DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3828 NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2007



SB/EXO

# SECRET \* (When Filled In)

|                      |                           | 1000                 | A CHARLES              | 111100 107  | K M            | face cales | an inner |      |       |          |    |    |
|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------|----------------|------------|----------|------|-------|----------|----|----|
|                      |                           | PROJECT              |                        |             |                | 1          | ETOPHAT  |      | М     |          |    |    |
|                      | Т                         | YPE OF ACTION        | <u> </u>               | X FI/CI     |                |            | CA       |      |       |          |    |    |
|                      | X NEW PROJECT DIVISION SB |                      |                        |             |                |            |          |      |       |          |    |    |
|                      |                           | AMENDMENT<br>NO.     | FIELD STATION  Germany | (Bonn)      |                |            |          |      |       |          |    |    |
|                      |                           | RENEWAL<br>FY 196    | BRANCH<br>SB/O/EU      | o/EU German |                |            |          |      |       |          |    |    |
|                      |                           | EXTENSION            | CASE OFFICER           |             | 7_             |            |          | •    |       |          |    |    |
|                      |                           | TERMINATION          | FOOM NO.<br>5C 1830    | HQS.        | EXTENSION 5317 |            |          |      |       |          |    |    |
|                      |                           |                      | CONCURRENCE            | S OF DIVIS  | ION            |            |          |      | I     |          |    | == |
| ORGANIZATIONAL TYPED |                           | NAME (And signature) |                        |             |                | DATE       |          | MEMO | RANDU | M ATTACH | ED |    |
| C/SB/O/EU            | HE.                       | -/                   |                        |             | •              | 729        | 8.9.6    | Ģ    | 1,03  | 140      |    |    |
| C/SB/O/AC            | 07                        |                      | •                      |             | . ,            | 7 28       | 19/66    |      |       |          |    |    |
| SB/RR                | 7                         | <del>.</del>         |                        |             | _              | ] 19/      | 19/66    |      |       |          |    |    |
| AC/SB/AO             | جرا                       | <b>5</b> -           |                        |             |                | 7 29.      | Sip /da  |      |       |          |    |    |



OPERATIONAL PROGRAM - Fiscal Year 1968 (1 July 1967 - 30 June 1968) PROGRAM ESTIMATES - Fiscal Year 1969 (1 July 1968 - 30 June 1969)

|  | <del>-</del>  |   | ,  |
|--|---|---|--|
| PROJECT and Jophat   | Program CATEGORY:<br>Unilateral<br>Third Party<br>Close Ops Support<br>Developmental                                      | Intelligence Collection  (CHECK ONL)  | Table of the Advanced and September (Advanced to the Control of th |
| Fiscal Year 1966 Operational Es<br>(These are actual, recorded exprequirements.)   | openses \$ -0-<br>penses and are prov   | Equipment & Supplited for guidance in est   | ies \$<br>imating future   |
| Fiscal Year 1967 Operational ex<br>(Experience shows that project<br>expenses incurred by the project<br>of expense projected through 30<br>they are out of line. Operation<br>allowances, travel, safehouses,<br>estimated separately because the | dollar approvals to the The estimates of June 1967. They enal expenses included, etc.) except equipment (office of Logist | isually are higher than the above are based on the commay be adjusted if, in you'de all project charges (comment and supplies which tics has jurisdiction.) | ne actual urrent rate our judgment, compensation, must be  |
| Fiscal Year 1968 Operational explanation of major increase of  | conses \$ or decrease over F  | Equipment & Supplic<br>1967:  | S \$   |

# SECRET

SUBJECT: Presentation of Project AETOPHAT

## Identification:

## 2. Objectives:

- a. Objective I of the Bonn Operations Base, as assigned by the current Related Mission Directive for Germany, is the "conduct of operations against the Soviet presence in West Germany in order to collect political, economic, military and scientific and technical information on the Soviet Union." Objective III is to "identify and neutralize Soviet...intelligence operations in West Germany. Unilaterally to penetrate the Soviet...Service."
- b. The primary objective of Project AETOPHAT is to develop operational situations leading to direct contact with target Soviet Embassy personnel through controlled assets for the recruitment or defection of such target personnel. The controlled assets consist of cleared and recruited West German and American agents and witting contacts who are in direct natural access (business, social, neighborly) with target personnel. An ancillary



