Declassified and Approved For Release 2013/07/17: CIA-RDP06M00944R000200070005-2

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

BUREAU OF THE BUDGET

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

BULLETIN NO. 62-7

January 15, 1962

TO THE HEADS OF EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS AND ESTABLISHMENTS

Department and agency appraisals of field organization SUBJECT: and management

- 1. Purpose. This Bulletin transmits guidelines for agency appraisal of field organization and management as directed by the President's memorandum of November 13, 1961, to the heads of departments and agencies; calling for greater coordination and efficiency of Government field activities.
- Nature of the appraisals. While appraisal of field operations is inherently a continuing responsibility, the President's memorandum directed each department and agency to make a special critical appraisal aimed at improved economy, efficiency, and substantive effectiveness of the executive branch. The appraisals should be designed and conducted so as to be of maximum assistance to you in improving your field operations. No formal reports are expected by the President or the Bureau of the Budget.
- 3. Bureau of the Budget participation. In line with the Bureau's interest and concern for improving management in the executive branch, you are requested to:
- a. Provide the Bureau of the Budget with a copy of your study plans as they are developed, including target dates and projected methods of study. This will make it possible for the Bureau to serve as a clearing house of information on approaches that are being employed in the several departments and agencies.
- b. Apprise Bureau staff of significant conclusions or recommendations that may have application beyond your agency. The Bureau will continue to work toward developing general guidance on field organization and management.

Questions or requests for assistance in planning the appraisals should be made to Mr. Harold Seidman, Acting Chief, Office of Management and Organization (Code 113, extension 413).

DAVID E. BELL

Attachment

Reven He states that if any action was required,

They wowe get in tend with us. I expressed The

apenion that it wants to very express to carry

apenion that it wants to the above. Tenness aprent

Declassified and Approved For Release 2013/07/17: CIA-RDP06M00944R000200070005-4 Director

MAP CHANGE THE STAND

BULLETIN NO. 62-7 Attachment A

AGENCY APPRAISAL OF FIELD ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

1. General

The President's memorandum of November 13, 1961, to the Heads of the Departments and Agencies, called for greater coordination and efficiency of government field activities. To this end, it called specifically for:

- a. Improved management and direction of Federal offices in the field by officials in Washington.
- b. Establishment of inter-agency working groups in important field centers for closer coordination across department and agency lines.
- c. A critical appraisal by departments and agencies, with field officials, of their field management procedures.
- d. Over all, new emphasis on management skills in support of improved economy, efficiency, and substantive effectiveness of the executive branch.

2. What the executive agencies are required to do

The President's memorandum asks each department and agency to devote specific effort toward improving the organization and management of its field activities toward the end that improved economy, efficiency, and substantive effectiveness will result. While this is inherently a continuing responsibility, each agency is asked to take two specific actions:

- a. Arrange for the personal participation of responsible field officials in the work of field Boards of Federal Executives which will be established by the Civil Service Commission.
- b. Make a critical appraisal of field organization and management. This is intended to be an organized review, with field participation, looking toward actions to improve organization and management procedures of field activities, including functions performed by both headquarters and field levels. The Bureau of the Budget is responsible for providing guidance to departments and agencies in making this appraisal.



Department and agency field appraisals

All departments and agencies, unless they have done so within the past year, are expected to review headquarters-field relationships, field organization structure, and operating methods relative to field activities. The study will require critical appraisal of the premises underlying existing organization and procedures as well as an evaluation of results obtained.

- a. The areas of coverage for the studies will include the means of appraising program effectiveness and performance as well as administrative matters. The President has directed that "New emphasis shall be placed on management skills in support of improved economy, efficiency, and the substantive effectiveness of the Executive Branch of the Government."
- b. The special appraisals are to be made by each department and agency for the primary purpose of improving its own operations. Agencies will not be required to provide formal reports of the studies to the President or to the Bureau of the Budget.

4. Subject matter to be covered

Provided here is an <u>illustrative</u> outline of subjects appropriate to be included in the special studies of field organization and management. The items will not apply equally to all departments and agencies; moreover, there will probably be other subjects that an agency may wish to include to meet its own problems.

