

AIR

EAVA-19950  
6-6-

Chief, EE

23. May 1958

Chief of Station, Vienna

GRCOVY/L J/L J/PLANS

Project Status of L J

REFERENCES : A. EAVA 19593, dated 11 April 1958  
B. EAVW 11320, dated 30 April 1958  
C. EAVA 19783 dated 23 May 1958

HEADQUARTERS ACTION REQUESTED : As Indicated Below.  
(Please card all underlined names on attachments)

1. We have already included L J in the L J project renewal, which was pouched to Headquarters under Reference "C". We would prefer that Agent be transferred to L J as the consolidation of all our support assets under one project will make all our administrative problems simpler.
2. You will note from the attached VIMs that Agent is presently being handled and debriefed by L J. We cannot now predict precisely when a replacement for him will be recruited. At any rate with L J's expert handling it looks as though Agent is not as frightened and not as ready to quit immediately.
3. We concede that L J is a marginal asset. Notwithstanding this fact until we recruit a replacement we intend to hang on to L J. We might even put him on ice for a while and only use him occasionally for emergency contacts as previously suggested in Paragraph 15, e of VIM/19479, dated 17 February. It is requested that Headquarters comply with our original request as contained in Reference "A" to carry this Agent under Project L J for FY 59.

Distribution:  
3-EE w/attachments  
1- L J  
1-Registry

Attachments:  
VIM/19939  
VIM/20097

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCES METHODSEXEMPTION 3B2B  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2008

SECRET

L J

# MEMORANDUM

TO: COS, COPS, L      3  
FROM: 12 April Meeting With C      3  
SUBJECT: L      1

DATE: 18 April 1958  
NO: VIM/19939

## ACTION REQUIRED:

### MEETING

1. Arrived in Salzburg on the train from Munich at about 1700 hours on 10 April 1958. I went to the Winkler Hotel and registered under the name of Kaplan. As I registered I was told that the gentleman had asked about me the evening of 9 April 1958. Agent did not show as scheduled at 1830 on 11 April 1958. I tried to contact Agent at his home but found no one there. The following afternoon on 12 April I visited Agent's home and told Agent's wife that I was returning from Munich and wished to see her husband concerning a client of mine. I told her to pass to him the information that I could be reached at the Hotel Winkler. Agent called at about 1900 hours and we met shortly thereafter and were together until shortly before 2200 hours.

### ADMINISTRATIVE

2. Agent was paid a salary of AS 1400. I did not question Agent concerning payments to sub-agents.

### PERSONAL

3. I again questioned Agent concerning his desire to cease cooperating with us. Agent stated that his decision was activated by the fact that for the last four years since his father's death he has recognized within himself an increased moral sensitivity. He stated that he was presently not only concerned about his cooperation with us which he feels to be something of a morally reprehensible act, but is also concerned and has battles with his conscience whenever he has cheated on his wife in the past four years. Agent stated that prior to this time he could do at least this latter without feeling a guilt complex. It appears that the death of Agent's father brought to Agent the realization that he, himself, may well one day be laid to rest. As a result of Agent's rather intense religious feelings, which were undoubtedly made even more intense by his father's death, Agent became frightened or what he assumed would await him in the aftermath were he to continue cutting moral corners. Agent is firstly frightened of death, and equally frightened of the unknown which awaits him. He feels compelled to pursue as religiously exact life as possible unfettered by moral doubts.

4. In regard to his cooperation and the approaching end, Agent spoke of the history of his experience in Holland. As will be remembered Agent was employed in a W/T detection team. He saw cases of pressure being put on captured W/T operators to cooperate with the Germans against the Allies. He saw also that after agreeing to one act of cooperation, there was no turning back. Pressure was put on persons to continue cooperation. Agent was undoubtedly concerned about the possibility that this might be true in his case. I assured agent that when the time came for him to cease cooperation he would receive nothing but our best wishes and each of us would go our separate ways.

