Approved For Release CIA RDP59 1997 44000102370006-9

84th Congress, 2d Session

House Report No. 2213, Part

MUTUAL SECURITY ACT OF 1956

MINORITY VIEWS

TO ACCOMPANY

H. R. 11356

TO AMEND THE MUTUAL SECURITY ACT OF 1954, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES



June 5, 1956.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

UNITED STATES
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON: 1956

71006

Approved For Release: CIA-RDP59-00224A000100370006-9

84TH CONGRESS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPT. 2213
2d Session Part 2

MUTUAL SECURITY ACT OF 1956

June 5, 1956.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. Smith of Wisconsin, from the Committee on Foreign Affairs, submitted the following

MINORITY VIEWS

[To accompany H. R. 11356]

In 3 previous years we have felt compelled to express our strong conviction that passage of a multibillion dollar mutual security bill of this nature was not and could not be the answer to our basic national need for peace and security.

In our minority report on the Mutual Security Act of 1953, 3 years ago, we asked the following questions:

What magic formula is there in this program that is going to transform millions of insecure people throughout the world into valiant, invigorated, and dependable allies? Is it United States money? No. We have tried that one many times—with no success. Is it United States armed might? No. Our forces have been bearing the brunt of the fight in Korea on behalf of the principles of sovereignty, independence, and freedom—and still the peoples of Asia waver. * * *

It would therefore seem to us particularly essential that we evaluate the present program in the light of what similar programs in the past have failed to accomplish; and from the point of view of whether or not it offers fulfillment of pledges made to the American people. (H. Rept. 569, 83d Cong., 1st sess., pt. 2, p. 8.)

In 1954, when the Mutual Security Act attempted to exchange the existing patchwork of foreign aid programs for a permanent form, we stated with equal emphasis:

There exists in our minds a grave and fundamental question concerning the wisdom of enacting now what is con-

1

sidered permanent legislation. This is particularly so in view of the unsettled world conditions which become even more unsettled with each passing day and the widespread uncertainties in high places as to our own foreign policy. The House Foreign Affairs Committee is a legislative committee, a policy committee. The sole purpose of the committee in reviewing past programs and proposed programs is to determine policy. To carry out that responsibility has been the function of the committee in bringing out this bill. But how can we determine legislative policy as a directive and framework of action for the executive branch without being fully apprised of executive branch policy to give root and substance to what, after all, amount only to the cold words of a statute? (H. Rept. 1925, 83d Cong., 2d sess., pt. 3, p. 2.)

In 1955 we felt again constrained to speak these words:

In the past we have urged what to us has seemed natural and logical—a thorough study, a reappraisal, a redefining of foreign policies, techniques and statutes concerned with the goal of international peace and security, which we all seek. The executive branch has not seen fit to do this before submitting this bill to the Congress; nor has the Congress of the United States itself, which is directly responsible to the people who foot the bills, make the sacrifices, and fight the wars, undertaken such an analysis. (H. Rept. 912, 84th Cong., 1st sess., pt. 2, p. 2.)

And now in 1956, with still no reappraisal of the problems, of the policies, or of the programs, we are again asked to accept a multibillion dollar authorization based on a concept whose validity is outmoded, if, in fact—as we would deny—such validity ever existed. We could only wish that we had been wrong; that our fears of the inefficiency of the approach had been proven groundless. We would be the first to hope that this mutual security legislation might have proved to be the effective weapon for American security and world peace that it was advertised to be.

Events, statements by foreign officials and expressions by our own leaders in the executive branch and in the Congress confirm the fears which we expressed in our three previous minority reports.

the fears which we expressed in our three previous minority reports. Nothing that has happened has changed our conviction that mutual security legislation of this nature is not and cannot be an adequate or right answer to our need for national security and world peace. The program continues to reveal an inherent failure in basic philosophic intent, a failure in direction and approach, and a failure to interpret the shifting nature of world events and to combat successfully relentless Soviet policy. It is increasingly apparent that the policy and the programs to be authorized by this legislation have proved to be not only wanting but actually self-defeating.

proved to be not only wanting but actually self-defeating.

