Approved For Release 2000/05/04 : CIA-RDP67-00059A000200120042-0

P

Processian REFIELD

17 April 1947

MEMORANDUM FOR THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, R & E

Subject: Authority and Responsibility of the Intelligence Staff and the Branches

- 1. There has been some confusion with respect to the subject ever since the Chief, Intelligence Staff, ceased to be also Acting Assistant Director or Acting Deputy Assistant Director. In my opinion, various attempts to clarify the subject in discussion have failed because of a too-glib use of the terms "editorial" and "substantive" with insufficient consideration of their meaning and application in the context i.e., without definition of terms. I believe that a candid discussion of the subject, with proper definition of terms, would reveal:
 - a. That the attempt to distinguish between "editorial" and "substantive" functions is illusory and misleading.
 - b. That both editorial and substantive functions are inherent in the responsibilities of both the Staff and the Branches; consequently, that the terms are useless in attempting to distinguish between their responsibilities.
 - g. That the responsibilities of the Staff and the Branches, respectively, can be agreeably defined in other terms; consequently, that no real policy difference exists among us.
- 2. Consider the argument that the authority of the Staff should be "editorial" only, that of the Branches only "substantive." This proposition appears, on superficial consideration, to have the beauty of clarity and simplicity. Actually it is a shallow and misleading over-simplication.

Does it mean that the Branches, while exercising exclusive "substantive" authority, have no responsibility to produce intelligible copy? How are the Branches to express "substantive" judgment without the intelligible use of language? If they consider their "substantive" repponsibility discharged in producing drafts so obscure that extensive "editorial" revision is necessary, they run grave risk of "substantive" alteration of their copy in the course of the "editorial" process. Obviously it behooves the Branches to produce copy as clear, concise, and meaningful as they are able to make it, from an "editorial" as well as a "substantive" point of view.

Approved For Release 2000/05/04 : CIA-RDP67-00059A000200120042-0

Does it mean that the Intelligence Staff, while exercising exclusive "editorial" authority, has no responsibility with respect to meaning? What purpose has "editorial" review unrelated to meaning? — and the meaning of the words is the "substantive" of the report. Does "editorial" review include consideration of such matters as pertinence, logic in presentation, completeness of coverage, coherence, and intelligibility? Judgment on these matters is "substantive" judgment in my understanding of the term. It is also an exercise of "editorial" judgment, in the broader sense of that term.

Given that we are normally working against a deadline in our current publications and past it with respect to others, prompt decisions are required. Who will decide whether a particular alteration is "editorial" or "substantive"?

Manifestly the preposition under consideration would establish anarchy in the name of order. To state it is to present the <u>reduction</u> ad absurdum.

- 3. The Intelligence Staff not only recognizes, but must insist upon, both editorial and substantive responsibility in the Branches. It has no use for incoherent copy, however important its conjectural substance. It must rely upon the Branches to be better informed than it with respect to particular situations, inasmuch as (a) Branch personnel are selected as specialists in their particular fields, and (b) their responsibilities are limited to those fields and they can obviously cover them much more closely than can personnel having generalized responsibilities.
- 4. The Branches must recognize equally that the personnel of the Intelligence Staff were selected for a different, but definite, sort of competence not limited to the transposition of phrases or the insertion of commas. It is expressly a competence, based on experience, to exercise judgment as to pertinence, logic in presentation, completeness of coverage, coherence, and intelligibility, summed up in responsibility for decision whether and what to publish. This responsibility, and the authority which must accompany it, are distinguishable from the authority and responsibility of a specialist in a particular subject, but not in terms of a distinction between "editorial" and "substantive" functions.
- 5. The functional chart attempts to make this distinction by charging the Branches with the preparation of reports and estimates and charging the Staff with coordination and supervision of that activity. Manifestly, the effective accomplishment of the ORE mission depends upon close collaboration between the Staff and Branches. The Staff could accomplish nothing of itself in a vacuum.

The Branches also, however, comptent in their respective fields, are necessarily limited to those fields in their view and not in a position to take responsibility for decisions requiring an overall view. This distinction between particular and overall responsibility (and corresponding authority) is the proper approach to a distinction between the functions of the Staff and the Branches. The matter can be summed up in our understanding that the Intelligence Staff is the agent or deputy of the Assistant Director (is the Assistant Director) for the limited purpose indicated and subject to reference to the Assistant Director of any disagreement requiring a command decision.

6. I request that this matter be considered at your meeting with the Branch Chiefs and others on Friday, 25 April.

25X1A9a

Chief, Intelligence Staff, ORE

6c Chief, Planning Staff Branch Chiefs Intelligence Staff

TRANS	MITTAL SLIP				
TO:	DATE				
LDIR					
BUILDING	ROOM NO.				
REMARKS:					
201					
ROM: ADIRR					
	OM NO. EXTENSION	 -			
RM NO. 36-8	2109 M				

J. M. K. W.

:		CENTRAL INTELL	IGENCE AGENCY	, ·	
		OFFICIAL RO	UTING SLIP		
-	то		INITY	ALS DATE	
	· PD/	PR	Ma	1/1/	a
	2	·			
	3				
	4				
	5				
F	ROM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	INITI	ALS DATE	
	DIR		OA	1,210	up
	2			(
	3				
: .	APPROVAL ACTION	INFORM DIRECT		SIGNATU RETURN	IRE
	COMMENT	PREPARA	TION OF REPLY	DISPATO	CH = .
	CONCORRENC		ENDATION	FILE	
	REMARKS:	used the	y attac	und	
	as part	I may a	To Me	taken D.	4
	in ney yesterde is not unes e	talk no	the Mes	the pro	Here
	is not	new.	m Sel, Theory a 25X1AV.	is you	_ '
	11.41.00	uin read	ice it	•	

Approved For Release 2000/05/04 CLSA-RDM67-000594000200120042-0 CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP INITIALS DATE TO 25X1A9a ŀ 2 3 5 INITIALS FROM 25X1A9a 2 3 INFORMATION APPROVAL SIGNATURE RETURN ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARATION OF REPLY DISPATCH COMMENT CONCURRENCE RECOMMENDATION REMARKS: Believe you would be interested in perusing the attached, which I consider a classic. B Thomas

Approved For Release 2000/05/04: CIA-RDP67-00059A0002001200

FORM NO. SEP 1947