OPERATIONAL

5. After having discussed the approaching termination of Agent I stated that I wanted information on colleagues of his in the Salzburg police. When Agent guessed rightly that this information would be utilized to chose his successor, I admitted to Agent that this was the case. After having made this admission the information which Agent would give would be much more to the point and there need be little fear that Agent will take great pains to uncover whomever might be his successor. In any event the numbers of persons discussed will be sufficient to make this an extremely difficult job for Agent even if he were so inclined. Agent gave information on the following:

ANGERER, fmu (previously reported on). Subject is about 44 years of age, married, and has one child. About two to three years ago it was said that Subject was living better than his income should allow.

[ ] believes it possible that [ ] still works for some intelligence organization. He suggested either for us or for UPSWING. Subject is a member of a group within the Stapo that works on intelligence cases. He reputedly has the best "nose" of the group. He also appears to like his work. It is conceded that he is the best worker in his group. He has a reputation as a man who can hold his secrets. He is not prepossessing physically. He is of medium height and rather generally fits the description of "the little gray man". Agent reported that Subject was at least at one time used as an informant by Modelhart, fmu, who is presently in the Austrian Army.

RICHTER, fmu (previously reported on). Subject is the head of the group within the Stapo which works on intelligence cases. He at one time had very close contact with a Hungarian who worked for CIC. In spite of this past, [ ] believes it possible that Subject might refuse a recruitment and might even make trouble were a recruitment pitch made to him. [ ] feels that Subject might use this in order to protect his position within the Stapo. (The other two members of the intelligence group within the Stapo, WIMMER and MYER, would not be recommended as agent candidates by [ ] .

KALLINA, fmu. Subject is a Kripo Rayon inspector about 40 years of age. He is married, and his wife has an economic information office, [ ] believes located in Kuchl where Subject lives. He has a car and dresses well. Subject is not politically interested, but is by no means friendly to the Communists. He is a very self-assured person and gets around considerably by reason of the work which he does on behalf of his wife's economic information office. This office provides a good cover for contact of Subject. Subject is not one to make alot of friends. He is highly thought of by his Gruppenleiter Pungz. Subject has many contacts throughout the Salzburg area.

BERDT, Rudolf. He is a member of the Kripo Group No. 2. He is about 46 years old. Subject makes a very sympathetic appearance and has something of the man of the world about him. By reason of his personality he has many contacts throughout the Salzburg area. [ ] believes that he very probably worked for us at one time. Agent stated that he wrote a lengthy report on Subject some years ago.

RIEDELBERGER, Johann, A Revier inspector about 44 years of age. Subject is a member of the Salzburg Stapo but not of the group concerned with intelligence operations. Subject is unmarried and has never had a mistress for a lengthy period. He has a reputation of knowing how to live and would undoubtedly welcome some extra money. He has a very pleasant and sympathetic personality and could probably get much Stapo information from the group concerned with intelligence operations primarily because of his personality not because of official entree.

BRUGGER, Hans, a Rayon inspector about 43 years of age. Subject is also a member of a Stapo group other than the one concerned with intelligence operations. His Gruppenleiter is fm NOSS. (Please see chart submitted last meeting by [ ] [ ]). Subject is married, has one child, and likes to spend a bit more money than he makes. He is a sports enthusiast and is trainer and coach by the police football team. Subject also makes a sympathetic impression and is of above average intelligence. Subject would almost certainly accept recruitment without feeling the guilt complexes felt by [ ] [ ]

LEITNERHOFER, Alfred, a Rayons inspector about 39 years of age. Subject is married and has two children. [ ] [ ] reports that we have an exact character sketch and description of subject which he submitted some years ago. Although this report is well over five years old, the description still fits Subject. Subject reputedly has one of the best "noses" in the Salzburg police. Subject plays cards and usually wins.

SCHENNER, Gustav, a Rayons inspector about 40 years of age. Subject is married to a hairdresser. He is something of a cloths horse and is rather self-confident. He does not have, in Agent's opinion an ideal character. Subject appears to have more money than he should have and [ ] [ ] believes that he is quite possibly already working for the Americans. Subject plays cards and probably comes out loser. Subject has an old DKW car.

