

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Wednesday - 16 June 1971

Page 3

25X1

7. [] Gwen Lewis, in the office of Senator Len Jordan, called to say that in view of the Senator's conversation yesterday with Mr. Gene Cowen, of the White House staff, there was no need for a meeting today to discuss certain Agency operations in Southeast Asia.

25X1

8. [] Called Representative Morris K. Udall and offered to review with him the substance of the breakfast briefing we had given other members of the Hanley Subcommittee regarding our problems on the Ervin bill. Mr. Udall appreciated the offer and said he would like to get together possibly Wednesday, 23 June. He asked me to call him then to make a firm date.

25X1

9. [] Mr. Gene Cowen, of the White House staff, called to say he had talked with Senator Len Jordan yesterday and there was no need for further action regarding Jordan's query re certain Agency activities in Southeast Asia.

25X1

10. [] Called Representative Lawrence Hogan and said I would be glad to meet with him to summarize the briefing given the Hanley Subcommittee regarding our problems with the Ervin bill, which Mr. Hogan had been unable to attend. Mr. Hogan appreciated the offer but said he was "100% with us" and there was no need to waste time on a briefing in order to get his support. However, he said he was disappointed not to have visited Headquarters and I told him to call me whenever he had time to come out for breakfast or lunch.

25X1

11. [] Mr. Davis, Administrative Assistant to Representative William Scott (R., Va.), called to say Mr. Scott wanted to know the Agency's views regarding the Badillo bill forbidding the Agency to engage in paramilitary operations. I told Davis that this bill dealt with authority given the Agency under the National Security Act of 1947 and was exercised only under the direction of the National Security Council. I said in such matters the Agency was merely a service organization carrying out direction of higher authority and, therefore, could take no position for or against the bill. I suggested the matter be referred to the White House which was best qualified to speak on such policy questions.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~