Department of the Army Pamphlet 27-1-1

PROTOCOLS TO THE GENEVA CONVENTIONS OF 12 AUGUST 1949

Headquarters, Department of the Army September 1979

protection of cultural

dissemination of knowledge ian law applicable in

garding prohibition or ain conventional weapons the Host Country

Arabic, English, French, accompanying documents iss Confederation.

ves have signed this

Signatories to Protocol I as of 15 January 1979

Australia
Austria
Belgium
Bulgaria
Byelorussia
Canada
Chile
Cyprus
Czechoslovak:

Czechoslovakia Denmark

Egypt El Salvador Finland

Ecuador

German Dem.Rep. German Fed.Rep.

Ghana
Greece
Guatemala
Holy See
Honduras
Hungary
Iceland
Iran
Ireland

Italy Ivory Coast

Jordan Korea (Rep.)

Laos

....

Liechtenstein Luxembourg Madagascar
Mongolia
Morocco
Netherlands
New Zealand
Nicaragua
Niger
Norway
Pakistan
Panama
Peru

Poland
Portugal
Romania
San Marino
Senegal
Spain
Sweden
Switzerland

Philippines

Togo Tunisia Ukraine USSR

United Kingdom United States Upper Volta Vietnam

Yemen (Arab Rep.)

Yugoslavia

Signatories to Protocol II as of 15 January 1979

Australia
Austria
Belgium
Bulgaria
Byelorussia
Canada
Chile
Czechoslovakia
Denmark
Ecuador
Egypt
El Salvador
Finland
German Dem.Rep.
German Fed.Rep.

Ghana
Guatemala
Holy See
Honduras
Hungary
Iceland
Iran
Ireland
Italy

Jordan Korea (Rep.) Laos

Ivory Coast

Liechtenstein

Luxembourg Madagascar Mongolia Morocco Netherlands New Zealand Nicaragua Niger Norway Pakistan Panama Peru Poland Portugal Romania San Marino

Switzerland Togo Tunisia Ukraine USSR United Kingdom

Senegal

Spain

Sweden

United States
Upper Volta
Yemen (Arab Rep.)

Yugoslavia

LIST OF SIGNATORIES AND PARTIES

137

y 1979

)**.)**

Ratifiers of Protocols I and II as of 12 April 1979

Libyan Jamahiriya

Ghana

Ecuador

El Salvador

_____ Declarations

Déclarations Made at Time of Signature

République fédérale d'Allemagne

La République Fédérale d'Allemagne appose sa signature au bas des Protocoles, convaincue de remplir par là un noble but humanitaire que, de tout temps, elle s'est particulièrement sentie tenue de respecter. Eu égard à la facon parfois peu claire dont est rédigé le texte du Protocole additionnel I, il est toutefois nécessaire d'examiner soigneusement si et dans quelle mesure ce Protocole limite la faculté de légitime défense, individuelle ou collective, visée à l'Article 51 de la Charte des Nations Unies. Le Gouvernement Fédéral doit donc se réserver de faire des déclarations supplémentaires, lors d'une ratification ultérieure, pour préciser et mettre en évidence les engagements de droit international de la République Fédérale d'Allemagne.

Canada

138

Certaines dispositions (du Protocole I) sont rédigées de telle sorte qu'elles donnent lieu à des interprétations différentes, ou même contradictoires. Mon Gouvernement ne souhaite pas en ce moment soulever ces problèmes, mais il se réserve le droit de le faire avant la ratification du Protocole.

Etats-Unis d'Amerique

The Embassy of the United States of America presents its compliments to the Federal Political Department and has the honor to inform it that the two protocols to the Geneva Conventions of August 12, 1949 relating to the protection of victims of armed conflicts will be signed today on behalf of the United States. This signature is subject to the following understandings:

A) Protocol I

- 1. It is the understanding of the United States of America that the rules established by this protocol were not intended to have any effect on and do not regulate or prohibit the use of nuclear weapons.
- It is the understanding of the United States of America that the phrase
 "military deployment preceding the launching of an attack" in Article 44,
 Paragraph 3, means any movement towards a place from which an attack is to be
 launched.

B) Proto

It is the in Part I Article 8 sense as

The Embas

The Embas: to renew considera

Italie

Le Plénipo fication, se prêtent du dépôt à de la Consides princi

Portugal

Le Gouvern formulatio

Royaume-Un

1. On sig 1949, and Conflicts and Northe understand

B) Protocol II

It is the understanding of the United States of America that the terms used in Part III of this protocol which are the same as the terms defined in Article 8 of Protocol I shall so far as relevant be construed in the same sense as those definitions.

The Embassy has the honor to request that you circulate the text of this note to the states party to the Geneva Convention.

The Embassy of the United States of America avails itself of this opportunity to renew to the Federal Political Department the assurances of its highest consideration.

Italie

Le Plénipotentiaire d'Italie, en signant les Protocoles avec réserve de ratification, déclare que, en raison des différentes interprétations auxquelles se prêtent certaines formulations des textes, l'Italie se prévaudra, au moment du dépôt des instruments de ratification, de la faculté prévue à l'article 19 de la Convention de Vienne sur le droit des traités du 23 mai 1969 sur la base des principes généraux du droit international.