General Comments on above persons. In [ ] [ ] opinion none of the persons described above is so interested or convinced of his politics that he would cooperate mainly because of idealism. All of the above persons are more or less egotistic. All could use more money, and all could very probably rationalize their cooperation with the Americans. Those persons in the Stapo could easily tell themselves that this is their profession and Austria's common goals with America would justify their cooperating with the Americans. Those persons in the Kripo would probably feel this was not bad for them to work for the West so long as they were not required to give information detrimental to Austria. With all the above persons it would be necessary for the recruiting case officer to make plain that he represents the West, since all are decided anti-communist. One further important point to be made concerning the above persons with the exception of RICHTER; were they to be given a recruitment pitch, it would be highly unlikely that any of them would cause trouble even were they to refuse the pitch which is also unlikely. All the above with the exception of RICHTER, can be expected to refuse politely without raising a fuss. By several of the above persons [ ] [ ] commented that he was either of the opinion that they had at one time worked for the Americans or that they were at least known to us in detail. Several of them had been reported on extensively by [ ] [ ] himself.

ASSIGNMENT FOR NEXT MEETING

6. [ ] was instructed to bring at least exact names and birth dates on persons listed above. He was further instructed to bring ZMA's and Straf Register checks on WAGNER, FORNER.

7. I hope at the next meeting to be able to read what information there is in the VOB files on the persons discussed above. More extensive discussion concerning these persons will then be held with [ ]

# MEMORANDUM

TO: COS, COPS, [ ]

DATE: 20 May 1958

FROM: [ ]

NO: VIM/20097

SUBJECT: MEETING WITH [ ], 9 May 1958

## ACTION REQUIRED:

### MEETING:

I arrived in Salzburg by train at 1715 hours on 29 April and went immediately to the Hotel Winkler where I registered as KAPLAN. At 1830 hours agent called me in my room and about 15 minutes later we met for our meeting. The meeting lasted until 2200 hours. During this time we had some refreshments. The entire meeting took place within the hotel room and agent was seen by no one other than the maid who served us food and drink.

### PRODUCTION:

Agent turned over the ZMA's and Straf Register checks on three persons checked. (These police checks were turned in to [ ] immediately after my return from Munich.)

### OPERATIONAL:

Other than for the police checks which I requested from [ ], the last two meetings with agent have been given over solely to the purpose of obtaining from [ ] information on agent candidates within the Salzburg police force. [ ] is well aware that he is being questioned concerning these personalities because we desire to recruit a police informant to take his place. This knowledge on the part of [ ] does not present too great a security risk when one considers that more than 10 persons are being discussed in detail and [ ] will not be given any information of which person we will eventually contact. Further contributing to the security of the new recruitment is the fact that [ ] is an agent of long standing with no security blocks on his record, and in addition, has expressed a desire to leave our employment and have nothing further to do with intelligence assignments. It is quite unlikely that [ ] would interest himself greatly to determine which of the persons on which he had debriefed is ultimately selected as an agent candidate.

Before discussing the various personalities on whom [ ] was debriefed it may well be best to make a few comments concerning [ ] himself. It should be stated that throughout the personality debriefings, [ ] has indicated that he is somewhat less than sure of himself. He has often mentioned during the courses of the debriefings that it would be a very fine thing were a person like [ ] to pass judgement on the same persons on whom [ ] has given us personality information. Previous reports have indicated that [ ] is something less than a brain and has a few blind spots, particularly a more than usual mystical idea concerning religion which does not contribute to an objective assessment of the various persons commented on below. [ ] often speaks of known or suspected IS connections on the part of the persons discussed below, but

than immediately limits his assessments of these IS contacts by pointing out the fact that he cannot be certain that the particular Subject really did cooperate with an IS organization, or if he did cooperate, that he was witting of the fact that he was in contact with an IS organization; or if he was witting, he may not have been certain as to what sort of an IS organization he was in contact with. Suffice to say the situation within the Salzburg police department appears to be rather a bag of worms. It must certainly be true that [ ] was not aware of intelligence contacts of each individual within the Salzburg police department, but it is interesting to note that in these 13 persons whom we have discussed, [ ] is either certain or strongly suspects a previous intelligence connection on the part of at least 11 of these personalities.