- a. At any given time, approximately eighty (80) Soviets (exclusive of dependents) are assigned PCS to the Soviet Embassy; of this number, thirty-three (33) are diplomatically accredited, thirty-seven (37) are simply carried as employees, and ten (10) are accredited to the Soviet journalists press corps. It is the best estimate of the Bonn Operations Base that 60% of the PCS Soviet Embassy complement are intelligence officers. This estimate is borne out by information received from both the BfV and the BND.
- b. Basic counter-intelligence coverage of Soviet Embassy personnel is conducted by the BfV, which over the years has provided the Bonn Operations Base with identities, photographs, residence addresses, local travel and license plate numbers of both privately registered and official vehicles. In addition, the BfV provides the Bonn Operations Base with the results of: their (1) physical surveillance of Soviets involved in BfV double agent operations, and (2) observation post coverage of the main embassy installations. The Bonn Operations Base has assumed unilateral control from the BfV of four access/support agents and one audio operation against the residence of a suspect KGB officer, Mikhail Ivanovich TEREKHIN. The Base's excellent liaison relationship with the BfV is a well-nigh indispensable aspect of operations against the Soviet target and it is expected to continue unhampered.
- c. Telephone tap coverage of the Soviet Embassy, which had been closed down after the arrest of FELFE, was re-instituted by the BND in April 1965

f. The Bonn Operations Base surveillance team, under unilateral control, is used on a continuing basis to develop information on Soviet Embassy personnel.

## 4. Operational Assets:

- a. Personnel: All agent personnel listed below have been re-encrypted in the AETOPHAT series, as shown. The field plans to recruit an additional four agents in the first calendar year of this Project.
- (1) AETOPHAT/1 (formerly AEISSUE/1). Operational approval granted on 27 October 1965. Subject, a 66 year old West German citizen, is a retired staff officer of the BfV with a long history of work against the Soviet Embassy and Soviet Trade Mission targets. His apartment is adjacent to that of a suspect KGB officer, Mikhail Ivanovich TEREKHIN, and Subject and his wife (AETOPHAT/2) act as LP keepers for an audio operation directed against TEREKHIN's apartment. Subject also functions as a general support asset of the Soviet Branch of the Bonn Base and is used to investigate leads derived from the telephone taps on the Soviet Embassy. Contact is maintained with the agent by a Bonn Base officer (in alias) in regular meetings in the agent's home; Subject also has an operational telephone number for contacting his case officer as necessary. Subject agreed to work for the Bonn Base because it provided him an opportunity to continue

supporting future technical operations against Soviet target personnel living in his apartment building. Subject is met regularly by a Bonn Base case officer (in alias) under unspecified U.S. Government cover. This agent accepts no remuneration and appears to cooperate with the Base out of ideological conviction.

- (4) AETOPHAT/4 (formerly AENEBBY/2). Operational approval was granted on 29 July 1966 for the use of Subject. Subject is the wife of AETOPHAT/3 and through her husband reports on Soviet personnel living in their apartment building. In effect, Subject is the actual source of a great deal of the information on the comings and goings of these Soviet personnel since she is present at the apartment throughout the day. She is witting of her husband's contacts with an American organization interested in Soviet Embassy personnel and cooperates willingly with her husband in gathering whatever information she can.
- (5) AETOPHAT/5 (formerly AESNURPER/1). Operational approval was granted on 13 July for use of Subject; however, CI Staff feels that several points have not been completely resolved with reference to Subject's bona fides. The field plans to schedule a polygraph examination of AETOPHAT/5 at an appropriate occasion in order to resolve the points in question. Subject is a 40 year old German citizen who is an employee of quasi-governmental economic research/study center. Subject's political affiliations are with the German Socialist Party and he has been a past Bundestag candi-

-5-

## 5. Security Evaluation:

The present operational assets (two husband-wife teams and one singleton agent) under this project are handled by staff personne.

AETOPHAT/1 and AETOPHAT/2 are probably witting of this Agency's role because of AETOPHAT/1's past employment with the BfV. However, there is believed to be no possibility whereby these two teams and the singleton agent could cross-check with one another and the risk of embarrassment in the event of individual compromise is considered minimal. Should additional assets be developed, they will also be handled by staff personnel.

#### 6. Coordination:

Project AETOPHAT per se does not require coordination with any other component of the U.S. Government or with any foreign government or intelligence service. There is, however, certain liaison input to the project, as described

#### 7. Costs:

a. Salaries, operational expenses including Christmas gifts or bonuses,