....A.'s Covert Role: **NEW YORK TIMES** Ford's Defense Runs Against Current Trend

By CLIFTON DANIEL Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Sept. 17 for a democracy, using its intelligence agencies to intervene

in the internal affairs of other countries.

News

Analysis

Mr. Ford, at a news conference, seemed to answer

the question affirmatively. He acknowledged that the United States had made an effort to preserve an opposition press and opposition political parties in Chile during the rule of a Marxist President, Salvador Allende Gossens, who died in a military coup in September, 1973.

President Ford justified the effort, which was made during the Nixon Administration, by saying that it was "in the best interest of the people of Chile, and certainly in our best interest."

"I am reliably informed," Mr. Ford said, "that Communist nations spend vastly more money than we do for the same kind of purpose."

His response was presumably considered in advance. He had every reason to expect a question on the subject because of the recent disclosure that the Nixon Administration authorized the Central Intelligence Agency to spend \$8-million on covert activities in Chile hetween 1970 and 1973.

Indeed, Mr. Ford may have had the help of those who authorized those expenditures in framing his reply. In any event, he chose to defend the behavior of the old Administration rather than chart a new policy for his own.

His response was presumably considered in advance. He had every reason to expect a question on the subject. Last week it was disclosed that the Nixon Administration had authorized the Central Intelligence Agency to spend \$8-million on covert activities in Chile between 1970 and 1973. Those activities were approved by the so-called 40 Committe, whose chairman was and is Secretary of State Kissinger.

Mr. Ford possibly may have had the help of those who authorized those expenditures in framing his reply. In any event, the President chose to defend the behavior of the old Administration rather chart a new policy for his own.

Cold-War Rhetoric Seen

Another kind of reply was be known that the clandestine what international law gave the possible. The President might. President Ford helped revive a have said that he was not redying issue last night. That is- sponsible for past activities of sue was whether it was proper the C.I.A., but would be responsible for its future behavior, and would accordingly review its policies and plans.

He did promise to meet with the Congressional committees that review the covert actions of the agency to see whether they might want to change the review process. Those committees, however, are not noted in Washington for vigor and skep-

Mr. Ford himself was a memher of one of them for nine years when he was a Representative from Michigan..

His reversion last night to the reason and rhetoric of the cold war, however mildly ex- However, the capacity for pressed, led to speculation such action may be needed in that his mind was still set in that mold.

"If it was good enough for Truman, Eisenhower, Kennedy, Johnson and Nixon, then it's good enough for Ford," one of the President's friends remarked today.

'That's the way he thinks." Even in an Administration that has been dedicated to openness and candor, President Ford was judged in Washington to have spoken with remarkable frankness.

"It is the first time in my memory that a President has come out flatly and said, 'We do it, the other side does it, and we do it," said Prof. Richard N. Gardner, a specialist in international law at Columbia University, speaking from New

Secret C.I.A, operations such as the overthrow of Premier Mohammed Mossadegh of Iran in 1953 and President Jacobo Arbenz Guzman of Guatemala in 1954, the Bay of Pigs invasion of Cuba in 1961 and later operations in Laos have been identified when they became too big and notorious to be con-

However, none has ever been acknowledged as readily and fully as the Chile operation, although the acknowledgment was low-keyed.

It came, oddly, when such activities seemed to be going out of style. Eighteen months ago the Nixon Administration let it

or Communist insurgency in countries involved." the ninetee sixties."

volvement in covert action is tions Committee, said today: very small indeed." "It seems he declared that t

impact on our current activities in its dealings with foreign or the current security of the countries. He equates us with United States," Mr. Colby ack-the Russians. I thought there nowledged.

However, the capacity for ence is what it's all about." case of some new threat, he added, and it would be a mistake to "leave us with nothing be-tween a diplomatic protest and sending the Marines.

There was a conspicuous difference in tone between Mr. Colby and President Ford, his new boss, but both seemed to take it for granted that the United States had the right to intervene in the affairs of other countries in its own interest.

When Mr. Ford was asked

operations of the C.I.A. were United States the right to "des-being curtailed.

United States the right to "des-tabilize the constitutionally tabilize the constitutionally -VI Just last week, William E. elected government of another Colby, Director of Central Intel-country," the President deligence, said it was "clear that clined to talk about law, but said, "it's a recognized fact that historically, as well as presently, such actions are taken version in the nineteen fifties in the best interests of the

Commenting on that, Senator

"As a result," Mr. Colby told Frank Church, Democrat of Ida-the Fud for Peace conference ho, who is a high-ranking mem-in Washington, "C.I.A.'s in-ber of the Senate Foreign Rela-

"It seems he declared that the Abandoning covert action en- United States respects no law tirely "would not have a major other than the law of the jungle was a difference, and the differ-

00779