Subject Kostiuk Petro Vasylovych, Prof, Lvov University, Ukr SSR CT: VSS
Source: G Clicansany/3 She D. Con 1929 (14.5) N. Dissi
Date: 9 Jan 1967

Misirea in Vienna, Tushian, Soph 1966

Misirea in Vienna, Tushian, Soph 1966

Misirea in Vienna, Tushian, Soph 1966

No 201

1. On 13 Dec 1965, or initiative of Rev Petro HOLYNSKYY of Munich , Germany , Source had a talk with him in the course of which the following information on Subject and al was obtained.

At the end of September 1966 Rev HOLYNSKY, went to Vienne Austria to meet there with his nephew HOLYNSKY, fnu/, Director of 11 grade school at Rudky, near Lvov, Ukr SSR aged 35. Ukrainian, teacher by profession. There HOLINSKY Petro met also Subject who most of the time accompanied Rev Petro had several talks. his nephew and with whom Thenephew and his companion had arrived to Vienna on a tourist trip and there for 5 days. The meeting with the nephew in Vienna was afranged by correspondence Abetween Knikklev HOLYN-KY and his brother of Avov. Incidentally, Rev. Petro's brother had visited him in Hunich for six weeks in 1965/66 during "Christmas period". As kev ACLYNONY was now told by Subject it had been actually through his efforts that "authorities" had allowed his brother and now his nephew to see him. In Vienna Rev ECLYMSKY was contacted by his nephew on tellephone. in a letter to him Rev HOLYNSKY gave him the number of St Barbara Churck in Vienna which he had to use for that purpose. The meetings Mev HomYNSKY had had with his nephew and Subject took usually place in a restaurant near St Darbara.

2. Subject introduced himself as Professor of modern History of Galicia, Bukovina and Carpathoukraine at Lvov University, and a colleague of Holynskyy's nephew from the time of their studies at Lvov and Leningrad Universities. Subject is 38 years old, claims to stem from VORONTAKY, "Take property valuage of PAVLIV from which hev HRWNIOKH comes..." According to Subject he is even a far relative of Rev HRYNIOKH whom he had seen when he was 8 or 19 years old.

( N.B. According to parce there is no village VORONIAKY near

مدين الم

74-124-29/3

-2-

PAVLIV\*. nor does he remember any relative by the name KOSTIUK.)

About his father Subject told Rev HOLYNSKY that he had been appointed chairman of silrada in 1944 and for that killed by banderivtsi. But he (Subject) " had no hate for anybody for that, banderivtsi had done much harm to the peole, they murdered populace asol Subject said that as a matter of fact, even here he was afraid he could/harmed... Rev HOLYNSKY explained to him that he had nothing to fear and that banderivtsi were Ukrainian patriots too aso.

Soon the conversation turned to Rev HRYNIOKH again. Subject said that "Rev HRYNIOKH was politically involved, very actively, and hated the Soviet Union ..." Res HOLYNSKY interrupted him that " so was it in the past, mainly during the war, anyway Rem Hruniokh is a great patrict and not a banderivets...

To that Subject replied that it was well known to him that Rev Hryniokh has now nothing to do with banderivtsi because he has red with them. He knows about it because he reads all emigre press , even Khrystiyansky Holos to which Rev Holynsky is contributing.

According to Rev HOLYNSKY . Subject mentioned first the name of Rev HRYNIOKH and he was theone who turned to this topic several times.

About his wife Subject said that she was from West Ukraine. a physician by profession, probably also an atheist though she has a sentiment for religion and church and "who knws maybe even has baptized my two sons".

3. Subject did not deny Russification - policy in the Ukraine but in his opinion the preservation of Ukrainian Edentity, their language and culture depended above all on Ukrainians themselves. And in this respect he saw no cause for pessimiem. He mentioned Lvov University where Ukrainian is heard all over the place, " all our students and professors use Ukrainian".

VORONIAKY is in Zolochiv r-n, and Pavliv - in Radekhivsky r-n, both in Lvov oklast. Sold

Z SILIT

He knows very well about it because he also belongs to a University Commission which decides on admittance of students. "And we do all we can to enable our peasant children to study". As an example he pointed at himself, adding, that of course, the fact his father had been killed by banderivtsi, helped him to finish his studies in Lvov and Leningrad.

