DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIBENCE ABENCE SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3821 VAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACTORISES 2007

Subject: MANRETSKY Yuri Markovych

d.o.b.ca . 1930

Member CPSU

of the Institute of distory, Academy of Sciences, Ukr SSR Kiev, wul. Kirova 4

Private address : Kiev. Pidvysotskoho 21. kv. 43

Source : West Germany , of Ukrainian background

Date : 10 Nov 1968

1. Subject is <u>Decent</u> of history, working in the Institute of Miotory in Kiev, Wrainian, aged 38, married, his wife is a medical doctor, they have a daughter 11 years old who attends a Unrainian school and a ballet school. Subject stems from Slavuta in Volhynia, Rest Ukraine. He is a party member and author of several works on revolutionary period in the Ukraine in 1917-20. His father was a Vice-Rector of K, ev University and had also much to do with Ukrainian theatre and choirs. According to Subject, his lather was a very good friend of VERYOVKA and helped him in organizing his ensemble. In late 1950 a Subject worked as According to Subject in Ternopil -area. His studied of history he made at Kiev University.

Subject claimed to earn about Rubel 140- to 150.- per month and therefore unable to save much if anything. They have an appartment consisting of 2 rooms and kitchen. In Western Europe he was on a UNESCO scholarship since early 1968, first in France where he met 2HUKOVSKY Arkadi and other U, rainians in Paris, and in May arrived in Germany.

In May \$968 Subject turned out in Munich where he was doing research at Osteuropa Institute and lived in a Pension at Gabelsbergerstra.

Source met Subject at the dancing party in Munich on 18 May 1968 organized by the Organization of Ukrainian Women. Subject alleged he may an announdment in the paper "Khrystiyansky Holos" and came ro meet "compatricts". Mrs Loshchenko Olga and other hostesess took him in the beginning for someone just both in Kiev or stemming from there and only later on realised he was a Soviet. Then they treated his "accordingly". Subject turned out to be a very pleasant, sociakble character.

1 2 d & 800

Mirrainian Chitaun Dra Loshchenko and her daughter gave a party at their home in Louich, Woennerstrasse 26/V at which Subject was also present. On 11 June 68 Source was present at house of Rev. MOREMAN Hykhailo at which a pre-arranged meeting with Subject took plays.

Soulde the host and Source there was also Dr MORDINK, Bogdan, Dr FPGOL, Athanas, and EAN. Fro. Fedir , engineer.

On ing the locus ion Subject stuck more or less to an official line though an mostly preferred to abstain from any coment and kept

On 15 June 1968 Subject vent with Source, RUDKO and LOSHO ERKO, Cla (doughter) while a car trip to Starnberg. On 17 June 1968 Source took Subject on another trip by car to Tagernsee. At On this trip Subject was much more talkative to an usual and told Source most of the information given below.

On 18 June 1968 Sebject visited LEVYTS IV, Sorys at his Eunich apartment, in defice was given a small farowell party at rev. Korshan's house. In 19 June Subject departed for Vienna, Austria where he clashed to stay at Technikerstrasse 5.

Still in bunich Source arranged with Subject to meet him in husbria where she was to bring him some additional books.

On 5 Aug 1968 Subject wrote a letter to Source saying that he oill wait for her in the Restaurant at Salzburg RR Station on 11 Sept 1968. Source went there on that date but the Subject did not kurn show up. On 19 Aug 1960 he wrote her a letter saying that he was unable to come and apologized. In the letter he also said that in the next few days he expected to leave for Kjev.

Subject was given following books:

"Do Thvyli" - 1

silent.

Poltava 'o book -1

Noe fr m Wit - 1 (in Wardinian)

Bovyy | Lao - by-Dzilas in German xxxx (1)

Sixty Poets of the Sixties - 1 (in War inden)

Suchabalat (2)

Wrainsky Samostlynyk / (2)

nourde was to bring to Salaburg Dziuba's book "Internationalism or Rus Trication", "Geopracche mit Stalin" by Djilas , Suclasmit, o, rainsky Samostiynyk, and programs of Marcinian parties abroad.

Still in Munnch Subject asked to send emigre publications to the Institute in Klev but not ad ressed to him. He was interested above all in historical materials.

2. After the late DUBYNA has succeeded by SMABA things at the Institute of Mistory changed remarkably to the vorse. DUBYNA, for instance, was the one who at one time knockin defended LUMOVA, Clena for her outspoken and very controversial articles and works.

A real cancellie beside SMABA is a historian by the name SYFORDSKO who at acted not only people like LUMOVA but also stopped a book by Subject. TOMOVA wrote a book for which she was to be expelled from the Institute and despite the fact that she offended DUBYNA atvite last resort he defended her. What will happen to her now - under SKADA - it was hard to say.

3. ERAYCHEVSKY is a neghbor of Subject. He is a very brilliant man but not less arrogant and unsociable. Aged 40, he acts like a know-it-all. Therefore he is disliked by his colleagues. This was also the reason why he was expelled from the Institute for his "Voz'yednannia, chy Pryyednannia". In other case "the collective" would have taken him into protection and had not allowed to destive him of his post. In Subject's opinion ERAYCHEVSKY was not right in writing his book in such a manner as he did. He simply was out of touch with reality and should have know that. One has to be careful and use proper "mothod of dosage", otherwise one gives unnecessary arguments into hands of ***xkx*** Skaba** and his alike. "You can't break a wall with your head but you can climb over it".

4. WOTSTURYNSKA Hylhailyna is a good scholar and a nice person but Papain in trouble".

5. FRAN O Wine is neither a good scholar nor a good colleague. The had blooking in the same very as FRANCOSYCTY.

6. I haddeff - wis not the west. "On the contrary he is dofing such good".

- 7. About the arcests and trials in the Erraine in 1965/66 Subject claimed to have learned more abriad than at home. He read all the portional material published abroad.