

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

FROM:

RID/ *WJ/AM*

NOTE: In the absence of comments or indication of action taken, this cover sheet will be destroyed when the document is filed.

COMMENTS

| TO | ROOM NO. | DATE | | OFFICER'S INITIALS |
|-----|-------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------|
| | | RECEIVED | FORWARDED | |
| 1. | | | | |
| 2. | | | | |
| 3. | <i>SR/3 Ca</i> | <i>19</i> | <i>SEP 1960</i> | |
| 4. | | | | |
| 5. | <i>SR/6 (Bio J.P.)</i> | <i>29</i> | <i>SEP 1960</i> | |
| 6. | | | | |
| 7. | <i>SR/3/CA 27F-2263</i> | | | |
| 8. | | | | |
| 9. | | | | |
| 10. | | | | |
| 11. | | | | |
| 12. | | | | |
| 13. | | | | |
| 14. | | | | |
| 15. | | | | |
| 16. | | | | |
| 17. | | | | |
| 18. | | | | |
| 19. | | | | |
| 20. | RID/FI | 1400L | | |

RECORD COPY

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3828
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

| | | | |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| ENCLOSURE | ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | INDEX <input type="checkbox"/> | FILE NUMBER <i>74-124-29/3</i> |
| DATE MICROFILMED | DOCUMENT DATE <i>12 Sept 60</i> | DOCUMENT NUMBER <i>64</i> | EGFA-31866 |

00532

UARGO INC., DEEP RIVER, CONN.

DISPATCH

SECRET

FORM 53b

TO Chief, SR
Chief, WE Chief, RE COS, Germany

74-124-29/3

FROM Chief of Base, Frankfurt

12 September 1960

SUBJECT
REDWOOD AERODYNAMIC
Transmittal of AECASSOWARY 29 Reports

DE "438" - (CHECK OFF ONE)
MARKED FOR INDEXING
X NO INDEXING REQUIRED
INDEXING CAN BE DONE BY QUALIFIED NO. TECH. STAFF

ACTION REQUEST
FYI

REFERENCE(S)

1. We are forwarding under separate cover the remaining reports concerning the Historians Congress in Stockholm which were written by AECASSOWARY 29. One copy is for Headquarters and the other for AECASSOWARY 15. The first group of reports were forwarded by [] under OSSA-9823, 2 September 1960.

2. We have not had a chance to discuss the content and form of these reports with AECASSOWARY 29 because he spent just a few hours in Frankfurt after the Stockholm operation on the way to the Rome Olympics. We are of the opinion that both content and form can be improved and made more operationally valuable. Although this is the first example we have seen of AECASSOWARY 29's approach to an operation of this sort and we should not be overly critical, we think AECASSOWARY 29 is incorporating information concerning the subject matter of the conference which is not of very great value except in direct response to requirements from Headquarters.

3. We would appreciate any comments you may wish to make on the reports.

[]

APPROVED []

ATTACHMENT: USC

DISTRIBUTION
2-SR w/atts
1-EE w/o/atts
2-WE w/o/atts
2-COS w/o/atts

CS COPY

74-124-29/3

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|
| FORM 53b 13-57 (20) | USE PREVIOUS EDITION. REPLACES FORMS 57-25, 51-20A AND 51-20 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE. | CLASSIFICATION SECRET | <input type="checkbox"/> CONTINUED | FILE NO. |
|---------------------------|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

S/C

FROM:

RID/AN

NO.

EGFA 31866

DATE

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. | 10. | 11. | 12. | 13. | 14. | 15. | |
|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>SR/3 Ca</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <i>Harry, dispatch came in yesterday. of</i> |
| <i>Asst</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

*74-124-29/3
12 Sept 60*

SUBJECT: V.D. BLAVATSKMI , Prof of antique history of Moscow Univeristy

SOURCE: Dr P from Prof Chubatyi, Mykola, of New Jersey, 250 Franklin Tpk,
Mahwah

DATE: 27 August 1960

1. In my letter of 23 August 1960 S's nationality was mistakenly stated as "Ukrainian". According to Prof Chubatyi S is of Ukrainian origin but his nationality is Russian. Before the WW I his parents went from Galicia to Russia together with some other 150 Ukrainian families and settled down in the region of KHOLM where most of them were completely russified. They were of pro-Russian orientation / "katsapy".

