stockstill, on the staff of the House Armed Services Committee, that the week of 28 July appeared to be the best time for the Director to brief the full House Armed Services Committee. Mrs. Stockstill said she will pass this on and be back in touch with us with a suggested date.

25X1 4. [] Senator Joseph Tydings' (D., Md.) office called and suggested that I see the Senator at 2:00 p. m. on Thursday, 17 July. This has to do with our interest in approaching the Senator regarding an Agency employee with whom he has been in contact.

25X1 5. [] Mr. Houston advised that OGC had been in touch with the Library of Congress on the inquiry which originated with Representative Dante B. Fascell's (D., Fla.) Subcommittee on Legal and Monetary Affairs of the House Operations Committee. OGC has taken care of this item and there is no further need for contact with the Congressman.

~~SECRET~~

SECRET

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Wednesday - 9 July 1969

Page 3

25X1

11. [redacted] In the absence of Representative Dante B. Fascell (D., Fla.), I talked with Mr. Michael Finley, of his staff, regarding the letter from the Library of Congress for information concerning Agency investigative personnel. I explained to Finley that our General Counsel's office was advising the Library of Congress that we would not be responding to their request which was being made as a result of a requirement on Congressman Fascell's Subcommittee on Legal and Monetary Affairs of the House Operations Committee. I asked Finley, however, to assure Mr. Fascell that we would be glad to discuss this matter with him personally if he desired anything from the Agency. I also asked for an opportunity to speak with Mr. Fascell about this at his earliest convenience. I left with Mr. Finley a copy of the Library of Congress letter to us.

25X1

12. [redacted] Met with William Woodruff, on the staff of the Senate Appropriations Committee, and briefed him on the latest information on Soviet ICBMs as well as the latest developments in the Sino-Soviet situation, scheduled visit of Soviet warships to Cuba, and the intensive air action in the Middle East. I also talked with Woodruff regarding our conversations with Robert Michaels, of the House Appropriations Committee staff, on RFE and RDC. (See Memorandum for the Record for details.)

25X1

13. [redacted] Met with Robert Michaels, on the staff of the House Appropriations Committee, and advised him of my conversation with Bill Woodruff on the subject of the Radios and RDC. Michaels agreed it would be desirable for him to get together with Woodruff on this. I indicated that we would be perfectly happy to get together with them jointly or separately whichever they wished.

25X1

14. [redacted] Picked up from the Subcommittee on National Security and International Operations, an advance copy of the speech which Senator Henry Jackson (D., Wash.) will be giving on the floor today, and which includes considerable information on Soviet leadership.

[redacted]

25X1

✓ JOHN M. MAURY
Legislative Counsel

cc:

Ex-Dir/Compt ODDCI

25X1

[redacted] Mr. Houston

25X1

Mr. Goodwin [redacted]

DD/I DD/S DD/S&T

OPPB EA/DDP

25X1

Item 2 - [redacted]

Item 11 - [redacted]

SECRET

Page Denied

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Friday - 20 June 1969

Page 3

25X1 11. [redacted] Met with Mr. Gary Bayer, Legis-
 25X1 lative Assistant to Representative Clarence Brown, Jr. (R., Ohio), and
 25X1 advised him that an Agency recruiter had been in contact with Mr. [redacted]
 25X1 [redacted] with regard to [redacted]
 25X1 interest in [redacted] employment with the Agency. [redacted] advised
 25X1 that he is not interested in relocating at this time. He has accepted a
 25X1 sales position with a company in the Ohio area. After checking with
 25X1 Representative Brown, who was in conference, Mr. Bayer expressed Brown's
 25X1 appreciation for our assistance in this matter. Mr. Bayer noted once again
 25X1 that [redacted] is a longtime friend of Representative Brown which was
 25X1 the reason for Brown's approach to the Agency through Representative
 25X1 Glenard Lipscomb (R., Calif.) in the first instance.

25X1
25X1
25X1
25X1

25X1 12. [redacted] Met with Mr. Jack Matteson, House
 25X1 Government Operations Committee Subcommittee on Foreign Operations
 25X1 and Government Information staff, as a followup to an earlier meeting
 25X1 concerning Chairman Moss' interest in classification of certain translations
 25X1 of Soviet documents. Mr. Matteson advised that Chairman Moss' schedule
 25X1 is such at this time that he felt it best not to pursue the question of a possible
 25X1 meeting with Mr. Maury to detail the particular case at hand since the matter
 25X1 had been resolved in our previous meeting (see Journal item of 2 June.) It
 25X1 would be best to let this matter lie and to save any future clarification of
 25X1 Agency classification procedures for another time.

25X1 13. [redacted] Met with Mr. Robert Michaels, House
 25X1 Appropriations Committee staff, who advised that the Government Accounting
 25X1 Office had provided a briefing for Committee staff on a number of GAO
 25X1 research contracts. [redacted]

25X1

[redacted]
 [redacted] Mr. Michaels would appreciate advice whether
 the Agency is in any way involved in these contacts and what the relationship
 might be with the Agency. In passing Mr. Michaels noted that the Navy pro-
 vided a showing of the Soviet film, "True Sons of the People," in the speaker's
 dining room a week or so ago. Several members of the Appropriations Com-
 mittee were in attendance.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

ALUCOUT CPS

INTERNAL USE ONLY

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Thursday - 12 June 1969

Page 3

10. (Internal Use Only - LLM) In response to the earlier inquiry of Mr. Donald Sarff, in the office of Senator Frank E. Moss (D., Utah), concerning a constituent who alleged we had "assured him employment," I told Sarff we would gather the facts and be back in touch tomorrow. Personnel is pulling the file on [redacted]

STAT

11. (Internal Use Only - LLM) In response to his earlier inquiry to Mr. Maury, assured Mr. Gerald Schatz, Subcommittee on Conservation and Natural Resources staff, that all Federal installations controlled by the Agency would be reported to the Subcommittee in connection with its air pollution survey. Schatz agreed that if GSA separately reports on property carried in the Agency's name on the Annual Real Property Inventory, such as the Headquarters building, a duplicate report would not be required from the Agency. I assured him we would advise the Subcommittee if this transpires.

12. (Internal Use Only - LLM) Called Jerry Sohns, BOB, concerning proposed legislation being processed through BOB for clearance to provide for exclusive remedy under the Tort Claims Act in the case of malpractice suits against physicians. Mr. Sohns will send us a standard request for views. [redacted] OGC, alerted us to Agency interest and the proposed legislation.

STAT

13. (Unclassified - CEA) Arranged for [redacted] a prospective applicant recommended by Scott Cohen in the office of Senator Charles Percy (R., Ill.), to meet with [redacted] Office of Personnel, who arranged interviews for [redacted] at the Agency.

STAT

STAT

STAT

~~INTERNAL USE ONLY~~

6 June 1969

Honorable Henry S. Reuss
Chairman, Conservation and Natural
Resources Subcommittee
Committee on Government Operations
House of Representatives
Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Thank you for your letter of 16 May 1969 concerning air pollution abatement at Federal installations.

All Agency occupied Federal installations on which you have requested information are maintained by the General Services Administration. That agency has agreed to conduct the necessary surveys and provide us with the requested information.

We are pleased to be able to assist you on this important survey and wish to assure you that our report will be submitted as promptly as possible.