To Rev. HOLYNSKYY'S remark that there was no Ukrainian state and the Ukrainian SSR could not be considered as such in any way, Subject replied : " We are a Union Republic and without Russian help we wouldn't have neither Galicia, nor Bukovina and Carpatho-Ukraine. The government in Moscow could have easily given those territories to people's democracies - Rumanian, Hungarian , and Czechoslovak. Today all our territores are together and in will depend above all on ourselves what kind state our republic will be. Don't forget the fact that today in the Politbureau, in Moscow, there are 12 Ukrainians among its 21 members, and they are conscious Ukrainians. Of course, they have to take care of the whole Union and not only of one Republic ... " Rev. HOLYNSKY interjected that this is the same story as with Peter the Great when Ukrainians have been building a Russian Empire but there has been little benefit for Ukraine out of that ... Subject let him not finish and said : " Now the times are different and therefore no such comparisons are to the point. We are in a huge union and without it we could hardly exist ... "

4. The destruction of Ukrainian Catholic Church in West Ukraine Subject described as a direct result of the collaboration of Ukrainian bishops with Germans. "At present the party knows about the priests who/refused to convert to Orthodoxy and continue illegally their religious practices but does not interfere with it".

"It is also known that Ukrainian/attend Roman -Catholic Cathedreal..."
In His Subject's opinion, Cardinal WYSZYNSKI is a Polish chauvinist and enemy of Ukrainian people.

and the same of th

So is the Moscow Patriarch who even now calls himslef the Patriarch of "all Russiag" " Of Course, it is not dangerous for Ukrainian communists but it's about time to finish all that off ..."

5. Subject complained that emigre press is writing much "that is not true". " Incidentally, you help us a lot and could help even more here abroad , in our efforts to neutralize Russification but you have to write wisely, without hatred against Russians, including those to whom we should be grateful for so many things ... " Subject stressed cultural acievements in the Ukraine, the significance of recent developments in the literature aso. On this occasion he gave Rev. Holynsky a poetry collection by Symonenko and Holynsky replied that Symonenko had already been twice published abroad and he (Rev. Holynsky) regarded him as a great Ukrainian Subject seemed to be very moved by these words and said that patriot. he was very happy about their consensus about Symonenko's patriotism. Subject added that it was a pitty Symonenko was first published abroad but the fact is that there are many works being circulated in manuscripts in the Ukraine nowadays and often they reach abroad before their printing in the Ukraine. Subject stressed that Symonenko is " our great Ukrainian poet and patriot" several times.

6. Subject denied that he was watching Holynsky's nephew, they a were together because they were both from Lvov are whereas the others about 80 tourists altogether were from Leningrad and other cities. And there was a wish that they want walk in the streets in pairs.

They arrived in Vienna by the ship Dunay which sailed from the Black Sea along Danube.

According to Rev. Holynsky, Subject gave him and his nephew plenty of opertunity to talk alone.

7. Subject assured Rev. Holynsky that he was very much impressed and pleased by their meeting because he did not expect such a tolerant and nice praest as Rev Holynsky turned out to be

SIGNIT

In his turn, he wants to assure Rev Holynsky that there is a referent attitude to religion on the part of the party and as an example he told him a story which he asked him " to keep among ourselves".

It happened recently at Lvov University that at a session of the Senate a known professor and old party member disclosed suddenly to his colleagues that after having read various books and made consideration, he came to conclusion that God existed. He was not going to conceal his new convictions and loyally informed about them his colleagues. Now it is up to them what they will do with him. He is ready to accept any consequences including his expulsion from professorial staff and the party, nevertheless the considered it to be his duty to make this disclosure. The S nate decided to keep him in his position and asked him only not to dissiminate his convictions among students. As to his party membership, the matter will be referred to proper party authorities and will be decided upon later on.

- 8. Subject did some sightseing with Rev Holynsky and his nephew, they went to St Stephan, St. Barbara Church, and other places. Defore departure of the ship there was a good-by at a cafe near the harbor. Subject and Holynsky's nephew brought for Rev Holynsky various gifts: horilka, Champagne, mushrooms aso. The nephew brought a golden watch for Rev Holynsky's wife. The parting seene was very moving, the nephew kissed the Reverend and Subject did it, too. At that moment Subject became so emotional, that he took his FED-camera and put it on Rev, Holynsky's shoulders, saying "taby it from me as a souvenir of our meeting and unforgetable conversations".
- 9. In Rev. Holynsky's opinion, Subject impressed him as a very intelligent person, Ukrainian patriot though party member, (he described him as rather a communist idealist), sincere, humane, openminded. They discussed various topics incl. the question of freedom and personal liberties, life in the West, Ukrainian mentality aso.

74-124- 29/3