2. Physical description: 65-70, 170 cm, bald, small pointed beard, no spectacles. Speaks french with Slavic accent.

3. An expert on antique history of Russia and Eastern Europe. His paper on 26 August 1960 on " Le processus du développment historique et le rôle des Etats antiques situés au Nord de la Mer Noire" was an outstanding performance from scholar point of view.

SUBJECTS: 1. Prof DANILOV
2. Prof MINTS I.I.
3. Prof NETCHKINA M.V.
4. PISAREV Y.P. /J.P./
5. Prof PORSHNEV R.F.
6. Prof SIDOROVA A.L.
7. Prof Tabagua ,Ilya
8. STEPANOVA E.A.

Source: Dr P

Date: 28 August 1960

1. Prof DANILOV - from KAZAN, 45 - 50, 173 cm, blond slightly undulated hair, high forehead, oval face, fair complexion, speaks German with a strong Slavic accent. Russian.

22 August participated in debate on influence of philosophy on recent historical sciences. S attacked very strongly German historiography and defended Marx. His presentation was mostly propagandistic and rather intransigent.

2. Prof MINTS I.I. - member of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR. Nationality unknown. 60, 165 cm, bald, round full face, slightly pink complexion, stout. Speaks English with accent.

24 August 1960 attacked strongly the German social democracy. His performance was mostly intransigent and full of phrases.

3. Prof NETCHKINA M.V. - member of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Russian. 55, 165 cm, full face, pale complexion, wears horn-rimmed glasses when working, green eyes, red haired.

22 August 1960 attacked very strongly but in a very clever and dialectic way E. Rothacker /German/. Has a perfect knowledge of Marxism and knows how to use it. A perfect dialectician.

27 August 1960 when DJURDIEV, Branislav of Sarajevo, Yugoslavia attacked Stalin for his distortion of Marx S got very angry and almost jumped out of bank.

4. PISAREV Y.P. - "Docent" of History of the Historical Institute of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR. 45, 173 cm, dark brown hair with parting, shortsighted, wears hornrimmed glasses. Speaks German with accent. Russian.

27 August 1960 attacked ZWITTER, Fran of Ljubiana, Yugoslavia for "neglecting the role of masses in history". His performance was full phraseology and very weak.

5. Prof PORSHNEV R.F. - see picture. 27 August 1960 read his paper on "Les rapports politiques de L'Europe occidentale et de l'Europe orientale à l'époque de la guerre de trente ans". Distorted a few facts and was very strongly attacked, among others by Dr Wilhelm Tham, Sweden, Prof Walther KIRCHNER, of USA, and Dr KENTRSHYNSKYI, Sweden / K. is a Ukrainian/. These three ~~xxx~~ gave him really a very hard time.



SUBJECTS: 1. Prof DANILOV
2. Prof MINTS I.I.
3. Prof NETCHKINA M.V.
4. PISAREV Y.P. /J.P./
5. Prof PORSHNEV R.F.
6. Prof SIDOROVA A.L.
7. Prof Tabagua ,Ilya
8. STEPANOVA E.A.

Source: Dr P

Date: 28 August 1960

1. Prof DANILOV - from KAZAN, 45 - 50, 173 cm, blond slightly undulated hair, high forehead, oval face, fair complexion, speaks German with a strong Slavic accent. Russian.

22 August participated in debate on influence of philosophy on recent historical sciences. S attacked very strongly German historiography and defended Marx. His presentation was mostly propagandistic and rather intransigent.

2. Prof MINTS I.I. - member of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR. Nationality unknown. 60, 165 cm, bald, round full face, slightly pink complexion, stout. Speaks English with accent.

24 August 1960 attacked strongly the German social democracy. His performance was mostly intransigent and full of phrases.

3. Prof NETCHKINA M.V. - member of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Russian. 55, 165 cm, full face, pale complexion, wears horn-rimmed glasses when working, green eyes, red haired.