Sincerely,



John M. Maury
Legislative Counsel

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Addressee
1 - DD/S
① - Subj.
1 - Chrono

OLC/LLM:rw (6 June 69)

UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	[Redacted] DI./RECD		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
<p>Remarks: Dan:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Attached is the revised letter we are sending to Representative Henry S. Reuss as an interim report on the air pollution survey. Also attached are the tables which I expect you will have filled out in triplicate for forwarding to the committee when you receive the information from GSA. 2. When the tables are completed, if you would forward them through your channels to us we will prepare an appropriate letter to the committee for Mr. Helms' signature. 3. Thank you for your help. <p>cc: [Redacted]</p>			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
OLC 7D35 [Redacted]			6 June 69
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	

UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP		
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE
1	[Redacted] 7D02	
2		/
[Redacted]		
ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT	FILE	RETURN
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
<p>Remarks: Jim:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I am sure Mr. Bannerman would not object to the proposed handling of this correspondence, but I'd like to get your concurrence before we send the revised letter out. I coordinated the language informally with [Redacted] 2. I've enclosed my note to my boss for your info. 3. Would like to get this back today so we could date the letter with today's date. <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 200px; height: 50px; margin: 10px auto;"></div>		
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER		
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.		DATE
OLC [Redacted] 7D35 [Redacted]		6 June 69
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET

6 June 1969

NOTE FOR MR. MAURY:

1. This is an interim reply to a congressional committee request to Mr. Helms concerning air pollution. I believe the interim reply should be signed by you rather than by the DD/S. I also believe the letter prepared for DD/S signature raises unnecessary questions as to whether we intend to fully comply with the committee's requirements. I have changed the letter to eliminate this possible problem and to conform (hopefully) to your style.

2. Our final report will be in full compliance with the committee's request, which is for information on information carried in the "Annual Real Property Survey." You will note that three Agency occupied installations in the metropolitan D. C. area will not be included in our final report because they are carried on GSA's Annual Real Property Inventory and not CIA's. Thus, if the committee does not request a pollution abatement report from GSA (which they haven't up to now), the committee will not receive information on these three installations.

LLM

Page Denied

UNCLASSIFIED USE ONLY CONFIDENTIAL SECRET

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Survey of Air Pollution Abatement at Federal Installations

FROM:

Director of Logistics
1227 Ames

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

4 JUN 1969

STATINTL

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

1. Deputy Director for Support
7D18 Headquarters

2.

3.

STATINTL

4.

STATINTL

5.

STATINTL

6.

7.

STATINTL

8.

STATINTL

9.

10.

George E. Meloon

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

This is an interim reply to the Subcommittee. Attached is a copy of a letter which has been sent to GSA requesting the required data. Note that only our Headquarters Buildings and [redacted] are mentioned in the letter to GSA. This is because the Subcommittee is using our overt Annual Property Report as the basis for the study. We only report four pieces of property overtly - the two mentioned above plus [redacted]

[redacted] will not be covered. Incidentally GSA personnel were not aware, prior to our contacting them, that this study was being conducted.

INTERNAL

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

The Honorable Henry S. Reuss
Chairman, Conservation and Natural
Resources Subcommittee
House of Representatives
Washington, D. C. 20515

My Dear Mr. Reuss:

We are in receipt of your letter to Mr. Helms dated May 16, 1969 concerning a survey of air pollution abatement at Federal installations.

All of the Federal installations, as defined in the survey's instructions, that the Central Intelligence Agency occupies in the metropolitan Washington area are maintained by the General Services Administration. We have contacted that agency, and its representatives have agreed to conduct the necessary surveys and provide us with the requested information as soon as possible. It will be forwarded to you as soon as it is received from the General Services Administration.

We are pleased to be able to assist you on this important survey.

Sincerely,

R. L. Bannerman
Deputy Director
for Support

rewritten in DHC

3 JUN 1969

Mr. John J. Province
Chief, Buildings Operation Division
Public Buildings Service, Region 3
General Services Administration
Washington, D. C. 20407

Dear Mr. Province:

Reference is made to your discussion with [redacted] concerning the request of the House Conservation and Natural Resources Subcommittee (enclosed) for information related to air pollution. STATINTL

In accordance with this conversation and your recommended approach, enclosed are forms which must be filled out and returned to the Agency for submission to the Subcommittee.

The Federally owned installations, as defined in the aforementioned Subcommittee's instructions (enclosed) and in which we are involved, are the Headquarters Buildings, Langley, Virginia, and the [redacted] STATINTL

If we can be of any further assistance, please let us know. As you are aware, we must reply to the Subcommittee by 1 August 1969; therefore, we would appreciate a reply from you by mid-July.

Sincerely,

LS

[redacted]

Chief

Real Estate and Construction Division

Enclosures

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Addressee w/encls

1 - OL/Official, w/encls

② - DD/S w/encls Chrono. (Subject)

OL/RECD [redacted] (29 May 69)

Director of Logistics
1206 Ames

The attached papers and routing slip from
[redacted] to me are self-explanatory.

We would like to have the response, for
signature by the DD/S, in hand no later than COB
18 July 1969.

LS

[redacted]

STATINTL

STATINTL

Support Operations Staff/DDS 7D02 22 May 69

SOS/DDS [redacted] (22 May 69)

Distribution:

- Orig - Adse w/cy of ATT
- ~~1~~ - DD/S Subject w/cy of att
- 1 - DD/S Chrono

STAT

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL		
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	DD/S 		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
Remarks:			
Dick:			
Please let us know if there are any problems in complying with the attached request. If you will recall, we received a similar request from former Representative Hervey G. Machen, but dealing solely with air pollutants attributed to our Headquarters building. Machen's inquiry of 13 March 1968 stemmed from an ongoing review by the Military Construction Subcommittee of House Armed Services. The instant request is on a much wider scope. 			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
OLC 7D35 			20 May 69
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

FORM NO. 1-67 **237** Use previous editions

GPO : 1968 O - 297-542

(40)

STATINTL

SENDER WILL INDICATE CLASSIFICATION TOP AREA				
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET		
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP				
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS	
1	DD/S 			
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
<input type="checkbox"/>	ACTION	<input type="checkbox"/>	DIRECT REPLY	
<input type="checkbox"/>	APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/>	DISPATCH	
<input type="checkbox"/>	COMMENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	FILE	
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONCURRENCE	<input type="checkbox"/>	INFORMATION	
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	PREPARE REPLY	
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	RECOMMENDATION	
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	RETURN	
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	SIGNATURE	
Remarks:				
Dick:				
<p>Please let us know if there are any problems in complying with the attached request. If you will recall, we received a similar request from former Representative Hervey G. Machen, but dealing solely with air pollutants attributed to our Headquarters building. Machen's inquiry of 13 March 1968 stemmed from an ongoing review by the Military Construction Subcommittee of House Armed Services. The instant request is on a much wider scope.</p>				
FOLD HERE TO				
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS				DATE
OLC	7D35			May 69
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET		

STATINTL

FORM NO. 1-67

237

Use previous editions

GPO : 1968 O - 207-042

(40)

HENRY S. REUSS, WIS., CHAIRMAN
JIM WRIGHT, TEX.
FLOYD V. HICKS, WASH.
JOHN E. MOSS, CALIF.

GUY VANDER JAGT, MICH.
GILBERT GUDE, MD.
PAUL N. MCCLOSKEY, JR., CALIF.

225-6427

NINETY-FIRST CONGRESS

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives

CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES SUBCOMMITTEE
OF THE
COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS
RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING, ROOM B349-B
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515

May 16, 1969

Mr. Richard Helms
Director of Central Intelligence
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mr. Helms:

The Clean Air Act as revised by the Air Quality Act of 1967 (sec. 111, Public Law 90-148; 81 Stat. 485, 499) and Executive Order 11282 of May 26, 1966, require Federal agencies to demonstrate leadership in the national struggle against air pollution by controlling and reducing atmospheric emissions from their installations.

Because there has been relatively little progress in this program since 1966 this Subcommittee is undertaking a survey of air pollution abatement at Federal installations. We will appreciate your furnishing us the information requested on the enclosed tables, in triplicate, not later than August 1, 1969.


These tables request data on:

1. Status of, and plans for, control of atmospheric emissions, as of April 1, 1969, at your agency's installations within the six Air Quality Control Regions established prior to that date; and
2. The nature and extent of fuel shifts planned by your agency's installations throughout the United States for purposes of air pollution abatement.

Enclosed with the questionnaire tables is a statement of instructions. Any questions regarding the survey may be

directed to Subcommittee Chief Counsel Phineas Indritz or
Professional Staff Member Gerald S. Schatz (Telephone: Govt.
Code 180, Ext. 6427, or 225-6427).

