Approved For Release 2008/09/18 : CIA-RDP08S01350R000100240002-9



Confidential

25X1

25X1

Status of Economic Denial Measures Against the USSR

An Intelligence Assessment

Confidential

ER 80-10378 June 1980

Copy



Status of Economic Denial Measures Against the USSR

25X1

25X1

An Intelligence Assessment

Research for this report was completed on 12 June 1980.

	25 X 1
The author of this paper is	
Soviet Trade Branch, USSR-EE Division, Office of	
Economic Research. Comments and queries are	
welcome and should be directed to the Chief,	25 X 1
Soviet Trade Branch, USSR-EE Division, OER, on	23/1
This paper has been coordinated with the Office of	25 X 1
Political Analysis.	

Confidential ER 80-10378 June 1980

For Release 2008/09/18 : CIA-RDP08S01350R000	100240002-9 Confidential 25X1
Status of Economic Denial Measures Against the USSR	25 X 1
from our Allies. At best they have been reluct seemingly aimed more at placating Washingto All the West European countries and Japan hamarket ajar, and each has been mindful not to stand on sanctions than its neighbor. In large cooperation, sanctions have had little impact st the grain embargo, which has strained domest and forced cutbacks in the important livestock. The USSR has been threatening to strike back measures with sanctions of its own. We doubt	ant partners with responses on than punishing Moscow. The service take a markedly tougher of the service take a markedly tougher of the service of this lack of the far. The single exception is the tension of the sector. 25X1 The sagainst Western denial the threats will turn into
	Denial Measures

iii

25X1

Contents

	Page
Summary	iii
Status of Sanctions	1
Agriculture	1
Technology	1
Olympic Boycott	1
Summit Country Positions	1
Concerns of Major Governments	2
The Soviet View	. 3
Impact on the Soviet Economy	4
Grain	4
Phosphate Fertilizers	4
Industry	5
Implications for the Future	5

25X1

Status of Economic Denial Measures Against the USSR

25X1

Status of Sanctions

25X1

25X1

Agriculture. The Soviets have been able to replace 6 million tons of the 17 million tons of denied US grain in the current US-USSR Long Term Grain Agreement (LTA) year ending 30 September. Most of this replacement grain has come from Argentina, although Canada also sold grain after the embargo was announced. We doubt that the Soviets can obtain more than another 2 million tons of grain for delivery this LTA year, leaving them 8-9 million tons short of preembargo expectations.

The Soviets are successfully coping with the embargoes on other agricultural products. The 1.2 million tons of soybeans and meal denied by the United States have been fully replaced—800,000 tons of beans from Argentina and 400,000 tons of meal from Western Europe. Moscow has also partially mitigated the effects of the US denial of 63,000 tons of meat and poultry. The Soviets bought at least 185,000 tons of meat from January to April, compared with an estimated purchase of 265,000 tons from Western suppliers in all of 1979. Soviet orders of superphosphate fertilizer and phosphoric acid apparently will replace about one-fourth of the 1 million tons of embargoed US material.

Technology. Moves to limit nonagricultural exports to the Soviet Union consist of withholding government-guaranteed export credits by the major Allies, tighter COCOM controls, and assurances not to fulfill contracts vacated by US firms because of sanctions.

 Although the US appeal that its Allies suspend government-guaranteed export credits to the USSR has been rejected or left unanswered, most countries thus far have limited new credits to the USSR in 1980. Except for France, which has negotiated a new multiyear credit agreement to take effect this month, the Allies have viewed more favorably the proposal to shorten credit maturities, provided that the French agree.

- Some support exists in principle for US requests for tighter COCOM controls. Member countries appear willing to go along with a "no exceptions" policy, but the "process control technology" proposal for military-related items has received a chilly response.
- The request not to replace US firms for Soviet contracts has been narrowly interpreted. Only in the case of the Novolipetsk steel contract abandoned by Armco steel has a government instructed its firms not to enter a bid.

In spite of Allied reservations about the use of sanctions, Soviet orders for Western machinery and equipment have fallen sharply this year. New contracts during the first quarter amounted to only \$300 million—less than half the \$725-million quarterly average of the past two years. Some major contracts that appeared ready for signature, such as the US—West German deal for the Sayansk aluminum smelter, have been shelved or delayed. But denial measures have not put a crimp in Western sales of large-diameter steel pipe; the completion of a pending sale by Japan will push Soviet purchases to record levels.

25X1

Olympic Boycott. Fifty-three of the approximately 145 countries invited to the Moscow Games voted not to participate, in support of the US call for a boycott. Although athletes from France, the UK, and Italy will participate, the teams will be diluted by individual and federation decisions not to attend. The UK team, for instance, is expected to be only about three-fifths the size of the team that went to Montreal in 1976.