22 August 1960 attacked very strongly but in a very clever and dialectic way E. Rothacker /German/. Has a perfect knowledge of Marxism and knows how to use it. A perfect dialectician.

27 August 1960 when DJURDIEV, Branislav of Sarajevo, Yugoslavia attacked Stalin for his distortion of Marx S got very angry and almost jumped out of bank.

4. PISAREV Y.P. - "Docent" of History of the Historical Institute of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR. 45, 173 cm, dark brown hair with parting, shortsighted, wears hornrimmed glasses. Speaks German with accent. Russian.

27 August 1960 attacked ZWITTER, Fran of Ljubiana, Yugoslavia for "neglecting the role of masses in history". His performance was weak.



F. - see picture. 27 August 1960 read his "Politiques de L'Europe occidentale et de l'Europe de la guerre de trente ans". Distorted and was very strongly attacked, among others by Prof. Tham, Sweden, Prof. Walther KIRCHNER, of USA, and HYNISKYI, Sweden / K. is a Ukrainian/.
F. gave him really a very hard time.

6. Prof SIDOROVA A.L. - Lecturer of the Moscow University, Russian. 48-50, 163-65 cm, middle parting and a cock on the back of the head. Oval almost round face, fair complexion. Slavic type. Speaks french with Russian accent.

25 August 1960 read her paper together with S.D. SKAZKINE on feudalism and capitalism . Her performance was rather weak, too many generalities.

7. Prof TABAGUA , Ilya - Georgian, 42, 172 cm, dark slightly greyish hair, oval face , fair complexion. Speaks French.

24 August 1960 took part in debate on social democracy. Rather weak performance, repeated generalities.

8. STEPANOVA E.A. - Lecturer at the Institute of Marxism-Leninism in Moscow. Russian. 45-50, 158 cm, red haired, parting, full round face, rather fat. Unsympathetic.

24 August 1960 took part in debate. Praised Lenin for his genius and hindsight. Propagandistic performance. Spoke German with accent.

Subject: First names of some of the Soviet Delegates, in Uppsala

Source: Their own registration with the Conference Secretariat in Uppsala

Date: 27 August 1960

1. Prof GOLOBUTSKIJ, Vladimir, Kiev
2. ROZANTSEVA, Nina, Moscow
3. Prof RYBAKOV, Boris, Moscow
4. Prof SIDOROV, Arkadij, Moscow
5. Academician TIKHOMIROV, Mikhail, Moscow

SUBJECT: Prof Vladimir A. HOLOBUTSKYI

SOURCE: Dr P

DATE: 27 August 1960

1. 25 August 1960 Dr Ivan ^YL~~IAK~~ RUDN~~U~~TSKYI approached S and told him that they ~~a~~ in emigration/ are going to publish a Ukrainian Historical Journal because the one issued in Kiev is of no value. S nodded but did not say anything. Then S asked L-R to convey his greetings to OCHE~~RE~~T in New York.

2. At that moment joined them Dr P. After greetings S said that he would like to talk to Dr P very much indeed but wonders if there will be still time. Anyway S promised to see him once more. So far he did not do it. Since that time Dr P has not seen S. Dr P will try to approach^S on excursion to Uppsala on 28 August 1960 if S will be ~~there~~ ~~xxx~~ going too.

XIth International Congress of Historical Sciences, Stockholm, 17 - 28 Aug.

SHORT SUMMARY BASED ON DATA SUPPLIED BY DR P. PROF H AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE UKRAINIAN EMIGREE DELEGATION

1. According to official Soviet lists there were 55 Soviet delegates, out of whom 5 participated at Slavonic Section in Uppsala on 17 - 20 August 1960. The rest of the Soviet Delegation stayed in Stockholm where they were joined by a/m 5 on 20 and 21 August 1960.

The Congress comprised 5 sections and Soviet delegates participated in all of them. Officially the Soviet Delegation was headed by Prof A.A. GOUBER.

Majority of the delegates were of Russian nationality, the rest were Ukrainians, Gergians, Armenians, Azerbajdjanians and Byelorussians, also one representitive of Baltics whose nationality is unknown.