Sincerely,



Henry S. Reuss
Chairman
Conservation and Natural
Resources Subcommittee

HOUSE CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES SUBCOMMITTEE
Room B-349C, Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D. C. 20515

Telephone: Govt. Code 180, Ext. 6427,
or 225-6427

Survey of Air Pollution Abatement by Federal Installations, 1969

Instructions

This survey is to reflect conditions as of April 1, 1969, except where otherwise indicated. The enclosed tables are to be completed and returned in triplicate to the House Conservation and Natural Resources Subcommittee on or before August 1, 1969. If additional pages are needed, please use paper of same size as tables supplied by Subcommittee. Questions about the survey should be directed to Phineas Indritz, Subcommittee chief counsel, or to Gerald S. Schatz, Subcommittee professional staff member.

1. Definitions.

As defined in paragraph 4, Bureau of the Budget Circular No. A-78 ("Air pollution from Federal activities," August 6, 1966):

The term "Federal installation" includes all buildings and facilities owned by the Federal Government, whether or not they are operated by an agency of the Federal Government. It excludes buildings or facilities leased in whole or in part by the Federal Government except where an agency head determines in consultation with the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare that exclusion of such buildings would seriously impair his ability to carry out his responsibility to abate pollution resulting from Federal activities.

For purposes of this survey, the Subcommittee--unlike BOB Circular A-78--includes as Federal installations the buildings and facilities of the District of Columbia Government. The terms "installation," "in the United States," and "cost" are used as set forth in BOB Circular A-78, paragraph 4:

An "installation" is defined as the same reporting entity used in the Annual Real Property Inventory reported to General Services Administration pursuant to Federal Property Management Regulations, subchapter A, part 101-3. Installations "in the United States" include those in the 50 States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam and American Samoa. The "cost" of remedial measures is that amount which must be specifically provided for in the budget for the purpose of taking such measures. This generally will mean capital cost of equipment and cost of installation, but not maintenance and operating costs.

2. Compliance or noncompliance with Federal standards.

Federal standards are those prescribing air pollution abatement practices and emissions levels established by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare in 42 C.F.R. Part 76 and published in 31 Federal Register 7902 (June 3, 1966) as amended, 32 Federal Register 4415 (March 23, 1967), and, pursuant to sec. 76.3(b), include the standards, abatement practices, and emissions levels prescribed by local agencies if they are more stringent than the Federal standards. Because the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare on April 4, 1969, proposed (34 Federal Register 6122) to eliminate paragraph (3) of sec. 76.4, requiring a smoke detector, recorder, or alarm, and to eliminate the phrase in sec. 76.8(b) restricting particle size to 60 microns, disregard these requirements in determining whether a facility was in compliance with Federal standards as of April 1, 1969.

3. Exemptions.

"Exemptions" from abatement requirements are those granted by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare pursuant to section 4(i) of Executive Order 11282 ("Control of Air Pollution Originating from Federal Installations," May 26, 1966).

4. Scope of survey.

Tables I-A-1 through I-A-6 and I-B-1 through I-B-6 call for an installation-by-installation listing of all installations, whether or not reported to the Bureau of the Budget pursuant to BOB Circular A-78, with one or more facilities meeting any of the following criteria:

- Facilities, including places of open burning, are of size and type subject to emissions limitations and air pollution abatement practices required by Federal standards as defined hereinabove.
- Facilities on April 1, 1969, were not in compliance with Federal standards as defined hereinabove.
- Facilities on April 1, 1969, were under exemption by action of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare or exemption was being sought.
- Facilities were to have been reported to the Bureau of the Budget pursuant to BOB Circular A-78 on or before July 1, 1969.

Tables I-A-1 through I-A-6 and I-B-1 through I-B-6 are organized by Air Quality Control Region. Totals from these tables are to be used in filling out Table I, a summary by region.

Table II calls for information on fuel shifts and anticipated changes in fuel consumption only by those installations that as of April 1, 1969, were planning fuel shifts expected to result in abatement of air pollution. This table calls for totals resulting from such changes by all such installations in the United States. Do not list such installations.

5. Special instructions for filling out Tables in I-A and I-B series.

Information should be given for installation, not for each separate facility. Column 1 in the Table I-B series is to be identical to Column 1 in the Table I-A series. List installations alphabetically. Columns requiring other than quantitative information are to be filled in according to the examples below.

TABLE I-A series

Column 2, "Emissions sources." Describe briefly, e.g.:

255 coal-fired furnaces,
1 open-burning dump,
3 hand-fired incinerators,
1 500-MW power plant.

Columns 5, 6, "Installations exempted" Indicate with an "X" if installation is exempted, then show total number of such answers in totals.

Columns 7, 8, "Installations not in compliance" Indicate with an "X" if installation not in compliance with Federal standards as defined hereinabove, then show total number of such answers in totals.

Column 9, "If installation not in compliance, cite applicable standard(s)." Briefly cite standard and jurisdiction, e.g.:

"Rule 22, Los Angeles County APCD" or

"Sec. 76.8 of 42 C.F.R."

TABLE I-B series

Column 2, "Work done or under way in FY 69" Describe briefly, e.g.:

Open burning discontinued and sanitary landfill established. Boilers converted to No. 2 fuel oil. Power plant being converted to natural gas.

Column 4, "Abatement work proposed in addition to that described in Col. 2." Describe briefly, e.g.:

Replacement of 70 hand-fired, coal-burning barracks boilers with gas-fired central heating plant.

OLC 69-0423

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/05/07 : CIA-RDP71B00364R000100210001-3

	UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP					
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS		DATE	INITIALS	
1	The Director			<i>mt</i>	
2	Mr. Maury				
3					
4					
5					
6					
	ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY	
	APPROVAL		DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION	
	COMMENT		FILE	RETURN	
	CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION	SIGNATURE	
Remarks:					
<i>LEA - ...</i>					
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER					
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.				DATE	
	UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/05/07 : CIA-RDP71B00364R000100210001-3

DD/S RECEIVED

8122 Bldg. Guards

27 MAR 1968

The Honorable Hervey G. Machen
House of Representatives
Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Mr. Machen:

This is in reply to your letter of March 13, 1968, in which you inquire as to what steps we intend to take to abate air pollutants attributed to our Headquarters Building in northern Virginia.

We began working with the General Services Administration (GSA) as soon as estimates of pollutants emitted were cited to us by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) in October 1967. Inasmuch as GSA possesses the operating and maintenance responsibilities for our Headquarters Building, we are relying on GSA for technical guidance in ascertaining the basic cause and arriving at a solution to the problem. At the same time, we are cooperating on a mutual basis so that in working together we can alleviate this situation.

GSA advised us that the pollutant source stems primarily from the boiler and that everything within the means of GSA will be done to correct this situation. Specific steps already taken include the use of combustion controls and test sampling devices to ensure that the boilers are operated and maintained efficiently so as to reduce smoking and other emissions. Fuel oil with a lower sulphur content is to be obtained from oil suppliers starting July 1, 1968. GSA anticipates that compliance with pollutant standards will be accomplished by means of proper boiler operation and controlled fuel oil quality.

If there is any further information which you would like relating to this matter, please let me know.

Sincerely,

s/ John S. Warner

John S. Warner
Legislative Counsel

OL 8 2095

The Honorable Hervey G. Machen, House of Representatives

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Addressee

1 - ER

✓ 2 - DD/S Chrono, (Subject)

1 - OLC

**1 - OL Files (Official),
w/ref.**

JWC signed routing to Leg Counsel - 27 Apr 68.

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Air Pollution

FROM:

Director of Logistics
1206 Ames Building

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

26 MAR 1968

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

1. Deputy Director for Support
7D26 Headquarters

[Handwritten initials]

To 1:

The attached proposed reply to Congressman Machen's letter has been prepared for signature by the Legislative Counsel in accordance with a request from your Office on 20 March 1968.

2.

