Approved For Release 2001/10/30 : CIA-RDP57-00384R000500020090-8

## **OGC HAS REVIEWED.**



## OGC HASAREVIEWED ease 2004/10/30 SECURITY 57-00364R000500020090-8

TO

Personnel Director

A Polymory 1953

FROM

Office of the General Counsel

SUBJECT

Balary Adjustments from Betwoodstre Pay Incheses

REFERENCE:

Your Membrandum dated 12 January 1953, some subject

1. The reference requests the advice of this office whather procedures for salery edjustments prescribed in the decision of the Comptroller General (8-106937) of November 6, 1951, 31 Comp. Gen. 166, should mendatorily be adhered to by this Agency in making returns tive payments pursuant to the general provisions of Public Lew 375 - Scot Congress.

- 2. It has been informally escentained that your inquiry is prompted by the fact that certain adjustments made pursuant to Public Law 375, in cases where promotions occurred during the period of retroscrivity, involve overpayments in the light of the clied Comptroller General decision. You further wish to be advised whether it is required that collection of these overpayments be obtained.
- set forth that retreactive payments not made in conformance with the cited decision are improper and that excessive security paid in conformance with each case should be recovered.

FOIAb5

FOIAb5

were precluded from making represents adjustments in salary until the peasage of Public Law 375 on June 5, 1952.

5. Public Law 375, as you state, permitted this Agency to pay its employees "pay increases, comparable to those provided by Public Law 201," "on the same basis as if they had been authorized by said law...". We interpret the words "on the same basis" as meaning that retroactive payments could be made in as favorable a manner as under Public Law 201. Without express terminology to this effect, payments on a more favorable basis.

COPY

ESTRICTED "4

Approved For Release 2001/10/30 : CIA-RDP57-00384R000500020090-8

## RESTRICTED TO THE PROPERTY IN FORMATION

6. The rule is well established in Government that increases in compensation lawfully may not be granted by administrative determination to have retroactive effect. 31 Comp. Gen. 163, 164; 28 id. 300; 25 id. 601. The Comptroller General made it abundantly clear in his opinion to the Director of Central Intelligence, cited above, that the unique authorities of this Agency were not intended to permit:

"a disregard of sny control with respect to the normal administrative or operating problems which confront the ordinary Government agency.... To adopt the view suggested in your latter

FOIAb5

FOIAb5

be equivalent to concluding that your Agency is authorized to grant retroactive increases, bonuses, or other perquisites to any or all of its employees with such frequency, or at such times, as desired, contingent only on the availability of funds. I cannot attribute any such intention to the Congress. 31 Comp. Gen. 191, 193.

To say that Public Law 375 and the decision of November 6, 1951 have no restrictive effect upon this Agency is tentemount to making the contention refuted by the Comptroller General in the quoted passage.

- 7. Purthermore, it is our opinion that the Comptroller General's decision of January 25, 1952 referred to in paragraph 4 of your memorement, is clearly distinguishable on its facts. The case of the "de facts" officer there considered, involved an Army Air Force Ceptain who continued to receive the pay and allowances and perform the duties of a high grade after his temporary promotion to that grade had expired. The question of retroactive increases in salary was not in issue. It cannot be said here that Agency employees promoted during the period of retroactivity performed the services of their promoted grade during that entire period.
- 8. For the reasons stated, payments in excess of those permitted under the Compiroller General's interpretation of Public Lew 201 are retreactive payments not expressly authorized by law and hence are subject to suffit expertion. We therefore believe that it is necessary to require repayments of amounts considered as such overpayments. A revision of Agency policy purporting to waive this requirement would be legally objectionable.

STATINTL

COC/GEK: tran

Distribution -

Orig - Addressee

1 - Deputy Comptroller

+ - OGC

RESTRICTED 8

SECURITY INFORMATION
Approved For Release 2001/10/30 : CIA-RDP57-00384R000500020090-8