The most aggressive in debates were NETCHKINA, STEPANOVA, DANILOV, MINTS & PISAREV.

Good impression as serious scholars made RYBAKOV, TIKHOMIROV, HOLOBMCKYI and BLAVATSKYI who always spoke to the point and avoided phraseology and other communist slang. Also their attitude to other delegates was on the whole tactful.

2. In Uppsala the Soviet delegates were in defence. They reacted nevertheless very tactfully and without propaganda tricks.

In Stockholm they went over to attack.

22 August 1960 the Soviet delegation together with the satellite-delegations scored their first victory, in the debate on the influence of philosophy on development of recent historical sciences, after E. ROTHACKER'S paper "Die Wirkung der Geschichtsphilosophie auf die neueren Geschichtswissenschaften". This was an evident demonstration of "quantitative suppression" applied by the Soviet block at 13 speakers from the communist delegations and 1 / I. Lysiak Rudnytskyi ~~himself~~ / on the Western side.

24 August 1960 the Soviets and their satellites scored another victory, in debate on Social Democracy, after the paper of H. HAAG "La social democratie allemande e la première guerre mondiale". There were again 19 speakers from the East and 3 from the West.

26 and 27 August 1960 the course of debates changed.

26 August 1960 E.M. ZHUKOV was strongly attacked by the Western delegates for his paper on "The Periodization of World History". There were more speakers on the Western side than on the Eastern. Also the Yugoslavs were strongly supporting the Western point of view and were especially leading in attacking Stalinism and its distortion of Marx. The ratio of the speakers from both sides was about 3:1 in favour of the West.

Prof Hugh Seton-Watson of London, England conducted very skilfully the debate assuring the predominance of Western delegates.

An outstanding performance showed the West Germans and the English. The Americans remained on the whole rather "inconspicuous".

27 August 1960 brought another defeat for the Soviets.

R.F. PORSHNEV's paper on " Les rapports politiques de l'Europe occidentale et de l'Europe orientale à l'époque de la guerre de trente ans" was rather weak and became subject of a very strong attack. Porshnev was completely broken.

To sum up the Congress ended with a clear defeat of the Soviet block. As a result the Soviet delegation left the Conference in low spirits, dissatisfied and dismayed. That made them rather irritable.

All the conference material - papers and debates - will be published and therefore I am not going to bother you with a detailed account of theirs.

3. The Ukrainian emigree delegation consisted of Prof M. CHUBATYI /USA/ - head of the Delegation, Dr Ya. PELENSKY /Pelensky/ /USA/ - its Secretary, Dr Theodore MACKIV /USA/, Dr B. KENTERSHYNKYI /Sweden/, Dr Yurij BORIS /Sweden/, Prof HALAJCHUK, Bohdan /Argentine/, Prof P. FEDENKO /Munich/, and Dr Ivan, LYSIAK RUDNYCKYI who joined the Delegation as a "free lance member" at the last moment.

Those living in the States were included officially in the American Delegation, Prof Halajchuk in Argentinian and Kentershynskiy and Boris in Swedish.

Were unable to come to the Conference though listed officially Prof OHLOBLYN and Dr V. MARKUS / both from ^{the} USA/

The Ukrainian Emigree Delegation presented two papers, both in Uppsala, at Slavonic Session - 1. "Kievan Rus and the Formation of the Three East Slavic Nations" by Prof. Chubatyi on 17 August 1960, and 2. "Mazepa and the Great Northern War" by Dr Kentershynskiy on 18 August 1960.

In his paper Prof Chubatyi defied the present official Soviet theory on unity of primeval Russian nation and Dr Kentershynskiy defended the politics of Mazepa which are condemned by the Soviet historians. Chub's paper was a little bit chaotic and too long. Kent's was excellent.