OPERATIONAL

[ ] started to discuss the agent candidates individually. The manner in which [ ] made his assessment plus the fact that there were 13 agent candidates involved, suggested the advisability of utilizing a different method of assessment rather than having [ ] give his ideas and assessments of each candidate individually. It should be stated that [ ] does not express himself too well, nor is he inclined to make a completely positive or a completely negative statement. He much prefers to hedge around the central idea. This fact, plus the time which would be required to discuss each person in detail dictated that another form of assessment be utilized. I drew up a list of elements and urged [ ] to give a positive or negative assessment to each of the individuals in regard to each of these elements. For example: was the particular candidate formerly a member of an IS operation, was he aggressive, religious, adventurous, intelligent, quiet and closed mouth, emotional, sympathetic, ambitious, or venial. In the process of this somewhat over simplified method of assessment, [ ] did contribute further insights into the personalities and the character of the candidates.

The agent candidates discussed were:

X a. Franz KALLINA. Subject was born on 22 January 1919 in Vienna. He is married and lives in Kuchl bei Salzburg, Markt 92. Subject's wife is part owner of an economic information for which Subject also works part time, RICHTER & Co. (Firma Hermes), Salzburg, Tenglingg. 19. Telephone number 69069. Subject owns an Opel car with license number S-53.747. Subject is a sympathizer of the Peoples Party. He is not too well known to [ ] but agent judges him to be an intelligent person. By reason of his part-time work for Economic Information Office which is partially owned by his wife, Subject has many contacts throughout the Salzburg area and has better than average access and cover for investigation.

X b. Johann ANGERER. Subject was born on 3 December 1913 in Salzburg. He lives in Salzburg, Leopoldskron. Subject has been involved or still is involved in intelligence operations. He is an intelligent person and is both aggressive and hard working. [ ] describes Subject as having the best "nose" of any of the cops within the STAPO. Subject is a sympathizer of the Socialist Party.

X c. Rudolf BERNMT. Subject was born on 4 November 1912 in Vienna. He lives Konrad von Hoetzendorfstr. 15. Subject is known by [ ] to have had intelligence contacts during the occupation. He is a very quiet and unemotional person with higher than average intelligence. He is also considered to be a hard working cop. Subject sympathizes with the Peoples Party.

X d. Johann BRUGGER. Subject was born on 28 September 1917 in Sennberg, CSR. Subject lives Ignaz Harrerstr. 21/III. After having been informed by [ ] that Subject was somewhat of a drinker, I decided that we best not consider Subject as an agent candidate.

X e. Egon KRAFT. Subject was born on 27 October 1914 in Salzburg. Subject lives Salzburg, Schwedenstr. 14. [ ] believes that Subject worked for CIC during the occupation. His contact was supposedly a CIC agent by the name of RINGER. Subject is married and has one child. In 1933 Subject joined the Austrian army. By the end of the war Subject had been advanced to the grade of Feldwebel. Subject does not have a great deal of motivation in his work in the police department. He is not a person of great tenacity nor ambition. He is not particularly interested in politics but is probably a sympathizer of the Peoples Party. He lives very modestly with his wife and one child. (No particular importance should be attached to the fact that information on Subject appears to be more detailed than on the other candidates. My discussion with [ ] started out with Subject prior to switching over to the more simplified form of assessment.)

X f. Alfred LEMMERHOFER. Subject was born on 24 August 1921 in Bischofshofen. Subject lives Salzburg, Roedlbrunnstr. 15. Subject is believed by [ ] to have had previous intelligence connections. Subject is of above average intelligence and makes an unusually sympathetic appearance. He is an unemotional and quiet sort of person and is a hard worker. Subject probably sympathizes with the Peoples Party, but may also have Nazi sympathies. Subject owns a car.

X g. Johann NOSS. Subject was born on 23 March 1911 in Stockerau. Subject lives Salzburg, Reichenhallerstr. 14. Suffice it to say that concerning Subject [ ] considered it possible that Subject would not accept a recruitment and may well raise a fuss.

X h. Rudolf RICHTER. Subject was born on 10 June 1911 in Vienna. He lives Salzburg, Getreideg. 17. Suffice it to say that in this case as well [ ] believed that Subject would report the fact were an intelligence approach made to him.