It is not that we have failed to pour out money and pour it out generously. One glance at the following table, listing the money yet to be obligated and spent, indicates our unrestrained generosity

and spending:

Approved For Release : CIA-RDP59-00224A000100370006-9 MUTUAL SECURITY ACT OF 1956

Estimated unobligated and unreserved balance June 30, 1956

		_
	Estimate in March 1956	Estimate in May 1956
Military	\$297, 000, 000 106, 600, 000	\$195, 500, 000 190, 000, 000
Total	403, 600, 000	385, 500, 000

Estimated unexpended balance June 30, 1956

	Estimate in March 1956	Estimate in May 1956
MilitaryNonmilitary	\$4, 765, 100, 000 1, 678, 200, 000	\$5, 000, 000, 000 1, 800, 000, 000
Total	6, 443, 300, 000	6, 800, 000, 000

Source: H. Rept. 2213, 84th Cong., 2d sess., p. 6.

A complete and detailed breakdown of United States generosity will be found in the appendix to this report.

This year, with mounting dissatisfaction and disillusionment with this policy among the people of the United States and their chosen Representatives in Congress, the program in this bill nevertheless

plunges along, in its frustrated way, not knowing what its future course, size, or policies will be.

We feel that this bill is particularly objectionable in that the only certainty contained in it is its duration—"permanent." Those who approve this legislation have inserted into the program the mysterious, magical formula which they call "permanent legislation" as if "permanent" were synonymous with "sound." The philosophy contained in the Statement of Policy in section 7 of the bill is particularly objectionable in this regard. It puts the Congress of the United States on record and committed States to pursue property and committed states on record and committed States to pursue property. States on record and commits the United States to pursue programs of assistance as long as Communist danger to the peace of the world and the security of the United States persists. In other words, the United States is to take upon its shoulders, and the American people are to assume, the tax burden of supporting the free world indefinitely. No mention is made of what other nations are to do in order to keep the free world free. No clue is indicated as to our future course with respect to the nations who do not see fit to commit themselves with the free world.

The time is long overdue when the American people—and particularly the Congress, which carries a basic responsibility for the future of this country—must face hard facts with courage. Despite the outpouring of billions of dollars, an honest appraisal of the world situation and of our relationship to it should give ample warning, even at this late date. To continue the philosophy and policies so far provided in mutual security legislation at a greater sum and for a longer period of time, can only mean an ultimately disastrous continuation of the

all too apparent failures of the program.

It is impossible to list in this brief report, either all inclusively or at sufficient length, the total reasons that call for serious questioning and opposition to H. R. 11356, the Mutual Security Act of 1956, currently under consideration. Suffice it to say that even former strong

Approved For Release : CIA-RDP59-00224A000100370006-9

MUTUAL SECURITY ACT OF 1956

proponents of the program—and certainly the American people—are now demanding a reappraisal and rescrutiny of the purpose and policy, based on the following disturbing record:

1. It has failed in its futile attempt to make an appropriation of

money a solution for a problem.

2. It has failed to expand the area of the world which may be called "free world." On the contrary, that area is being steadily constricted while the area of uncommitted or neutral nations is steadily expanding. "Neutralism" makes it easier for the Communists to increase their influence while the efforts of the free world are made more

3. Despite the mutual security program we have failed to convince peoples seeking their freedom and newly independent nations that

we are sincere in opposing colonialism.

4. It has proved deficient in wise programing, sound fiscal practices, satisfactory presentation to the Congress, adequate implementation of congressional intent, and understanding execution in the field. This is amply indicated by the testimony of the Honorable Joseph Campbell, Comptroller General of the United States, before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee on the mutual security program on May 21, 1956.

Further, this bill reflects the increasing tendency to lump money, areas, and programs together, thus giving blank check authority to the Executive. Because of the diffusion of delegated power which this bill makes possible, both the President and the Congress are steadily losing control of the spending and operations of the program.

5. It has failed to achieve for the free world the expected defense against Soviet military power. The North Atlantic Treaty Organization was organized as a defense against Soviet military power. The North Atlantic Treaty Organization is losing power when it should be increasing it.

The Secretary of State, in a news conference on April 24, 1956, stated "Broadly speaking, it is our view, and I think has been our view, that an organization of this kind [NATO] either grows or tends to dry up." With each Soviet smile, another crack appears in the NATO armor.

6. It has failed to solve the problem of how to reach the peoples

themselves in the underdeveloped nations.