Besides, all members of the Ukrainian Delegation participated very actively in debates, particularly against the official Soviet point of view. An outstanding performance in debates was given primarily by Dr I. Lysiak Rudnytskyj, Dr Ya Pelenskyi, Dr B. Kentershynskiy, Prof Halaichuk and others. As a result some German and Austrian colleagues offered to Rudnytskyi, Pelenskyi and Kentershynskiy to contribute to German and Austrian historical journals, among others to such as "Jahrbuecher fuer Osteuropaeische Geschichte", Zeitschrift fuer Ostforschung" and "Seculum".

There was no cooperation between the American and the Ukrainian emigree delegations. On the contrary some of the American colleagues /like Prof Byrnes and Pipes/ made privately quite a few derogatory comments on the Ukrainian delegation and Ukrainian problem on the whole - to their German and Austrian colleagues.

Also no member of the Ukrainian emigree delegation was invited to the party at the American Embassy in Stockholm given on 23 August 1960. (Prof Chubatyi received his invitation three days after the party).

4. Directly engaged in our operation were Dr P and Prof H /the latter from 17 - 22 August 1960 only). Their and other members' official duties bound them mostly to some particular sessions corresponding to their specialized qualifications and that was often detrimental to their operational productivity. They simply could not cover all the Five Sections.

The main obstacle was, of course, the attitude of the Soviet delegates which suddenly on 19 August 1960 changed remarkably to the worse. Since ^{then} the Soviets began to avoid the emigrees as well as the others, stayed in groups, and restricted their intercourse with non-Soviets to polite generalities.

Under the circumstances some efforts to exchange books remained without success. The whole PP activity on our part comprised only informations on Ukrainian scientific achievements abroad, on general activities of Ukrainian emigration and its interest in internal situation in Ukraine, and particularly on position of Ukrainian emigration in the United States. Some of the delegates as for instance Prof HOLOBUCKYI - were quite impressed.

The exhibition of books published by the Ukrainian abroad in the central exhibition hall in Stockholm, which also was visited by the Soviet delegates and which is beside the Soviet ~~show~~ stand - was a rather successful demonstration of scientific and publishing work implemented by the Ukrainians abroad.

From the point of view of PP the participation of Ukrainian Emigree Delegation is a success. The presense of Ukrainian scholars itself will surely find echo in Kiev and Moscow - in official circles and in private talks "among intimate friends".

RE: Ukrainian intelligentsia in the Soviet Ukraine

SOURCE: ZELYNSKYI, fnu, Prague, CSR, via Dr I. Lysiak -Rudnytskyi

Date: 27 August 1960

1. During his visit to Prague, CSR I. Lysiak Rudnytskyi met there in August 1960 his friend ZELYNSKYI, fnu, son of Prof ZELYNSKYI. ZELYNSKYI's jnr. wife is Czech but her father was a Ukrainian. ZELYNSKYI jnr is a lecturer at Prague University and his wife ~~mak~~ translates Ukrainian classics into Czech. Both have very good contacts with Ukrainian intellectuals in Kiev and Lviv where they go pretty often.

2. ZELENSKYJ told Rudn. that the present situation in Ukraine gives the Ukrainians many opportunities and chances of which they do not avail themselves. The Ukrainian intelligentsia in particular is very cautious and fears that there still could be a return to some sort of terror in the future. Many people recall the Ukrainization of 1920's and its aftermath and simply say "who can guarantee that they do not want us only to expose ourselves in order to shorten our heads afterwards".

3. According to ZELENSKYI the Ukrainian intelligentsia is looking for anti-regime initiative and moves towards Moscow and Leningrad and in this respect follows usually the suit of their Russian colleagues. It does not dare to manifest too much initiative of their own.

There is a great deal of "ethnographic cultural development" in Ukraine nowadays as this is ~~xxxx~~ considered to be most innocent and reassuring in case of eventual return of terror.

The work of Ukrainian scientists and intellectuals on the whole and especially their contacts with foreign countries are to some extent handicapped by lack of knowledge of foreign languages.

Nevertheless to Zelenskyi's mind in five years the Ukrainian national development in all fields will reach its climax which unavoidably will collide with the present line of Moscow. There is a general conviction that Moscow's attitude at that time will depend to a very great extent on the attitude of the Soviet Ukrainian intelligentsia and on their demands and position.