Portugal

Le Gouvernement Portugais renvoie au moment de la ratification respective la formulation des réserves éventuellement jugées adéquates.

Royaume-Uni

1. On signing the Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of International Armed Conflicts (Protocol I), the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northem Ireland declare that they have signed on the basis of the following understandings:

ure au bas des Protocoles, re que, de tout temps, er. Eu égard à la facon cocole additionnel I, il ii et dans quelle mesure ce dividuelle ou collective, Le Gouvernement rédéral plémentaires, lors d'une i évidence les engagements Allemagne.

es de telle sorte qu'elles même contradictoires. Mon ces problèmes, mais il se du Protocole.

s its compliments to the nform it that the two 949 relating to the gned today on behalf of e following understandings:

America that the rules ave any effect on and do

America that the phrase attack" in Article 44, m which an attack is to be

- (a) in relation to Article 1, that the term "armed conflict" of itself and in its context implies a certain level of intensity of military operations which must be present before the Conventions or the Protocol are to apply to any given situation, and that this level of intensity cannot be less than that required for the application of Protocol II, by virtue of Article 1 of that Protocol, to internal conflicts;
- (b) in relation to Articles 41, 57 and 58, that the word "feasible" means that which is practicable or practically possible, taking into account all circumstances at the time including those relevant to the success of military operations;
- (c) in relation to Article 44, that the situation described in the second sentence of paragraph 3 of the Article can exist only in occupied territory or in armed conflicts covered by paragraph 4 of Article 1, and that the Government of the United Kingdom will interpret the word "deployment" in paragraph 3(b) of the Article as meaning "any movement towards a place from which an attack is to be launched";
- (d) in relation to Articles 51 to 58 inclusive, that military commanders and others responsible for planning, deciding upon or executing attacks necessarily have to reach decisions on the bais of their assessment of the information from all sources which is available to them at the relevant time;
- (e) in relation to paragraph 5(b) of Article 51 and paragraph (2)(a)(iii) of Article 57, that the military advantage anticipated from an attack is intended to refer to the advantage anticipated from the attack considered as a whole and not only from isolated or particular parts of the attack;
- (f) in relation to Article 52, that a specific area of land may be a military objective if, because of its location or other reasons specified in the Article, its total or partial destruction, capture or neutralisation in the circumstances ruling at the time offers definite military advantage;
- (g) in relation to Article 53, that if the objects protected by the Article are unlawfully used for military purposes they will thereby lose protection from attacks directed against such unlawful military uses;
- (h) in relation to paragraph 3 of Article 96, that only a declaration made by an authority which genuinely fulfils the criteria of paragraph 4 of Article 1 can have the effects stated in paragraph 3 of Article 96, and that, in the light of the negotiating history, it is to be regarded as necessary also that the authority concerned be recognised as such by the appropriate regional intergovernmental organisation;

- (i) that the have any weapons;
- (j) that the Rhodesia the depc gations fully in
- 2. On signir 1949, and rel Conflicts (Prand Northern the provision and until the they are in a in respect of

Suisse

Ad article 5' créent des ol ou du groupe

Ad article 5: "dans toute et b) seront national.

- d conflict" of itself
 intensity of military
 onventions or the Protocol
 this level of intensity
 dication of Protocol II,
 nternal conflicts;
- he word "feasible" means ible, taking into account relevant to the success

described in the second ist only in occupied ragraph 4 of Article 1, will interpret the word as meaning "any movement launched":

hat military commanders upon or executing attacks is of their assessment of ilable to them at the

nd paragraph (2)(a)(iii) ticipated from an attack ated from the attack ted or particular parts

- sa of land may be a or other reasons destruction, capture or the time offers definite
- protected by the Article will thereby lose unlawful military uses;
- only a declaration made iteria of paragraph 4 of raph 3 of Article 96, ory, it is to be regarded be recognised as such by anisation;

- (i) that the new rules introduced by the Protocol are not intended to have any effect on and do not regulate or prohibit the use of nuclear weapons; and
- (j) that the provisions of the Protocol shall not apply to Southern Rhodesia unless and until the Government of the United Kingdom inform the depositary that they are in a position to ensure that the obligations imposed by the Protocol in respect of that territory can be fully implemented.
- 2. On signing the Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-international Armed Conflicts (Protocol II), the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland declare that they have signed on the understanding that the provisions of the Protocol shall not apply to Southern Rhodesia unless and until the Government of the United Kingdom inform the depositary that they are in a position to ensure that the obligations imposed by the Protocol in respect of that territory can be fully implemented.

Suisse

;

Ad article 57 Protocole I. Les dispositions du chiffre 2 de cet article ne créent des obligations que pour les commandants dès le niveau du bataillon ou du groupe et aux échelons plus élevés.

Ad article 58 Protocole I. Considérant que cet article contient l'expression "dans toute la mesure de ce qui est pratiquement possible", les alifeas a) et b) seront appliqués sous réserve des exigences de la défense du territoire national.