7. It has intermingled the valuable technical cooperation program, which is a sharing of knowledge, skills, and know-how with the peoples of the underdeveloped countries, with a huge military program that deals with arms and the implements of war. These are two totally different programs in magnitude, in philosophy, in intent, and in

Study should be made of how best to emphasize the importance and long range character of the technical cooperation program. Some thought should be given to separating this vital program from the other phases of the mutual security program. In fact, the advisability might be considered of setting it up as an independent agency.

8. It has failed to consider sufficiently the present importance

and the potentialities of our Latin American neighbors. The peace and security of the Western Hemisphere is vital to the preservation of the entire free world.

9. Finally, it has failed to convey to the world, and even to our friends, the real meaning of American traditions, principles, and ideals—those attributes which have made us a great Nation and a

great people.

In the face of this honest questioning even by former proponents of the program and by the public, and in the face, as well, of what seems to us also an undeniable failure in principle, policy, and performance, we cannot go along with the proposal to appropriate more billions for a longer time for more extensive programs of the same nature. What is needed is a stern, realistic reappraisal. In fact, returning from a recent study mission around the world, the Subcommittee on the Far East and the Pacific of the House Committee on Foreign Affairs stated with sober emphasis in its report:

If it is to succeed, the United States must do more than reappraise its programs: it must subject to minute rescrutiny its policy and its determination (H. Rept. 2147, 84th Cong., 2d sess., p. 212).

There has been introduced in the Congress a concurrent resolution calling for a congressional committee, 6 members from the Senate and 6 from the House, to study, reevaluate, and give relentless judgment on the policies and programs that have been carried on under this mutual security legislation; and to produce, following such study, sound suggestions for better implementing our national desire for peace and security.

We use the Congress to join with us in insisting upon a recenting

We urge the Congress to join with us in insisting upon a rescrutiny of our foreign policies and programs as the basic need of this hour. What is actually now at stake is not the mere continuation of programs or appropriations, but the very peace and safety of this country and

the whole free world.

LAWRENCE H. SMITH. MARGUERITE STITT CHURCH. E. Ross Adair.

APPENDIX TO MINORITY VIEWS ON H. R. 11356

There follow tables on the extent of United States foreign aid during the period July 1, 1940, through December 31, 1955. This information was furnished by the Legislative Reference Service of the Library of Congress:

As summarized in the first table United States foreign aid to all countries and international organizations for the period covering World War II and the postwar period ending December 31, 1955, amounted to \$111,126,234,000. This total does not include United States capital investments in the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (\$635 million) and the International Monetary Fund (\$2,750 million) although these add to the foreign aid underwritten (reclaimable) by the United States Government.

Lend-lease totals were divided into two sections, namely for the war emergency period and for the postwar period. During the postwar period additional funds were not authorized; the totals extended for lend-lease were merely for the supplies in the so-called pipelines and final settlement of

goods not yet delivered.

Grants-in-aid are also divided into the war and postwar periods. In general no repayment was requested. The only condition stipulated in all grant agreements was that the United States could request a return of a percentage of Counterpart Funds in national currencies or in strategic raw materials from the recipients of aid.

On the other hand, net authorized credits are loans or other agreements which gave rise to specific obligations for repayment. Utilized credits for the war period amounted to \$1,096 million, but only the total for the overall period July 1940–December 31, 1955, is given by country as there was a continual readjustment in payments as well as previous

grants being transferred to the loan account.

Summary of aid	
Lend-lease (grants-in-oid):	
i. (a) July 1, 1940-June 30, 1945 (war period). I. (b) July 1, 1945-Dec. 31, 1955 (postwar period).	\$46, 728, 287, 000
riod)	2, 040, 033, 000
TotalGrants-in-aid, other than lend-lease:	48, 708, 320, 000
II. (a) July 1, 1940-June 30, 1945 (war period). II. (b) July 1, 1945-Dec. 31, 1955 (postwar period).	1, 400, 010, 000
	44, 102, 380, 000
Total	45, 502, 390, 000
Dec. 31, 1955 (war and postwar period)	16, 855, 524, 000
Summary total	111, 126, 234, 000