- a. Headquarters-field relationships
 - (1) Headquarters organization for field administration
 - Nature of the headquarters organization
 - Supervisory and communications arrangements between headquarters and field functional or line supervision
 - Machinery for planning the work and resources of field
 - (2) Functions and responsibilities of field elements
 - Missions and functions assigned
 - Delegations of authority and responsibility
 - Extent of decentralization



- (3) Evaluation of performance
 - Standards of performance imposed on the field organization
 - The measurement of field performance
 - Means for improving performance
- b. Field organizational structure
 - (1) Geographic dispersion
 - Number, kind, and location of field elements
 - Possibility of reducing unneeded field offices
 - Realignment of areas and field office locations to aid cooperation with other agencies
 - (2) Program dispersion
 - Multi-functional
 - Bureau
 - Activity
 - (3) Intermediate echelons of responsibility regional directors, area representatives, etc.
 - (4) Fundamental basis of field organizations purpose, function, process, geography, or clientele.
 - (5) Field coordination
 - Within the department or agency
 - With other departments and agencies
 - With State and local governments
 - (6) Management services such as personnel, space, supply, budget, finance, communication, transportation, public relations, and management studies
 - Located with the program activities
 - In central field organizations
 - In the headquarters



c. Field operations

- (1) Methods and procedures
 - Prescribed by manuals
 - Left to field discretion
- (2) Means for relating staffing and other resources to workload
- (3) Assigning, scheduling, and controlling work
 - Use of manpower skills
 - Use of mechanical and electronic means
 - Flow of work and office layout
- (4) Means for increasing effectiveness and reducing costs
- (5) Backlogs
- (6) Complaints

5. Organizing to make the field appraisals

a. Study group

The appraisal to be made by each department and agency can be assigned to an established management study group, for example, a management analysis staff or a field review and appraisal staff; or it can be made by an ad hoc committee or task force. In either event, there must be field participation through membership on the study group or active solicitation of field views and recommendations through visitation and correspondence. The study group may include one or more participants from outside of the agency, or the personnel may be drawn entirely from within.

b. Development of the study plan

Before the study is begun, a comprehensive plan should be developed covering:

- (1) Makeup of the study group
- (2) How the field will participate
- (3) Study methods interviews; hearings; analysis of materials; questionnaires; study of work flow, traffic, responsibility distribution, and administrative procedures; and other method

- (4) Schedule by phases including the final target date.
- (5) Nature of the final report
- c. Possible methods to be employed

An important phase of the study will be the examination to be done in the headquarters before any visits are made to field locations. Due to the variety of field situations no detailed methods are prescribed; however, certain steps appear basic to any study of this type:

- (1) Review present legal authorities, mission statements, delegations of authority, procedural instructions, and organizational arrangements.
- (2) Review previous field organization and management studies, Congressional committee hearings and studies, Hoover Commission reports, and other background material.
- (3) Compare with other governmental field organizations, particularly where problems are similar.
- (4) Study and compare functions and workload relative to staffing in comparable field activities and geographic areas.
- (5) Study correspondence and other communications between headquarters and field elements and between field elements themselves to learn the nature of problems and how they have been met in the past.
- (6) Determine what is actually performed in the field elements being studied and how well it is being done. This may be done on a sampling basis both of installations to be covered and functions and work within an installation. Examination on a sampling basis will permit more detailed study with limited resources. In addition to the standard techniques of interviews, observation, review of written instructions and questionnaires, selective use may be made, as appropriate, of statistical analytical techniques and possibly of some of the newer mathematical techniques.

6. Bureau of the Budget assistance

The Bureau will be prepared, within its available resources, to assist the departments and agencies on their internal appraisals of field management, as needed. This assistance may include:

- a. General guidance on coverage and methods of field management studies.
- b. Advice on the design of these studies.



c. Clearing house services with regard to the variety of approaches being employed by agencies in conducting appraisals and initiating improved practices.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT BUREAU OF THE BUDGET

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

BULLETIN NO. 62-2

September 19, 1961

TO THE HEADS OF EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS AND ESTABLISHMENTS

SUBJECT: Increased travel costs pursuant to Public Law 87-139

- 1. <u>Purpose</u>. This bulletin provides policy guidelines and instructions for financing increased travel costs attributed to revised allowances authorized by Public Law 87-139.
- 2. <u>Policy</u>. Agencies will make a continuous and progressive effort to absorb as much as possible of the increased costs within travel limitations, appropriations and funds available in fiscal year 1962 and without increases in funds requested for fiscal year 1963. It should be recognized that rates authorized in Public Law 87-139 are maximum rates to be allowed by the departments and agencies only when circumstances clearly warrant, as set forth in Bureau of the Budget Circular No. A-7, Transmittal Memorandum No. 21, August 14, 1961.

