

SECRET

[]

TO Chief of Base, Frankfurt

INFO COS, Germany
EE

no carding required

FROM Chief, SR

REDWOOD AELICTOR

Headquarters Review of AELICTOR 1 File

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2006

Action Required: See paragraph

INTRODUCTION Since

1. ~~although~~ AELICTOR 1, formerly known as AECORSAIR 3 and AEMANNER 23, has been suggested
for ^{ion} ~~terminat~~ by FOB, it seemed appropriate for Headquarters to prepare a final report

on the agent's activities and security status. Headquarters has attempted to compose

a paper presenting an impartial and objective assessment and evaluation of AELICTOR 1's

KUBARK services but has found this virtually impossible because of the amount of data

missing from the AELICTOR 1 file. Therefore rather than the transmission of a final

Headquarters' evaluation report, this dispatch transmits a ^{number of unresolved issues} ~~variety of thoughts~~ and

questions which ~~we~~ it is hoped ~~will~~ the Field will answer so that the final summation

can be prepared at Headquarters. To assist in this attempt to clarify AELICTOR 1's

performance for KUBARK, it is suggested that the agent be questioned on all matters needing

clarification and be submitted to a final LOFLUTTER examination. While it is not

believed ~~that~~ at this time that AELICTOR 1 is ~~xxxx~~ or has been actively cooperating with

the RIS, it is felt that all of the items raised in this paper need clarification and

that Subject has not been completely open with KUBARK nor professional in carrying out his operational assignments for FOB. In conclusion, it is hoped that this Headquarters' review will assist not only the Field in ~~their~~ its final efforts but will also be of value to Headquarters' desk officers who may have occasion to read the AELICTOR 1 file in the future.

AELICTOR L BIOGRAPHIC MATERIAL

1. It appears that one of the first ^{major operational} acts undertaken by [] following his KUBARK employment ~~recruitment~~ was to suggest [] for recruitment. [] and [] had ~~both~~ been friends for a number of years in North Germany when both were engaged in the administration of Lithuanian refugees for the British forces of occupation. For some strange reason [] was under the impression that [] was employed at the American Consulate General in Frankfurt. Be this as it may, [] obtained a certain amount of PRQ I material and in due course (September 1957) a PCA was obtained and [] was hired to debrief Lithuanian returnees and repatriates arriving in West Germany and Western Europe. Subject was apparently not too successful in this undertaking because of a certain stiffness of manner, advancing years, ~~operational experience~~ and inability to write reports in English and a shift in operational direction. Nevertheless, returning to the PRQ pre-recruitment processing of Subject, ~~it is noted that~~ the following points are noted:

a. Although FOB requested it, there is no indication that MOB ever traced AELICTOR 1 with UPHILL. In view of what later transpired this should have been done and should ~~again~~ be done now before the case is closed.

b. Although Subject had worked for many years for the British Army

and has numerous letters of reference to prove it, he was not traced with SMOTH. SMOTH possibly had information on him ~~xxx~~ simply because he fell under British jurisdiction in Germany but also because he was a close friend of [] (as previously mentioned) who was admittedly in the employ of SMOTH.

c. Although Subject's mother and daughter had no trouble immigrating to the United States, Subject, himself, was apparently refused this privilege. The ~~story~~ reason for this refusal is not clear from the case file but a Headquarters' officer who knows the agent recalls that the refusal was based on a denunciation that Subject was a collaborationist with the Nazis. This point should be clarified

friends, relatives and
d. Although Subject listed a number of references who reside in West Germany and in the United States, there is no evidence that these contacts were ever traced. Headquarters has recently initiated checks on Subject's mother, his daughter, his son-in-law his cousin (Kazys BALCIUNAS) and ~~war comrades~~ (Albinas SLEZAS^{and Petzas GENYS}). Incomplete results have already ~~xxxxxx~~ revealed that BALCIUNAS may be identical with a well-known Communist sympathizer and financial contributor in the United States. Will the Field determine additional data, including residences, on BALCIUNAS from AELICTOR 1? ~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~ Will the Field also forward the results of Field traces of Subject's West German friends such as: SUTKUS, GLEMZA, and SIMONAITIS.

e. The file contains nothing on Subject's first marriage apart from the fact that he was married. What was his first wife's name, where is she now and were there any children born?

~~XXX~~
VISIT TO UNITED STATES

3. Although not necessarily of secondary import, Subject's trip to the United States in July 1959 is mentioned at this point simply because it seems to tie-in with his personal situation. The files available to Headquarters merely state that Subject visited the United States in July 1959. ~~But~~ Who financed this trip? Did Subject's wife accompany him? Whom did he visit in the United States? How long was he here? It is assumed that Subject visited his mother and daughter but did he also visit his ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ ^{consil.} BALCIUNAS? Headquarters has arranged for discrete neighborhood investigations to be ~~xxxx~~ made in Cicero, Illinois where members of Subject's family reside and the results will be forwarded to you.

AELICTOR 1 RECONTACT INSTRUCTIONS

4. Headquarters' files indicate that ^{forwartime recontact purposes} the name of "Gerhard ENGEL of Baden Baden" was given as a parole / to several FOB agents including AELICTOR 1 and [] Because of the unique circumstances surrounding the [] case it is felt that [] parole should have been limited ~~xxxxxxx~~ to her alone.

AELICTOR 1 CONTACT WITH TPFEELING

5. It is recorded in the AELICTOR 1 file that TPFEELING was interested in Subject in 1957. What were the circumstances surrounding this interest and why did the interest wane?

AELICTOR 1 CONTACT WITH RIS IN LITHUANIA AND DEPARTURE FOR GERMANY

6. This part of the Subject's story appears to be the most critical in that he has obviously given several variations of the incidents which occurred after having previously failed to mention the incident at all. Most of this business came to KUBARK's attention

only two years after Subject was recruited and only ~~max~~ following two LOFLUTER examination which revealed that Subject was disturbed when asked about events in his life which occurred in 1941 during the Soviet occupation of Lithuania. Subject's explanations do not appear too valid and it is logical to assume that Subject is still withholding information from us concerning this period. It is suggested that Subject be reinterrogated on this period in his life and be given another LOFLUTER examination which will include such specific questions as: Were you ever arrested by the Soviets?, Did you ever sign a secrecy agreement for the Soviets?, Have you ever communicated with the RIS from outside the USSR? In other words, it is suggested that a series of very specific questions might resolve this matter where in the past the questions asked on the technical examination have not been of a much more general nature.

ABLICTOR 1 ABWEHR SERVICE

7.