Approved For Release: CIA-RDP59-00224A000100370006-9 MUTUAL SECURITY ACT OF 1956

I. (a) Lend-lease—July 1, 1940-June 30, 1945

[In thousands of dollars]	8 5 004
Bolivia	\$5, 026
Brazil	347, 945
Chile	22 , 038
Colombia	8, 278
Costa Rica	15 6
Cuba	6, 154
Deminisan Popublic	1, 458
Ecuador	7, 208
El Salvador	877
Guatemala	1, 736
Haiti	1,362
Honduras	368
Mexico	38, 6 2 1 8 8 5
Wexico	885
Nicaragua	1, 952
Paraguay	18, 001
Peru	6, 942
Uruguay	4 480
Venezuela	108 530
Unspecified Latin America	68, 774
BelgiumUnited Kingdom	28, 600, 797
United Kingdom	896, 641
Australia	610, 172
India	249, 432
New Zealand	93, 370
Union of South Africa	045 742
China (Formosa)	845, 743 2, 760 1, 238
Czechoslovakia	2, 700
Ethionia	1, 200
France	2, 613, 543
Grace	75, 365 7, 791
Iran	7, 791
Liberia	236
Netherlands	114, 690
Norway	37, 039 12, 119
Poland	12, 119
Saudi Arabia	5, 489
Turkey	90, 041
II S S R	10, 100, 910
Vugoslavia	32, 030
Unclassified	1, 245, 077
A WASHINGTON TO SECULATE THE SECULATION OF THE SECURATION OF THE S	
Total	46, 728, 287

Approved For Release: CIA-RDP59-00224A000100370006-9 MUTUAL SECURITY ACT OF 1956

I. (b) Lend-lease-July 1, 1945-Dec. 31, 1955

Brazil [In thousands of dollars]	
Brazil Colombia	\$3, 616
Dominican Redublic	0
INTEXICO	220
1 alaguay	
I CI U	007
DCIZIUIII	FO 100
Omted Kingdom	941 400
2 X (15) VI (11.10)	10 000
	3, 000
TYCW Zigalanu	2, 300
Omna (Polinosa)	
Ozconozio vakia	729, 418
France	270 441
Greece	372, 441
Iran	5, 956
Italy (civilian supplies)	750
Netherlands.	134, 444
Poland	64, 334
Poland Saudi Arabia	92
Saudi Arabia	1, 591
U. S. S. R.	277, 254
Yugoslavia	76
Unspecified	2 9, 364
Total	2, 040, 033

Approved For Release: CIA-RDP59-00224A000100370006-9 MUTUAL SECURITY ACT OF 1956

II. (a) Grants-in-aid—July 1, 1940-June 30, 1945

11. (a) Grants-in-ata—July 1, 1940-June 30, 1940			
[In thousands of dollars]	\$1, 239		
	1,926		
BoliviaBrazil	12, 693		
Chile	2, 864		
	2, 230		
Colombia	1 799		
Costa Rica.	1,722 503		
Cuba			
Dominican Republic	400		
Ecuador	4, 132		
El Salvador	1, 026		
Guatemala	1, 484		
Haiti	1, 131		
Honduras	2, 720		
Mexico	8, 130		
Nicaragua	2, 267		
Panama	989		
Paraguay	2, 406		
Peru	3,485		
Uruguay	814		
VenezuelaUnclassified Latin America	2,557		
Unclassified Latin America	4, 373		
Austria	1,022		
United Kingdom	16, 158		
Australia	108		
Bermuda	1		
British East Africa	301		
Hong Kong	368		
India	77		
Trinidad and Tobago	3		
China-Formosa	385, 116		
Czechoslovakia	5, 717		
Egypt	1, 700		
Egypt	1, 489		
France	7, 449		
Algeria French Equatorial Africa	15, 945		
French Equatorial Africa	118		
French Morocco	68		
Greece	3, 627		
Iceland	99		
Iran	353		
Ireland	- 308		
Italy	310, 166		
Eritres	15		
Eritrea	4, 122		
Liberia	236		
Indonesia	3, 625		
Norway	3, 020 70		
Philippines	52, 515		
Poland	84		
Portugal	1		
Spain	1, 759		
Sweden	766		
U. S. S. R	15, 205		
Vinnelavia	1, 028		
Yugoslavia Trust Territories in Pacific	1, 826		
International organizations	53, 238		
Unclassified areas	447, 379		
Undopined areas	441,019		
Total	1, 400, 010		