Agency attention is also called to the view expressed in Senate Report No. 544 that most, if not the entire cost of this measure can be absorbed if all official travel is handled in a judicious manner. The Senate Committee did not suggest the curtailment of necessary travel, but suggested that better scheduling of work, elimination of duplication of effort, acceptance of coach accommodations on trains and planes when suitable to the occasion, and other measures taken as a matter of course by a prudent private traveler will result in the economies necessary to absorb costs. The use of "less than first class," "tourist," or "economy" accommodations are further examples of economies that will reduce transportation costs and allow absorption of increased allowances within funds available (see Bureau of the Budget Circular No. A-7, Transmittal Memorandum No. 15, May 16, 1960).

- 3. Apportionment requests. The increase in travel allowances authorized by Public Law 87-139 will not be considered, in itself, a justification for requesting apportionments which indicate the need for supplemental appropriations. Expenditures for travel are considered as being within administrative control.
- 4. Submission of supplemental estimates and similar proposals.
- a. In any instance where it is determined that the absorption of travel costs within funds available in the fiscal year 1962 will reduce travel to a level which cannot be sustained for the full year without serious damage to the program involved, a request for a supplemental



AN MAN

to allow the minimum level of travel necessary from the time that additional funds could probably be made available (April 1) may be prepared for consideration in accordance with instructions contained in Bureau of the Budget Circular No. A-41.

- b. Instructions contained in Circular No. A-41 will also govern those instances where changes are required in specific or general travel expense limitations. In this regard, it should be noted that increases in travel limitations may be needed even though no increase in funds is
- 5. Agency budget submissions for fiscal year 1963. Tentative forecast of supplemental estimates of additional funds required in fiscal year 1962, as a result of increased travel allowances, will be set forth in the 1963 budget submission in separate schedules of program and financing and will be explained in the justification (see section 4 of Bureau of the Budget Circular No. A-11).

DAVID E. BELL Director



required.

Declassified and Approved For Release 2013/07/17 : CIA-RDP06M00944R000200070005-4

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

BUREAU OF THE BUDGET

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

BULLETIN No. 62-1

July 15, 1961

TO THE HEADS OF EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS AND ESTABLISHMENTS

SUBJECT: Cooperation with the Post Office Department's new "Nationwide" Improved Mail Service" program

On July 19, 1961, the Post Office Department will formally initiate its Nationwide Improved Mail Service ("NIMS") program. The Department expects to establish continuing advisory groups composed of the larger commercial mail users in each of the 289 cities named on the attached list. These groups will work with local postmasters in developing cooperative mailing practices designed to be mutually beneficial in improving the mail service and reducing postal costs.

The initial objective of this program will be to reduce the burden of the heavy receipts of mail at the close of the normal business day. The Department's studies of this problem indicate that much really important correspondence now suffers delay to many destinations since early transportation departures cannot be met for all mail being processed. At the same time, much of this volume consists of items for which time preference is of relatively little significance. Commercial mailers, therefore, will be asked to schedule their non-priority mail deposits for the morning hours when they can be handled without interfering with the dispatch of mail with news or time value.

The present concentration of a high proportion of the postal workload into a relatively few hours of the working day also results in inefficient use of buildings, equipment, and manpower and unduly increases the costs of the postal service.

Federal agencies have as much to gain from more efficient handling of their mail as do the commercial mailing firms which are being requested to cooperate. In Washington, D. C., and other locations where Government mail volume is substantial, your cooperation will be necessary in order to assure that the benefits are, in fact, realized.



JYZ HM

To secure improved handling of correspondence originating in your agency, you should designate a representative in Washington, D. C., and any other listed city in which you have substantial mailing activity to call on the local postmaster and work with him (1) in the establishment of more efficient and economical mail collection schedules, (2) in the solution of other postal service problems concerning Government mail, and (3) in carrying out any further cooperative efforts which may be undertaken through the Nationwide Improved Mail Service program.