3. Legislative Counsel
7D01 Headquarters

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

OL 8 2095

SENDER WILL INDICATE CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	The Director		
2	Ex. Dir. Rec'd 14 Mar	3/18	W
3	DD/S		
4			
5			
6			
ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	3	PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL	DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT	FILE		RETURN
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION		SIGNATURE
Remarks: <i>Please coordinate with Legislative Council</i>			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	

STAT

5TH DISTRICT, MARYLAND

PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY
DISTRICT OFFICE:
4328 FARRAGUT STREET
HYATTSVILLE, MD. 20781
TEL: 277-7747

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

CHARLES COUNTY
DISTRICT OFFICE:
SUITE 202, JAMES BUILDING
LA PLATA, MD. 20646
TEL. 301-934-4608

DD/S 68-1417

March 13, 1968

DD/S RECEIVED
Bldg & Grounds

Mr. Richard Helms
Director of Central Intelligence
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D. C. 20505


Dear Mr. Helms:

A survey of point sources of air pollution emitting more than 100 tons annually of any single pollutant from 1965-1966 has the Central Intelligence Agency in northern Virginia emitting more than 500 tons annually of several pollutants.

I would appreciate knowing what steps you intend to take to correct this condition.

With kindest regards and best wishes, I am

Sincerely yours,



Hervey G. Machen, M. C.

HGM:ppf

~~SECRET~~

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Monday - 2 June 1969

Page 2

25X1 5. [redacted] Met with Mr. Frank Slatinshek, House
25X1 Armed Services Committee staff, in response to his question con-
cerning [redacted]

25X1 [redacted] I advised that
Mr. Maury has talked to [redacted] who will be pleased to discuss
the matter further with Mr. Slatinshek at his pleasure. Mr. Slatinshek
expressed his appreciation and noted that he will need to ascertain
Chairman Rivers' desires before proceeding further with the Subcommittee
on this matter.

Briefed Mr. Slatinshek on the Communist military aims in
South Vietnam.

[redacted] 25X1
Also briefed Mr. Michaels on the Communist military aims in
South Vietnam.

25X1 7. [redacted] Met with Mr. Jack Matteson, House
Government Information and Foreign Operations Subcommittee staff,
and discussed with him a complaint received by the Subcommittee con-
cerning classification of an item appearing in the Technical Abstract
Bulletin published by the Defense Documentation Center, Defense Supply
Agency. It was reported that the item was classified by the Central
Intelligence Agency. After some discussion it was suggested that due to
Chairman Moss' strong personal interest in classification of foreign docu-
ments a meeting be scheduled for Mr. Maury with the Chairman after the
Chairman's return to the city (see Memorandum for the Record).

~~SECRET~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

2 June 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Inquiry from Representative John E. Moss
(D., Calif.) - Soviet Publication Voyennaya
Mysl' (Military Thought) for November 1968

1. In response to a call from Mr. Matteson, House Government Information and Foreign Operations Subcommittee staff, I met with him and reviewed the committee file on a complaint received by the Chairman concerning classification of an item listed in the Technical Abstract Bulletin published by the Defense Documentation Center, Defense Supply Agency. The complainant noted in his letter that he had recently noticed a trend toward classification of translations from Russian books and publications and cited bulletin No. 69-3. Mr. Matteson requested that the complainant's name not be cited even though he had provided the complete file for my review. He furnished a copy of the Chairman's letter to the Secretary of the Navy (attached) for background information.

25X1 2. I advised Mr. Matteson that I was familiar in general with the Soviet publication, [redacted] and that it was my understanding that it is published in three versions which are classified by the Soviets. Mr. Matteson was interested in whether classification by the Agency is related to the content of the subsequent translation (which in this instance was by the Navy Department) or solely to protect the source of this Soviet publication. I advised that our classification would be for protection of source. On a personal note, Mr. Matteson expressed interest in the question whether the Soviet Embassy might not have access to the Technical Abstract Bulletin through normal publication channels and, if so, wouldn't they then be apprised of the fact that we are able to acquire

25X1 [redacted]

3. We discussed at some length Chairman Moss' very strong personal interest in "overclassification" by the Executive Branch. Even though Mr. Matteson foresees no further official question on this particular matter, he agreed that it would be well to schedule a meeting for Mr. Maury with Representative Moss on his return to the city.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CONFIDENTIAL

4. I intend to follow up in approximately ten days on a date to meet with Chairman Moss. No further action is desired by Mr. Matteson.

[Redacted Signature]

25X1

Assistant Legislative Counsel

Att

Distribution:

Orig - Subject

~ 1 - Chrn

OLC/JGO:sml (4 June 1969)

CONFIDENTIAL

WILLIAM J. RANDALL, MD.
JOHN S. MONAGAN, CONN.

FRANK HORTON, R.V.
228-3741

NINETY-FIRST CONGRESS

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives

FOREIGN OPERATIONS AND GOVERNMENT INFORMATION SUBCOMMITTEE
OF THE
COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS
RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING, ROOM B371-B
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515

May 15, 1969

The Honorable John H. Chafee
Secretary of the Navy
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20350

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Following, in part, is a complaint I have received on which I would like a full and complete explanation at the earliest date:


"I regularly read the Technical Abstract Bulletin published by the Defense Documentation Center, Defense Supply Agency. This excellent bulletin presents abstracts of documents of interest to and available to defense contractors. Recently I have noticed a trend toward the classification of translations from Russian books and periodicals. In bulletin number 69-3 a truly classic case of this appeared.

"Document AD-394352 was abstracted in Field 13. This is a translation by the Naval Intelligence Command, Wash., D. C., Translation Div; issued 30 Oct. 1968, Report No. VIC-Trans-2721 (b) and is a translation of Trans. of Military Thought (Voyennaya Mysl') (USSR) n 10 p49-55 1966 by W. B. Cramer. Not only is this document classified Confidential, but the title is also classified.

"If this Russian publication is readily available, why is the translation classified? Who is concealing information from whom? I certainly would be interested in hearing a justification regarding the classification of this type of document."

Haphazard and irresponsible use of the secrecy stamp by the military has long been of concern to the Foreign Operations and Government Information Subcommittee. Thus, when the Subcommittee receives a complaint of this type it is most disturbing.

Sincerely,



2 5508

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Thursday - 29 May 1969

25X1

1. [redacted] Accompanied Mr. [redacted] Office of Security, who changed the safe combinations on the personal office safes of Representatives Leslie C. Arends (R., Ill.) and Charles Gubser (R., Calif.). Both appreciated the Agency's assistance in this matter.

25X1

25X1

2. [redacted] Met with Representative Charles S. Gubser (R., Calif.) who requested that a Secret security clearance be granted to his Administrative Assistant, Miss Jean E. Gordon. Office of Security has been advised.

25X1

3. [redacted] Received a call from Mr. Jack Matteson, House Foreign Operations and Government Information Subcommittee, who requested that I come by on Monday to review a file relating to classification of documents which involve the Central Intelligence Agency. I thanked Jack for his call and told him I would be by during the day on Monday.

25X1

4. [redacted] Informed Mrs. Frances Anderson, in the office of Senator Paul J. Fannin (R., Ariz.), that the Agency has no record of application for employment by [redacted]

25X1

25X1

5. [redacted] Miss Susan Matthews, in the office of Representative Robert N. Giaimo (D., Conn.), called to advise it is no longer necessary for them to continue any of the FBIS subscriptions they now receive. FBIS was advised.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~SECRET~~

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Friday - 23 May 1969

Page 3

25X1

9. [redacted] Met briefly with Frank Matchett, House Manpower and Civil Service Subcommittee staff, who advised that Chairman Henderson's draft bill on constitutional rights of Government employees has not been scheduled as yet for introduction to the House. He noted that the Chairman in all probability will be meeting with Senator Ervin (D., N.C.) again before such introduction would take place. He also noted that interest in the bill and demands for action on the Ervin bill have cooled considerably. The unions are starting to press with some vigor for a new wage board bill and a new labor information bill.