Summit Country Positions

25X1

All the key West European governments and Japan issued immediate and, in most cases, strong condemnations of the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan. All supported the grain embargo and agreed to close ranks by not proceeding with new credit extensions or taking over US contracts. Since then most government positions have softened. The new multiyear credit

1

		•		
25X	Allied reluctance to sustain the sanction effort mainly reflects a deep-seated aversion to developing an adversary relationship with the USSR. Also of concern are fear of the loss of Soviet markets and West European vulnerability to a cutoff in Soviet energy supplies. Most US allies are suspicious of the constancy of their Summit partners in adhering to the sanctions and are uncertain about how long the US	Soviets, the French have done little to apply economic sanctions beyond promising not to replace US firms in contracts from which they have withdrawn and not to take advantage of the grain embargo. They have also stated they will tighten up on high-technology exports to the USSR, although they have opposed a more restrictive formal policy within the	25X1 25X1	25X6 25X6
	West German officials	The Italian Government has pledged solidarity with		25 X 6
25 X	are skeptical about the effectiveness of economic sanctions and an Olympic boycott. Initially, Bonn said it would adhere strictly to COCOM regulations on sales of high technology and refrain from replacing any canceled US sales. The West Germans assert that they will not curtail credit guarantees for the USSR but have thus far stalled on approving such financing as well as on signing major new contracts. Motivated by their supposed special relationship with the USSR, the <i>French</i> have maintained consistently that the West should not isolate the Soviets because of	the United States in the Afghanistan crisis, but mounting domestic pressures combined with political instability could weaken the government's resolve. The Cossiga coalition government already faces a tough uphill battle with the Communist party and can ill afford to alienate the business community, which continues to press for a resumption of commercial ties. Moreover, Rome believes it is suffering unfairly for supporting US objectives and that it is doing more than most other European allies.	25 X 1	•
	Confidential	2		

Approved For Release 2008/09/18 : CIA-RDP08S01350R000100240002-9

focused essentially on the US grain embargo and to a lesser extent on Western participation in the Moscow Olympics. 25X1

Italian officials are afraid that US proposals for restraints on Soviet trade will cut deeply into Italian exports. Italy's trade deficit with the Soviet Union jumped more than 50 percent last year (to \$850 million) as Italians paid more for energy and raw materials imports from the USSR. Rome is eager to narrow the deficit through expanded sales of machinery and equipment. Although the government is not anxious to grant the Soviets preferential credit terms, it fears that failure to meet terms offered by other industrial nations will seriously undercut its competiveness. Rome thus opposes limits on credit maturities, believing that shorter maturities would not supply the type of financial backing needed to carry out Italian-sponsored projects.

To counteract the sanctions, Moscow turned quickly to obtain non-US Western grain supplies. Moscow also asked the East European countries for help. Most of the activity with the Bloc that we can confirm consists of requests for stepped-up deliveries from domestic grain production rather than transshipment or diversion of US grain. Hungary, for example, has agreed to an unprecedented Soviet demand to provide 800,000 tons of grain this year from its own crop, and we believe requests may have been made to other Bloc countries.

The United Kingdom has generally supported trade sanctions. The low level of economic relations between London and Moscow relative to the other European countries has made it easier for London to do so. The five-year official credit line which expired this January was never fully tapped.

25X6

25X1 ∠3∧ô

25X1

Canada's stake in maintaining good relations with the USSR centers almost exclusively on grain exports.

The East Europeans fear that the present atmosphere will harm their efforts to expand ties with the West. In any case, they can do relatively little for the USSR. Because of their own economic problems, the East European countries are reluctant to divert goods from domestic use or from exports to the West. Transshipping Western commodities could invite a retaliatory cutoff of Western exports. Poland in particular would not want to risk losing US grain.

The Soviet View

25X1

While the Soviets were surprised by the extent of Western trade sanctions, they undoubtedly expected them to fade after a few months as the immediate impact of the Afghanistan action wore off. Soviet officials probably also believed that Western Europe and Japan would continue business as usual with them, including picking up contracts denied American firms by US actions. The only serious concern at the outset

Moscow, expressing impatience with the industrial countries that have been stalling on new credits and discouraging their businessmen from dealing with the Soviets, is now threatening to retaliate against Western denials. Soviet officials have become more and more explicit in discussing the USSR's capacity for economic reprisals, especially by manipulating energy supplies to Western Europe. Last month, for instance, a Soviet press item warned that Bonn "might have to relinquish important energy and other raw materials imports" and stated that a tough German stance on sanctions "would risk further normalization of relations with Eastern Europe as well."

