

21. AECASSOWARY:

a. Of the three groups operating in Rome, the AECASSOWARIES were by far superior. This to a large extent may have been due to the leadership of AECASSOWARIES 2 and 29 both of whom can be considered mature and professional operators. After the arrival of AECASSOWARY 29 in Rome, he became the chief of operations thus freeing AECASSOWARY 2 of the day-to-day contacts and permitting him to devote more time to planning. The AECASSOWARY reports prepared by AECASSOWARY 29 summarizing the handwritten documents of the various individuals participating in this operation are now being translated by AECASSOWARY 15 so that the information in these reports has not as yet been collated. Preliminary estimates, however, would seem to indicate that this group came up with at least eight target personalities and that they identified at least three or four RIS types.

b. The incipient operation described in reference aimed at a fnu KRAYNANYTSIA never really got off the ground. We did not find anyone whose name even remotely resembled that of the alleged KRAYNANYTSIA. The eyewitness report on this individual stemmed from a George HAROSTEJ who allegedly saw KRAYNANYTSIA at the airport when the latter arrived in Rome. Either George HAROSTEJ invented this information or else KRAYNANYTSIA had in the meantime changed his name. That such an individual did in fact exist at one time was attested to by Rev. (fnu) (possibly Ivan) BARAN but no amount of our checking the documents and

and the AECASSOWARIES's debriefing various Soviet athletes, uncovered any trace of this individual at the Games.

27 Oct 1960 Memo to C/ISR Re
Rome Olympics
H. Cohen -
E -

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3828
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007