25X1

10. [redacted] Met with Mr. J. R. Blandford, Chief Counsel, House Armed Services Committee, who advised that he had had a fine visit with General Cushman yesterday and is looking forward to the General's introduction to the CIA Subcommittee on Tuesday. I reviewed with Mr. Blandford the contemplated [redacted]

25X1

25X1

[redacted] and briefed him on the following items:

a. Sino-Soviet clash on the Kazakhstan-Sinkiang border

b. 21 May Soviet extended range SS-9 MRV tests

25X1

11. [redacted] At his request, met with Mr. Vincent Augliere, Staff Director, Moss Government Information and Foreign Operations Subcommittee, concerning [redacted] who had met with Committee representatives during the week. (See memorandum for the record.)

25X1

[redacted signature box]

JOHN M. MAURY
Legislative Counsel

cc:
Ex-Dir/Compt
ODDCI
[redacted]
Mr. Houston
Mr. Goodwin
DD/I
DD/S

DD/S&T
OPPB
EA/DDP

25X1

25X1

~~SECRET~~

Page Denied


Next 1 Page(s) In Document Denied

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Tuesday - 8 April 1969


25X1



25X1

2. Dorothy Fosdick, on the staff of the Subcommittee on National Security and International Operations, called and asked if we could provide her with the text of an article which appeared in PRAVDA by Eduard Bagramov. According to Miss Fosdick there was a reference to this article in this morning's newspaper. This item was located by FBIS and forwarded to Miss Fosdick.

25X1



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Thursday - 3 April 1969

25X1

1. [redacted] Mrs. Ester Hickey, Joint Economic Committee staff, called and requested a subscription to run through August 1969 for the FBIS Latin America daily report. [redacted] FBIS, was notified.

25X1

25X1

2. [redacted] Miss Janice Kummer, Civil Service Commission, in Denver, Colorado, called explaining that she was having difficulty in obtaining information on employment opportunities in Federal service for law school graduates and mentioned that she had been given the name of [redacted] I briefly explained the opportunities with this Agency and in the interest of being more responsive to her requirements, [redacted] will contact her in his Federal Bar Association capacity.

25X1

25X1

25X1

3. [redacted] Mr. Bill Adams, Legislative Counsel Office, House of Representatives, called to advise that he had completed work on the forms for his security clearances and he will be forwarding them.

25X1

25X1

4. [redacted] Returned the call of Janice Kummer, Civil Service Commission, in Denver, Colorado, concerning placement opportunities for attorneys. Miss Kummer advised that she is working with the University law school placement program. Advised Miss Kummer that we are a small Agency with the result of limited placement opportunities and referred her to the Chairman of the Federal Bar Association Placement Committee for definitive across-the-board information on placement opportunities in the Federal Government for lawyers.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Page Denied

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Wednesday - 19 March 1969

25X1 1. [] Delivered to J. Sourwine, Chief Counsel,
Senate Internal Security Subcommittee, a background memorandum on
25X1 [] I informed Sourwine that [] is now a free agent
and has been in touch with several magazines in an effort to sell material 25X1
he has written and that he has also been in touch with a staff member of
the House Committee on Foreign Affairs. I noted that we cannot control
25X1 [] actions in this regard but that if Sourwine's Subcommittee wants
there would appear to be no problem although there may be dupli-
cation. Sourwine said that on the basis of the background memorandum,
he did not think the Subcommittee would want [] but that he will check 25X1
with the Chairman.

25X1 2. [] In response to a request from Scott
Cohen, in the office of Senator Charles Percy (R., Ill.), made personnel
interview appointments for [] at 1530 today and []
25X1 [] for 1000 tomorrow. STAT

25X1 3. [] Met with Mr. J. R. Blandford, Chief
Counsel, House Armed Services Committee, and reviewed briefly with
him the transcript of the Director's testimony of 18 March before the
full Armed Services Committee. Mr. Blandford agreed, on behalf of
the Chairman, that the transcripts be retained by the Agency on call for
Committee use. Mr. Blandford also requested that the visual aid
material be inserted at the appropriate places in the transcript. He
also requested that I stand by on Thursday, 25 March, while Mr. Laird
is present before the Committee, with a copy of the transcript for the
Chairman's use.

25X1 4. [] In response to his query, advised
Mr. Vincent Augliere, House Subcommittee on Foreign Operations and
Government Information staff, that [] entered on duty on 25X1
13 September 1948 but is not now an Agency employee. Mr. Augliere
noted that [] is an individual Chairman Moss met in the Far East
25X1 and will be seeing again in the near future.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

25X1

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Tuesday - 18 March 1969

Page 2

4. [redacted] Robert Hull, Department of State, called in connection with my earlier inquiry and reported that the Foreign Service Association Hospital Plan will be amended effective 1 January 1970 to place overseas and domestic Foreign Service personnel on the same footing with respect to reimbursement under the medical insurance plan and the overseas medical statutory benefit program. This will place the Foreign Service plan into major compliance with the suggestions of the Comptroller General's report and will involve probably a 20 percent increase in premium costs. Mr. C. W. Hall, Jr., who is with the Foreign Service Protection Association has further details. This information was passed on to [redacted] Personnel.

25X1

5. [redacted] Delivered a memorandum on [redacted] to J. Sourwine, Senate Internal Security Subcommittee staff, in response to his earlier request.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

[redacted]

7. [redacted] Met with Mr. Sam Freedman and Mr. Tom Blackenship, Capitol Reporting Company, and finalized the arrangements for security control for the transcripts of the Director's testimony before the House Armed Services Committee. Only two copies of the transcripts will be made.

25X1

8. [redacted] In response to his call, met with Mr. Vincent Augliere, House Subcommittee on Foreign Operations and Government Information. Mr. Augliere requested a check of the name [redacted] presently employed by the Government in Saigon who has come to the attention of Chairman Moss. Mr. Augliere advised that the Chairman would like to ascertain whether [redacted] is an Agency employee before proceeding further.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~


H. Gov. A. C. S.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Thursday - 13 March 1969

Page 4

25X1



25X1

13. Ernest C. Baynard, Staff Director, Government Activities Subcommittee and principal advisor to Rep. Jack Brooks on ADP matters, called in connection with an ADP standards Board of Review which has been operating for some eight months now under the aegis of the National Academy of Science and funded by ARPA and chaired by Ottinger of Harvard. Baynard said he had been invited to attend some of the meetings, felt that the Board's work was unnecessarily duplicative of the responsibilities of the National Bureau of Standards and Executive agencies, and thus a waste of time and money. He said he felt he was only seeing part of the iceberg and believed there were a number of sessions to which he was not being invited and which he believed dealt in part with computer export and national security interest. Baynard was obviously on a fishing expedition and we are under no obligation to reply.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

69-1278

6 March 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

**SUBJECT: Representative Jack Brooks' Memorandum
re DOD Computer Buy**

1. At the DCI staff meeting this morning Mr. Bross mentioned a Congressional letter to Mr. Laird concerning the planned DOD computer buy for the Command and Control System.

2. The memorandum was signed by Representative Jack Brooks who is chairman of the Government Activities Subcommittee of the House Committee on Government Operations. Representative Brooks has no previous training or experience in the computer field but has selected this as his area of particular interest in government operations.

3. Representative Brooks makes the following points in his letter:

No one office in DOD is responsible for overall control of all aspects of computer procurement--requirements, validation, coordination, etc.--in a program which will reach 500 million dollars over five years.

There has been no valid feasibility study or evaluation of the equipment now in use.


Procurement should be after system design and development, not before.

There is no indication that the new proposed system will be any better than the old. No satisfactory data management package has yet been designed for the old system. These management packages are now designed after the system is in--not before, as they should be.

This five-year program is a "brute force" method to achieve compatibility and to get away from "sole source" from IBM. It is an interim program while waiting for development of better hardware and systems--is it necessary?

4. The computer people at DIA agree with the Brooks letter. They have been trying for some time to get disengaged from the program since it slows them up and presents unnecessary problems.