MUTUAL SECURITY ACT OF 1956

II. (b) Grants-in-aid, July 1, 1945, to Dec. 31, 1955, and calendar years 1954 and 1955

[In thousands of dollars]

fur monsanus c	u domarsj		
	July 1, 1945, to Dec. 31, 1955	1954	1955
ArgentinaBolivia	\$198 42, 554	\$14, 718	\$21, 331
Brazil	20, 091	2, 833	5, 550
Chile	10, 504	2, 833 1, 358	1, 825
Colombia Costa Rica	7, 155 13, 899	1. 322	2, 184 2, 400
Cuba	15, 899	1, 858 242	2, 400 416
Cuba Dominican Republic	2, 002	276	534
Ecuador	8, 514	1, 267	1, 681
El Salvador	5, 026	883 463	1, 196
Guatemala Halti	20, 964 11, 719	2, 844	10, 708 3, 934
Honduras	11, 719 6, 005	1,006	1.812
Mexico.	104, 758	3, 964	1, 625
Nicaragua Panama	10, 530	1, 326 1, 796	2, 012 2, 756
Paraguay	9, 113 8, 271	1, 796 1, 047	1, 944
Peru	14, 703	2, 462	3, 206
Venezuele	1, 994	235 152	253 189
Unspecified	2, 152 300, 109	49, 011	30, 827
Afghanistan	3, 375	1, 228	1, 655
Uruguay Venezuela Uruspecified Afghanistan Albania Australia	20, 444		
Austria	239 1, 057, 470	18, 251	7, 534
Austria Fielgium-Luxembourg	521, 980	6.285	574
Eiurma	20, 725 3, 964	1, 052	720
Canada	3, 964	9	
China (Formosa)	1, 420, 111	105, 538	112 92, 941
China (Formosa) Czechoslovakia	185, 825	839	1, 535
Denmark	185, 825 247, 600	1, 117	248
E'thionia	30, 954	4, 513 1, 667	22, 332
Egypt Ethiopia Federation of Rhodesia	8, 736 1	1,007	3, 885
Finland	3, 522	46	1, 424
F'rance	3, 914, 830	461, 789	405, 871
Algeria French Morocco	107 647	201	175
French West Indies	17	201	1.70
Tunisia	335	56	137
East Germany	17, 349 3, 768, 428	6, 946	2, 099
Tunisia East Germany Federal Republic of Germany Greece		75, 494 55, 934	31, 399 54, 143
Flungary Leeland Leela	5, 855 29, 791 170, 206	2, 999	497
Iceland	29, 791	831	501
		28, 790	88, 429
Indochina (total)	403, 912	69, 496	264, 709
Cambodia	27, 957	12	27, 945
LaosVietnem	36, 873	32 300	36, 869 101, 028
Vietnam Unspecified	36, 873 230, 249 108, 833	38, 322 31, 158	191, 928 7, 967
Indonesia	114, 083	5, 912	6, 743
Iran Iraq	171, 358 7, 382	5, 912 65, 273 2, 216	6, 743 33, 895 2, 908
Iraq	7, 382	2, 216	2, 908
Ireland Israel	18, 346	55, 958	22 000
Italy (civilian supplies)	2. 401. 706	112, 495	22, 909 65, 354
Trieste Japan and possessions	240, 167 2, 401, 706 47, 821	360	734
Japan and possessions	2, 579, 391	16, 230	30, 333
Jordan Korea	26, 120 1, 310, 360	161, 746	13, 418 250, 223
Lebanon	1, 310, 360 15, 315	6, 705 161, 746 3, 796	250, 223 6, 777
Liberia	6, 238	1. 292	1,697
LibyaNepal	24, 814 3, 076	4, 263 637	1, 697 17, 307 1, 946
Netherlands	846, 870	15, 424	11,854
Surinam	422	220	202
Norway Pakistan	235, 419	15, 077 12, 202	3, 465 64, 607
Palastina	161, 699 175	12, 202	04, 007
Philippines Poland	750, 584	17, 279	13, 165
Poland	364, 886		
Portugal Saudi Arabia	15, 979 2, 652	3, 031 764	1, 370 340
water and and	2, 002	104	020