DAVID E. BELL Director

Attachment



Attachment A Bulletin No. 62-1

LIST OF POST OFFICES AT WHICH NATIONWIDE IMPROVED MAIL SERVICE (NIMS) ADVISORY GROUPS WILL BE ESTABLISHED

Alabama	Connecticut	Illinois (Cont'd.)
Birmingham	Bridgeport	Peoria
Mobile	Bristol	Rockford
Montgomery	Greenwich	Skokie
Alaska	Hartford	Springfield
Anchorage	New Britain	Waukegan
Arizona	New Haven	Indiana
Phoeniz	'Stamford	Crawfordsville
Tucson	Waterbury	Elkhart
Arkansas	Delaware	Evansville
Little Rock	Wilmington	Fort Wayne
California	District of Columbia	Gary
Anaheim	Washington	Hammond
Bakersfield	Florida	Indianapolis
Berkeley	Fort Lauderdale	Kokomo
Beverly Hills	Ja cksonville	Lafayette
Burbank	Miami	South Bend
Culver City	Orlando	Terre Haute
Fresno	Pensacola ,	Iowa
Glendale	Saint Petersburg	Cedar Rapids
Inglewood	Tallahasee	Davenport
Long Beach	Tampa	Des Moines
Los Angeles	West Palm Beach	Nevada
McClellan AFB	Georgia	Sioux City
North Hollywood	Atlanta	Waterloo
Oakland	Augusta	Kansas
Palo Alto	Columbus	Kansas City
Pasadena	Macon	Topeka
Richmond	Savannah	Wichita
Riverside	<u>Hawaii</u>	<u>Kentucky</u>
Sacramento	Honolulu	Lexington
San Bernardino	<u>Idaho</u>	Louisville
San Diego	Boise	Louisiana
San Francisco	<u>Illinois</u>	Baton Rouge
San Jose	Aurora	New Orleans
San Mateo	Bloomington	Shreveport
Santa Ana	Chicago	Maine
Santa Barbara	Decatur	Portland
Santa Monica	Elgin	Maryland
Stockton	Evanston	Baltimore
Van Nuys	Joliet	Silver Spring
Whittier	Melrose Park	Massachusetts
Colorado	Moline	Boston
Boulder	Mount Morris	Brockton
Colorado Springs	North Chicago	Clinton
Denver	Oak Park	Fall River

Massachusetts (Cont'd.) New Jersey (Cont'd.) North Dakota Jersey City Lawrence Fargo Ohio Lowell . Kearny Akron Lynn Newark New Bedford New Brunswick Canton Cincinnati Springfield Passaic Cleveland Worcester Paterson Columbus Michigan Plainfield Dayton Ann Arbor Princeton Battle Creek Lima Rahway Mansfield Rutherford Dearborn Marion Detroit Trenton Union Springfield Flint Toledo Union City Grand Rapids New Mexico Youngstown Jackson Albuquerque Oklahoma Kalamazoo Oklahoma City New York Lansing Tulsa Muskegon Albany Binghamton Oregon Pontiac Eugene Brooklyn Royal Oak Portland Buffalo Saginaw Salem Elmira Minnesota Pennsylvania Duluth Flushing Allentown Freeport Minneapolis Bethlehem Saint Paul Garden City Chester Great Neck Mississippi Easton Hempstead Jackson Erie Missouri Hicksville Hanover Jamaica Jefferson City Harrisburg Long Island City Kansas City Lancaster Mount Vernon Saint Joseph Saint Louis Newark Philadelphia New Hyde Park Pittsburgh Springfield Reading New Rochelle Montana Billings New York Scranton Sharon Hill Niagara Falls Nebraska Upper Darby Boys Town Pleasantville Port Washington Warren Lincoln Wilkes-Barre Poughkeepsie Omaha Williamsport Nevada Rochester Las Vegas York Schenectady Puerto Rico Staten Island Reno San Juan Syracuse New Hampshire Rhode Island Utica Concord Pawtucket White Plains Manchester Providence New Jersey Yonkers -South Carolina North Carolina Atlantic City Charleston Charlotte Camden Columbia Clifton Durham Greenville Greensboro East Orange South Dakota High Point Elizabeth Sioux Falls Englewood Raleigh Tennessee Winston-Salem Fairview Chattanoogs Hackensack



```
Tennessee (Cont'd.)
  Kingsport
  Knoxville
  Memphis .
  Nashville
Texas
  Abilene
  Amarillo
  Austin -
  Beaumont
  Corpus Christi
  Dallas
  El Paso
  Fort Worth
  Houston
  Lubbock
  San Antonio
  Waco
  Wichita Falls
Utah
  Ogden
  Salt Lake City
Virginia
  Alexandria
  Arlington
  Lynchburg
  Norfolk
  Richmond
  Roanoke:
Washington
  Seattle
  Spokane
  Tacoma
West Viginia
  Charleston
  Huntington
Wisconsin
  Appleton
  Chippewa Falls
  Green Bay
  Madison
  Milwaukee
  Oshkosh
  Racine
```