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

While frustrated by Western sanctions, Moscow is unlikely to abandon the tactics it has pursued since the sanctions were imposed. We doubt, for instance, that the Kremlin is ready to write the West out of the upcoming Five-Year Plan, as it may be doing to the United States. For the time being, the Soviets will continue to search for the weak link in Western solidarity, playing competitors off against each other.	Moscow could overcome many of its grain problems with a good harvest this year. As of early June, the prospects for an above-average grain crop—about 210-220 million tons—appeared to be good. This would be a substantial recovery from last year's 179-million-ton crop but still well below the 1978 record of 237 million tons.	25X1
In all likelihood, the Kremlin still questions whether Western Europe and Japan believe Afghanistan to be an important enough issue to jeopardize long-term trade and economic cooperation with the Soviet Bloc.	Although prospects for an above-average grain crop of 210-220 million tons are good, the USSR would like to import up to 35 million tons of grain during the year ending in September 1981 in order to expand the livestock sector. In part because of the grain embargo, however, effective port capacity has been reduced	
Impact on the Soviet Economy The impact of economic denial measures on the USSR has always depended critically on whether the US acts alone or is joined by other major suppliers and how long the measures remain in place.	below the level needed to handle such imports. Port constraints have been tightened because the embargo has forced the use of smaller vessels and disrupted shipping schedules. Beginning in March, Soviet grain imports probably have been about one-half million tons less per month than would have been possible with	25V4
Grain. The US embargo on shipments of grain and feedstuffs has further stretched domestic feed supplies—already short because of last year's poor harvest of grain and forage crops. Moreover, the late spring this year reduced access to spring pasture and delayed development of forage crops, increasing pressure on available feeds. As a result, the leadership's long-standing program to upgrade the diet through expanded meat production has once again been set back.	Port capacity will continue to be the critical constraint on Soviet grain imports for at least several more months. Over the entire October 1980—September 1981 period, grain supplies available to the USSR will probably be at least 30 million tons if non-US suppliers do not restrict sales and US sales are limited to 8 million tons; but Moscow may be physically unable to import more than 30 million tons of grain and other feedstuffs unless problems of scheduling and poor	25X1
With less feed, slaughter weights as well as milk yields have been declining since the first of the year. Despite some increase in slaughtering, meat production on state and collective farms grew only slowly. More importantly, cumulative additions to cattle herds on state and collective farms during the first four months of the year were the lowest of any comparable period since 1976, and monthly changes in hog numbers indicate a small drop, unprecedented in the eight years for which we have statistics. The consequences of trying to stretch feed supplies as far as possible while maintaining herd levels are being increasingly felt by consumers. Endemic shortages of meat and butter are now becoming even more severe.	management can be sorted out. Whether the Soviets can make the necessary adjustments remains to be seen. Phosphate Fertilizers. The US embargo has impeded standing Soviet efforts to relieve chronic shortages of phosphate fertilizers. We estimate that the USSR could increase its grain harvest by 4-6 million tons with the fertilizer produced from the 1 million tons of US-origin superphosphoric acid originally scheduled for 1980 delivery. Soviet orders of superphosphate fertilizer and phosphoric acid from non-US suppliers apparently have already offset the loss of about one-fourth of the US material. Prospects for additional Soviet acquisitions of phosphate materials are considered good, particularly if premium prices are	25X1

Industry. Technology sanctions will have little shortrun impact on the USSR. Even with Allied cooperation the USSR probably could recover rather quickly from embargoes on key items. Moscow, for instance, could accelerate its purchases of petroleum equipment once the embargo is lifted. The Soviets have already bought or are in final negotiations for all the steel pipe needed this year. The biggest problem is finding spare parts, particularly for computers. Although, for example, the denial of US computer parts at the KAMAZ truck plant has not yet resulted in any known shutdowns, the main IBM foundry computer probably will shut down before the end of the year and inactivation of other US computers at the complex—used for material handling tasks and engine testing—could introduce painful disruptions.

25X1

Implications for the Future

Assuming Western unity, a long-term embargo on technology transfer would impose increasingly severe problems for the Soviet economy. For while Moscow may have written off the US as a future source, Soviet planners are assuming continued access to technology from Western Europe and Japan. The need for Western technology and capital will grow as the USSR's economic performance slows in the 1980s.

25X1

For the time being, Moscow can afford to buy—even at premium prices—the goods the West is willing to sell. Thanks to the recent runup in energy and gold prices the USSR enjoys a comfortable foreign exchange position. We believe this cushion will last only a couple of years. As the oil outlook darkens and the USSR moves closer to being a net oil importer, finances will become a constraint on imports, and with it access to Western technology. In these circumstances, credit availability will become a far more crucial factor than it is today. A general tightening of credit offerings effectively lowers Soviet import capacity, reducing the pool of Western resources available to the USSR to alleviate its economic problems.

25X1