Approved For Release : CIA-RDP59-00224A000100370006-9

II. (b) Grants-in-aid, July 1, 1945, to Dec. 31, 1955, and calendar years 1954 and 1955—Continued

In thousands	of do	llars]
--------------	-------	--------

[III thomsands o			
	July 1, 1945, to Dec. 31, 1955	1954	1955
SpainSwedenSwitzerland	\$68, 211 87, 143 1, 803	\$12,750 8	\$53, 939 1
Syria Tangier Thailand	970 17 36, 569 444, 490	17 4, 624 75, 892	14, 327 49, 183
U. S. S. R. United Kingdom Bahamas	188, 180 3, 896, 667 84 137	163, 457	81, 343 34 136 29
British East Africa British Guiana British Honduras British Leaward and Windward Islands	29 97 147 163	18	74 85 163 488
Federation of Malaya Gambia Gold Coast	25 16 2, 568	1, 464	25 12 1, 104 503
Jamaica Malta Nigeria Nigeria	596 27 7	86	356 25 6 24
Singapore Yugoslavia Trust Territories in Pacific International organizations	730, 305 4, 444	52, 931 43, 447	81, 411 47, 759
Unspecified areas: Asia and Pacific Europe Near East Africa	2, 603, 938 10, 153, 496	759, 075 2, 039, 745 324, 369	456, 969 1, 365, 653 308, 509
South AsiaOther	15,654	38, 541 4, 949, 958	1, 127 40, 185 4, 128, 343
Total	44, 102, 360	4, 546, 566	-, 120, 111

III. Net authorized loans and credits, period July 1, 1940, to Dec. 31, 1955

[In thousands of dollars]

Country	Unutilized
Argentina 47, 043 Bolivia 784, 942 Brazil 148, 764 Chile 18, 764 Colombia 21, 335 Costa Rica 80, 228 Cuba 3, 284 Dominican Republic 38, 565 Ecuador 1, 476 El Salvador 2, 619 Guatemala 37, 891 Haiti 1, 118 Honduras 309, 747 Moxico 5, 550 Nicaragua 6, 488 Panama 13, 900 Paraguay 147, 755 Peru 22, 011	on Dec. 31, 1955
Venezuela 26,624 Unspecified 144,624 Afghanistan 22,559 Australia 34,807 Australia 34,807	\$60. 072 2, 621 89, 558 4, 550 2, 812 10, 303 8, 060 6, 499 2, 125 7, 163 64, 836 300 7, 670 120, 134 2, 673 5, 376 7, 111 14, 300 4, 446
Bahrem 234, 972 Belgium 3, 000 -	

Approved For Release: CIA-RDP59-00224A000100370006-9

III. Net authorized loans and credits, period July 1, 1940, to Dec. 31, 1955--Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Country	Total period July 1, 1940, to Dec. 31, 1955	Unutilized on Dec. 31, 1955
BurmaCapada	45.010	
CanadaChina (Farmasa)	\$5,043	
China (Formosa)	198, 621 367, 858	\$2, 625
	30, 377	16, 723
	54, 341	
Ethionia	25, 674	7, 740
Eritrea	31, 707	24,000
Eritrea Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland. Finland	50	
Finland France	60, 686 152, 313	11, 291
	2, 463, 407	1, 430
	680	1, 450
	4, 368	
French Morocco. New Caledonia.	18, 378	40
	1, 501	
	1, 345, 706 126, 790	124
	15, 917	2, 073
	6, 249	
India Indonesia	409, 216 180, 770	45, 270
	180, 770	32, 952
	120, 626	55, 780
	889	
	128, 200	
	162, 398 481, 209	5, 401 26, 355
	620, 474	75, 359
Korea. Latvia.	27, 428	2, 500
	11	
	1, 550 - 44, 773	18, 100
	472, 173	18, 100
New Zealand Norway	20, 453	16, 120
	140, 830	
	35, 136 144, 652	20,000
	81, 268	7, 686
	59, 465	4, 548
Angola Saudi Arabia	65	7,020
	31, 826	
	142, 033	47, 424
A TREERING ALCOHOL	28, 311 8, 505	1.050
	155, 520	$^{1,250}_{11,182}$
	151. 714	30, 179
U. S. S. R. United Kingdom	222, 493	
	BO 000	
Nigeria. Yugoslavia Unternetional organisations.		
	55, 900	
Schuman plan (European coal and steel)	100, 000	
United Nations headquarters loan	65, 000	
Schuman plan (European coal and steel) United Nations headquarters loan Export credits—Export Import Bank	167, 143	167, 143
Total		
	16, 855, 524	1, 053, 904

Sources:
(1) Foreign Aid, 1940-51; Office of Business Economics, Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce, U. S. Department of Commerce, 1952.
(2) Foreign Grants and Credits, Office of Business Economics, U. S. Department of Commerce, April 1956.