5. A copy of this memorandum and the Brooks letter have been given to Mr. Maury.



STATINTL

cc: Legislative Counsel
w/Brooks letter, 17 Feb 69

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP					
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS		DATE	INITIALS	
1	[Redacted]			[Signature]	
2					
3					
4					
5	House 006 + 0.500				
6					
	ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY	
	APPROVAL		DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION	
	COMMENT		FILE	RETURN	
	CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION	SIGNATURE	
Remarks:					
[Redacted]					
<p>It seems to me that Rep. Brooks is simply talking about good management practices --- in this case, ADP. We have made real progress in recent years on the problem of coordinating the Agency's ADP. There's not much agreement on what is, or should be, a "valid feasibility study" for ADP.</p>					
[Redacted]					
O/PPB/IPS					
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER					
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.				DATE	
6E25, [Redacted]				3/12/69	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET

STATINTL

STAT

STATINTL

STATINTL

House of Representatives
GOVERNMENT ACTIVITIES SUBCOMMITTEE
OF THE
COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS
RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING, ROOM B350-B
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515

February 17, 1969

The Honorable Melvin R. Laird
Secretary of Defense
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Secretary:

This Subcommittee has devoted considerable effort over the years to advancements in the management and utilization of computers in Government. Under Public Law 89-306, the Executive Branch is structuring a Government-wide business-like management system for computers under the policy direction of the Bureau of the Budget, but with operational responsibilities lodged in the General Services Administration and the National Bureau of Standards.

On a number of occasions, the Subcommittee has intervened, either directly or through the Bureau of the Budget or GSA, when it became apparent that the course of some particular computer selection was ill-advised. On May 24, 1968, I wrote then Secretary of Defense Clark Clifford about plans of the Department to update the so-called "World-Wide Command and Control Computer System." At that time, we recommended that this large computer procurement might be segmented to allow for the purchase of computers of various manufacturers. Our interest in this goal is as strong as ever. However, over the past several months, as the Subcommittee has sought to obtain additional information concerning this proposed procurement, a number of other questions have arisen. For purposes of economy and efficiency, these questions merit consideration prior to finalization of this procurement, rather than after firm commitments have been made.

These questions and areas of concern are as follows:

1. Despite the magnitude of the proposed expenditure, there is no one office or individual in the entire department, to the Subcommittee's knowledge, having overall jurisdiction over the processing of this proposed procurement. Over a period of several months, every official in the Department the Subcommittee has contacted responded to some important aspect of this proposed procurement by saying that the matter was beyond his jurisdiction. Surely, when a sum in the magnitude of between \$100 million and \$500 million is involved, there should be overall coordination of the entire project at some level within the department with firm responsibility to evaluate the need and to maintain control over all aspects of the project.

3770

2 - The Honorable Melvin R. Laird

February 27, 1969

2. The Subcommittee has not been able to obtain or to determine the existence of any feasibility study or any other substantive evaluation of the equipment now in use that supported the immediate need for the acquisition of new hardware. It is not suggested that such a requirement does not exist, but to the extent the Subcommittee has been able to determine, the requirement is of an abstract type based upon a letter or memorandum simply directing that the new procurement be made, rather than supporting in factual and logical terms the need for a new procurement.

3. During several years of investigating computer procurement in the Government, most experts coming before the Subcommittee have urged that acquisition of computer hardware come after completion of the basic design of the system in which it is to be used. The "world-wide system" is probably the largest of its kind and has more built-in problems and demanding requirements than any other system ever developed. Yet, it is apparently the decision of the Department to select and acquire hardware in anticipation of system development under this procurement. Only the most definitive and persuasive reasons should allow this procurement to be an exception to what otherwise has become a generally-accepted rule in efficient computer system procurement.

4. From information obtained from the Department, it would appear that the principal increase in computer compatibility expected from this procurement would correspond to a higher level of hardware performance. There is no indication that the procurement proposes a new computer system significantly better in capability or versatility as compared to its present output.

A telling factor is that the so-called "data management package" or that part of the software the Subcommittee understands allows for the updating and extraction of data from the system, that would be used with the new hardware, is at best in a nebulous state of development. One system (developed by System Development Corporation with Federal funds and committed to use on IBM equipment) is under a year's test with the results of this test uncertain at this time. Other data management packages are being "evaluated" on a far lower and less extensive scale, which again suggests that the department does not know of a data management package that can be implemented into the system with reasonable certainty that it will perform with sufficient capability to provide a system significantly better than the present command and control output.

Although it might be possible to add or structure an advanced and workable data management package at a later date, it is our understanding that the data management packages now being tested and evaluated are dependent upon and interwoven with the software management or so-called "executive systems" that are unique to the computers of the various manufacturers. Thus, hardware selection at this time might limit future options affecting overall system capability.

This raises a question whether this procurement is not premature and more logically should await breakthroughs in the state of the art that would give a significant increase in overall system capability above that obtained from the present equipment.

5. The department plans to introduce this new equipment into the various command levels of the military over a period of five years. Yet, there are many manifestations that it is only an interim approach to updating command and control computer capability -- a "brute force" method to achieve compatibility and to avoid further sole sourcing of IBM equipment needed to augment present capacity. If this be correct, is this interim step really necessary?


Under these circumstances, it is questionable whether the gradual introduction of this new equipment would have any significant impact on overall system capacity and capability. Also, equipment acquired during the latter portions of the procurement would be relatively obsolete as compared to equipment then available.

Accordingly, if such a procurement is to be made, it would seem desirable that the new hardware be phased into use as rapidly as possible rather than stretched out over a period of five years.

6. There would appear to be some misunderstanding as to the fundamental description of this procurement. Although the procurement is routinely described in terms of updating the "World-Wide Command and Control System," it would seem more accurate to say that the procurement is to replace computers now in use for all purposes (other than administrative) at the high levels of the military. It would appear that the application of the term "system" to the computers subject to replacement under this procurement constitutes too loose a definition and is misleading.

These are some of the questions the Subcommittee has concerning this particular procurement. They are questions of laymen and not those of computer experts. On the other hand, they are questions which the Subcommittee considers pertinent and material, and to which we have been unable to obtain appropriate answers from the Department. These questions do not reflect any predetermination on the part of the Subcommittee concerning the overall merits of this computer procurement. However, they constitute areas of uncertainty which should be clarified before further procurement procedures take place.

Sincerely,



Jack Brooks
Chairman

March 1969

Testing time

Information laws: facts or fizzles?

By Congressional Quarterly

Washington

Want to get a piece of information out of the government under the Freedom of Information Act?

You can be one of the first, but it won't be easy.

Journalists, businessmen, and the just plain curious have been finding that out in the year and a half since the law went into effect.

They are still faced with long delays, arbitrary bureaucrats, and the lack of a clearly defined and coordinated government policy toward the disclosure of facts to the public.

But the disappointment of the act's proponents appears to be grounded more in their own inflated expectations than in inadequate implementation by government agencies. **HOUSE GOVT OPNS**

A November, 1968, survey by the House subcommittee on foreign operations and government information concluded that most federal agencies have complied with the requirements of the act. But the survey revealed that few persons have sought information under the act's disclosure provisions.

Moreover, the bulk of the new material made available by the act has been procedural and administrative detail of little use to those who fought hardest for passage—the press, the legal profession, and the American Civil Liberties Union.

Withholding cited

Samuel J. Archibald, staff director of the subcommittee from its formation in 1955 until passage of the act in 1966 and currently head of the University of Missouri Freedom of Information Center, reported that the law has been used primarily by private interests to secure public information for private gain.

Mr. Archibald charged further that government agencies are able to utilize the nine classes of information exempted under the act to withhold material which they do not want made public.

An "information gap"—both in the government and in the general public—was cited by both the subcommittee and Mr. Archibald as a chief reason for the small impact which the law has had. Both sources concluded that private citizens, not informed of their rights, have too seldom pursued information through channels laid

down in the act. And public officials, unaware of their obligations, have too often denied persons access to information intended to be in the public domain.

Appeal provided

The Freedom of Information Act requires all federal agencies to publish their procedures and rules in the Federal Register; to make publicly available all final opinions, statements of policy, and staff manuals; and to maintain an index of these. The law exempts nine classes of information including matters related to national defense and confidential information.