X i. Johann RIEDELSTERGER. Subject was born 11 December 1913 in Saalfelden. He lives Salzburg, Markus Sittikusstr. 17. Subject is an intelligent person who is quiet and unemotional. He is not particularly friendly, nor does he make a sympathetic impression, but he is above average in his motivation and ability within the police department. Subject is a sympathizer of the



Peoples Party. It should also be noticed that Subject is a bachelor and gets around considerably throughout the Salzburg area.

X j. August SCHENNER. Subject was born on 6 May 1921 in Salzburg. He lives Salzburg, Rudolf Bieblstr. 35. Subject's wife works as a hairdresser and possibly because of this added income Subject appears to have more money than most of the Salzburg cops. Subject owns a car and is something of a clothes horse. He is of above average intelligence and a hard working cop. He is not very politically conscious and [ ] does not know what his political ideas or political convictions if any, Subject might have.

X k. Ferdinand BUCHMAYER. Subject was born on 6 September 1909 in Imbsbruck. He lives Salzburg, Buckliuthstr. 7. Subject is a Krim. Ob. Insp. [ ] believes that Subject had intelligence contacts during the occupation. Subject is of above average intelligence and owns an auto. He could easily use more money than he presently earns and would very probably be influenced by this thought. He is a sympathizer of the Peoples Party and a practicing Catholic.

X l. Johann HERZOG. Subject was born on 25 June 1920 in Strasswalchen. He lives Salzburg, Gneiserstr. 33. Subject formerly worked as a informant for KUBARK but did not receive a salary. He is of above average intelligence and is a hard working cop. He is a sympathizer of the Peoples Party and would probably welcome the thought of receiving extra money each month.

X m. Franz SCHIESSL. Subject was born on 8 August 1921 in Salzburg. He lives Salzburg, Bergstr. 8. His telephone number is 68326. [ ] believes that Subject had intelligence contacts during the occupation. Subject is a person of above average intelligence, is a hard working and a well motivated cop, and is a practicing Catholic. Subject would welcome the thought of earning extra money each month. Subject gets around more than the average cop and has as a result many contacts in the Salzburg area. The one element which would appear to disqualify Subject as an Agent candidate is the fact that during the occupation days while taking a prisoner from Salzburg to Vienna on a phony passport, Subject was arrested and held by the Soviets for one month. Since there are no elements which make Subject particularly more attractive than any other candidate in this list, this retention by the Soviets should be considered sufficient to drop Subject from the list of contenders.

After having discussed each of the persons listed above I requested [ ] to list the persons in order as to their desirability as agent candidates. I instructed [ ] to consider the security with which a recruit approachment might be made, their access to information and maneuverability, intelligence and security mindedness. [ ] listed them in the following order; Subject b, a, c, f, i, l, e, m, j, k, g, h, & d. [ ] later explained that he had only considered access to information in the case of Subject b. It should also be stated in regard to the above candidates that none of them have any other language than German.

SECRET

VIM/20097  
PAGE 5

CASE OFFICER COMMENTS:

In spite of [ ] limitations, I would say that I generally agree with his listing in order of desirability of the agent candidates above. We must almost certainly reckon without the direct assistance of [ ] in a recruitment approach. This fact will dictate that considerable emphasis be placed on the security of the approach. Access to information would seem to be generally on the same level with the exception of ANGERER. In spite of some rather uncomplimentary comments concerning ANGERER which are in the files, it would seem that he must be considered as one of the primary targets. In addition Subject of sub paragraph a, KALLINA also has several elements which make him an attractive agent candidate. In addition to the above 2 named persons the Subject of sub paragraph f, LEMMERHOFER also stands out as a candidate in the list. Insofar as the future planning in this case is concerned I am prepared to make an approach to one of the three candidates listed above the month after name checks have been received. In regard to these name checks it will be particularly important to check with UPSWING so that we do not get an already established UPSWING agent involved in our operation.

ASSIGNMENTS FOR NEXT MEETING:

Agent was instructed to come to the next meeting with information concerning American from the occupation days who could be used as reference in the event that a recruitment approach is made to any of the agent candidates listed above. It should also be stated that agent has indicated that he is prepared to continue his relationship with us until one of the above persons has been recruited in his place. Agent indicated further that he is not as averse as he previously was to continuing our relationship indefinitely. At the moment I see no compelling reason to retain agent if and when one of the persons listed above is recruited.

SECRET