The act provides the information seeker with the right to have an initially denied request reviewed at top levels within the denying agency and the additional right to obtain a hearing in the courts.

Nearly all government agencies met the July 4, 1967, deadline for publishing regulations spelling out the procedures by which a person could gain access to information. The Department of the Navy, however, did not publish its regulations until Dec. 8, 1967; and the National Security Agency according to the November survey by the Moss subcommittee, had not published its regulations at all.

Although the regulations of most agencies conformed to the specifications of the act and the recommendations of the Attorney General, several agencies (among them the Departments of the Army, Air Force, and Navy; the Department of Defense; and the Federal Trade Commission) wrote in limitations which the subcommittee held to be outside the scope and intent of the act.

The prospect of delay and high costs in pursuing information under the act was cited by Archibald as a bar to its effective implementation.

Administrative review of a request within an agency, he said, can take anywhere from a week to a year with uncertain prospects of success. Court action can cause additional indefinite delay during which a plaintiff can incur substantial legal costs.

For the press, to which delay is frequently the same as denial, the law has generally been of little use except as a threat. Not one of the 31 suits brought in the year after the law took effect was initiated by a news organization.

Cost, delay noted

The volume of litigation under the act has been notably small. During the first year the law was in force, 31 cases were

filed in the federal district courts. By the end of the year, only 11 of these cases had been decided. In only two cases were the plaintiffs upheld.

The comparatively small number of suits filed under the act imply on the surface that agencies are administering the law to the satisfaction of the public.

But the summary of the Moss subcommittee's survey points out that the small number of court cases could just as well mean the converse: that agencies are continuing to refuse access to information and that individuals are hesitant to sue because of high legal costs and long delays.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Monday - 10 February 1969

25X1

1. [redacted] Called Mr. Sam Papich, of the FBI, re our coordination efforts in connection with the Ervin bill. I said one of my contacts on the Hill had suggested that H. Allen Smith, a former Bureau agent, might help us. Since Smith and Representative Charles Wilson are from California, I suggested that Smith might sound out Wilson about granting the Bureau and the Agency exemptions from Representative Wilson's bill (the House version of the Ervin bill). Papich said he would pass this suggestion along to the Bureau's congressional liaison people.

25X1


2. [redacted] Called the office of Senator Jacob Javits (R., N. Y.) as follow up to his efforts to reach the Director Friday afternoon (7 February). Senator Javits will be out of town the rest of the week, and his secretary was not available, but left message that I had called.

25X1

3. [redacted] Received a call from [redacted] FBIS, informing us that she received a note from the office of Representative John S. Monagan (D., Conn.) asking us to cancel their four subscriptions. (See Journal item of 8 January 1969).

25X1

25X1



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Page Denied

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Denied

~~SECRET~~

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Thursday - 6 February 1969

Page 3

25X1

9. [redacted] Due to the press of other activities, Mr. Robert Michaels, House Appropriations Committee staff, requested that we put off until tomorrow morning at ten o'clock the changing of his safe combination. Arrangements are being completed with the Office of Security to meet with Mr. Michaels at that time.

25X1

[redacted]

25X1

11. [redacted] Met with Mr. J.R. Blandford, Counsel, House Armed Services Committee, and confirmed with him the arrangements to date for forwarding certain personal effects of Admiral Taylor's to the Admiral on 13 February.

Mr. Blandford advised that he had met during the afternoon with a Mr. Fistovsky (?), a naval commander from the Soviet Embassy. Mr. Blandford will provide us with a copy of his detailed memorandum of conversation tomorrow. Mr. Blandford advised that he had notified the FBI about this meeting.

25X1

12. [redacted] Received a call from Mr. Bruce Merkle, Administrative Assistant to Representative William Bray (R., Ind.), concerning John Lofton of the Republican Congressional Committee staff. Mr. Lofton had earlier made certain requests to the Director of FBIS which suggested the necessity for verification of Mr. Lofton's status with the Republican Congressional Committee.

25X1

13. [redacted] Carl Blake, Administrative Assistant to Representative Donald W. Riegle (R., Mich.), called to say that he would like to talk to George Carver concerning Riegle's itinerary in the Far East. I arranged for Carver to call Blake.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

H. Gove

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Wednesday - 5 February 1969

Page 2

25X1

5. [redacted] Met with Mr. Robert Michaels, House Appropriations Committee staff, who advised that he had had no further queries or suggestions from Chairman Mahon concerning topics for the Director's briefing Thursday morning.

Mr. Michaels advised that Representatives Riegle and Shriver, Foreign Operations Subcommittee, are going on a world trip which originally included Representative Passman and Mr. Francis Merrill. The latter two have canceled out and Tex Gunnels, of the Appropriations staff, will be traveling with the two Republicans. Mr.

[redacted]

25X1

25X1

6. [redacted] Met with Mr. J. R. Blandford, House Armed Services Committee staff, who advised that there are no Committee hearings under contemplation at the present time and things are, in fact, quite unsettled in the Committee.

I briefed Mr. Blandford on the following items: the Paris talks, ChiCom MRBM program, the Kremlin shooting, and the Soviet recon satellite program.

25X1

7. [redacted] Met with Mr. Bruce Merkle, Administrative Assistant to Representative William Bray (R., Ind.), and returned to him the book, The Secret Services of Europe. I relayed to him the appreciation of our library for his thoughtfulness. I also gave to Mr. Merkle for Mr. Bray [redacted]

25X1

25X1

[redacted]

25X1

8. [redacted] Met with Mrs. Cathy Parham, Assistant to Representative Bob Wilson (R., Calif.), and provided a copy of an FBIS [redacted] broadcast to North America citing comments of Mr. Wilson on the release of the Pueblo crew. Mrs. Parham noted that Mr. Wilson is interested in such items.

25X1

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Friday - 17 January 1969

Page 2

25X1 5. [redacted] In follow-up to a request made earlier in the week, on behalf of Chairman John Moss (D., Calif.), I advised Mr. Vincent Augliere, Subcommittee on Foreign Operations and Government Information staff, that I had checked informally concerning employment possibilities for [redacted], and I am 25X1 advised that the BALPA restrictions are still in effect. Mr. Augliere thanked me for our assistance and indicated that no further action would be necessary.

25X1 6. [redacted] Received a call from Representative William Bray (R., Ind.) who advised that he had incorporated more material in his draft paper concerning attacks by the new left on CIA. He requested that I come by the first of the week and he would make a 25X1 copy available. STATSPEC

25X1 7. [redacted] In connection with the purge of the FBIS White Book subscription list, made the following contacts and advised [redacted] FBIS, of the results.

- (a) Mr. Pat Redmond, Special Assistant to Representative Lee H. Hamilton (D., Ind.)--continue the White Book.
- (b) Mr. Stuart Applebaum, in the office of Representative Robert Kastenmeier (D., Wis.)--continue the White Book
- (c) Mr. Stephen Jones, in the office of Representative Paul Findley (R., Ill.)--drop subscription to White Book; reduce the subscriptions to the East European, Soviet Union, Communist China, and Asia and Pacific Daily Reports from two each to one copy each; add subscription for Latin America and West Europe Daily Report (FBIS liaison will send directly until regular 25X1 subscription becomes effective).

25X1 8. [redacted] Based on the advice of [redacted] FBIS, called George C. Armstrong, Research Assistant to Representative John M. Ashbrook (R., Ohio), concerning attribution of material carried in the FBIS White Book which we had talked about the other day. Armstrong said they would not attribute the material to FBIS but would refer only to the dateline.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

25X1

Page Denied

Next 4 Page(s) In Document Denied

~~SECRET~~

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Friday - 10 January 1969

Page 2

25X1 5. [] Met with Representative William Bates and briefed him on the current information available concerning the NBC news report of [] Mr. Bates was quite satisfied with the information provided. He does, however, have a question as to the source of the information utilized by NBC.

25X6

Mr. Bates next brought up the subject of the American and Soviet, or specifically, Moscow ABM systems and inquired whether any changes or additional information was available concerning the Moscow system and noted that he is particularly interested in "its nuclear capability." In response to an offer to have one of our experts come up and brief him on the Moscow ABM system, Mr. Bates advised that that would not be necessary. He desires advice only on the limited question of the estimate of the systems' nuclear capabilities. DD/I/OSR has been advised.

25X1 6. [] In response to his call, met with Mr. Vincent Augliere, House Subcommittee on Foreign Operations and Government Information staff, who provided a [] Chairman Moss does not desire any special treatment or consideration for this applicant. He would appreciate normal consideration. Since the individual is interested in overseas employment, I advised Mr. Augliere that the present recruitment for immediate assignment overseas, due to Presidential ceilings, is not promising.

25X1

25X1 7. [] Spoke to Trudi Wright, in the office of Representative Lloyd Meeds (D., Wash.), concerning [] who disappeared [] and on whose behalf they had written. I informed Miss Wright that the Agency has no record of []

25X1

25X1

25X1 8. [] Spoke to Richard Spears, in the office of Senator George Murphy (R., Calif.), concerning a constituent letter from [] Mr. Spears said he had sent the letter as he regarded it as humorous and no reply was necessary.

25X1

~~SECRET~~

THE NEW YORK TIMES, FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 1969

Guatemalans Buying Progress for Pennies



Mr. Greene at his home in Panajachel, Guatemala.


By HENRY GINIGER
Special to The New York Times

PANAJACHEL, Guatemala, Feb. 2 — Samuel Greene of New York and Montclair, N. J., retired in 1953 from a successful career of buying ailing businesses and restoring their health. Now, at the age of 76, he is as busy as every trying to doctor a sick economic and social system in this little Central American republic.

The name of Sam Greene has become familiar throughout Guatemala and in other parts of Latin America because of the unique method of self-help he has devised for backward rural communities. He is respected but not universally popular, for his talk is as blunt and his methods as rigorously business-like when applied to social purposes now as they were in the old days when applied to money-making.

In the heart of the Indian highlands, this little town lies on the edge of Lake Atitlán, whose blue waters and misty volcanoes exert a powerful attraction on beholders. Mr. Greene first saw the lake in 1944 and decided that this was where he and his wife, a psychologist, wished to make a retirement home. After 15 winter visits, they settled here. He built a house on an isolated bluff across the lake from Panajachel but for the last few years he has been able to spend only weekends in it.

From Monday to Friday he is busy in Guatemala City with his Penny Foundation, which aids 43 self-help projects ranging from cooperatives to the first regular medical care some



Guatemalan Indians shopping in a Penny Foundation, cooperative begun by Samuel Green

doing anything for the masses of the people."

As for American economic aid programs carried out through the Alliance for Progress, launched by President Kennedy in 1961, he finds they have had little effect on living standards. He proposes program with more definite social objectives such as a rebate on tariffs on imports from Latin America to be used only for social programs.

Mr. Greene said the foundation was making consumers and taxpayers out of people who spend most of their lives outside the money economy and who are generally considered poor credit risks. Money is lent to a group, never to an individual and the group sees to it that there are no individual



The New York Times Feb. 7, 1969

defaulters.

"We lend with compassion and collect with force," Mr. Greene remarked. "I'm a first class S.O.B. when it comes to collecting."

The record is one of 95 per cent reimbursement. The foundation has had trouble not with poverty-stricken peasants but with some of the educated élite it has tried to help.

In 1965, it began a program to bring medical and dental services to rural villages. Young doctors and dentists, otherwise reluctant to sacrifice the income and comfort of the capital, were encouraged with an offer of \$200 a month for two years plus help in buying equipment, all on a loan basis.

'Capitalist Elements' Called Vietcong Fund Source

By FELIX BELAIR Jr.

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Feb. 18 — "Capitalist elements" in South Vietnam "constitute a primary source of financial support for the Vietcong," according to a report from the Stanford Research Institute to a House watchdog committee.

The report said that about 80 per cent of the funds needed to support Vietcong activities are derived from sources within the Republic of Vietnam. It added that the evidence indicated that roughly two-thirds of Vietcong cash income came from sources other than the peasants.

Among the capitalist elements the report listed "landlords, rich peasants, upper middle peasants, and commercial and industrial operators related to the agricultural economy."

Intimidation and terrorism as

well as the hope of future financial gain were given as reasons for their help.

The support estimated to come from outside South Vietnam was traced to North Vietnam in the form of "leadership and standardized modern weapons."

The report added that captured documents and interrogations indicated that most other Vietcong needs were being supplied by "the rural society indigenous to South Vietnam." It suggested a direct connection between support of the Vietcong and exorbitant rentals and interest rates exacted from poor tenant farmers.

Another finding was that the failure of successive Governments since 1956 to distribute expropriated and former French lands to landless and land-poor farmers not only had advanced the Communist revolution but also had "provided

conditions ideal for concealing the Vietcong."

The 225-page report, financed under a \$104,000 contract with the Agency for International Development, supplied evidence to support a statement a year ago by the United States Ambassador to India, Chester Bowles, that if South Vietnam had adopted a meaningful land-reform program in 1956-57, there would have been no need to send American troops to Southeast Asia.

Mr. Bowles made the statement in a letter to Representatives John E. Moss, Democrat of California, and Ogden R. Reid of New York, the chairman and ranking Republican member of the House Government Operations Subcommittee on Foreign Operations and Government Information. It was to that committee the Stanford report was submitted.

The report was intended as

a basic justification for United States financial support of a sweeping land-reform program. It detailed how some 320,000 farm families could each be provided with about 5.5 acres of rice land at a cost of about \$100-million.

The Agency for International Development has plans to put up about half the needed financing, the rest coming from the Saigon Government. But the report makes it clear that there can be no effective land redistribution until the Vietcong have been driven out.

According to the report, although the Government is still the biggest landowner, it can do nothing about distributing expropriated and former French land because much of it has gone back to jungle and is the favorite ground for guerrillas.

Ancient Ways Followed

population, most of whom are Indians of Mayan descent. Clinging to their ancient customs, language and dress and living to a large extent withdrawn in the highlands, the Indians constitute a distinct culture that most Spanish Guatemalans have left alone.

Mr. Greene has brought the still more alien culture of American business to bear on rural problems. The idea is to lend funds for community improvement, with the people choosing the projects.

A community is never asked if it is willing to pay \$1,500 or \$2,000 for a water or electrical system. Is each family willing to pay 2 or 3 cents a day? the question goes. This concept is more easily grasped, particularly when it can be shown that a family may spend more than that for medicine to counteract the effects of impure water or for the candles or kerosene that the electricity will replace.

Hence the name of the Penny Foundation, or Fundacion del Centavo, as it is known here and in other Latin countries.

"The biggest bank in the world is the two hands of a poor man," Mr. Greene says, enunciating the principle of the foundation. The community does all the work and buys all its materials. Loans usually run three years, although they may go to 10, and carry 8 per cent interest. The fund thus grows and becomes available to other communities.

A few miles from here, in the little farming community of Jujuil, which one reaches by clambering down a steep, rocky path, the Indian elders proudly show off their new water system being paid for by 98 families. An underground source has been tapped uphill and the women, whose traditional chore it is to fetch water, have merely to turn a faucet on one of 28 fountains instead of carrying huge pitchers on their head for almost a mile to a polluted stream. Last year 45 babies died in the community from this water.

In Tuxpan, a cooperative store has forced down prices in the town and gives assurance of honest weight. It seeks to add a bakery and butcher shop because, on the few occasions that Indian women can afford to venture into the "ladino" or Spanish-owned butcher shop, they are the last to be waited on and they get meats of the poorest quality.

Although a board of directors and a full staff now run what was a one-man operation in Guatemala, and further evidence of success is shown by similar foundations in Ecuador, the Dominican Republic and Colombia, Mr. Greene is not content. For one thing, he has nothing but scorn for the upper class in Guatemala and in Latin America generally.

"It is a stupid society," he said after recounting his trouble getting local financial support. Two years ago he said at a meeting of the Inter-American Development Bank here that "I do not see the slightest evidence that the power structure of the Latin-American