

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO. OSBW-6798
TO Chief <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	INFO Chief of Base, Frankfurt Chief of Station, Germany		HEADQUARTERS FILE NO. 74-124-29/3
FROM Chief, SR through Chief, WE	DATE 16 AUG 1960		RE: "43-3" - (CHECK "X" ONE)
SUBJECT REDWOOD/AERODYNAMICS Requirements for Historical Sciences Congress	<input type="checkbox"/> MARKED FOR INDEXING		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED
	ACTION REQUIRED Discuss requirements with A/29 and transmit to Hqs any resultant info elicited from Soviet delegates		INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED BY QUALIFIED HQ. DESK ONLY
REFERENCE(S)			
<p>1. Requirements in Attachment A were passed on to AECASSOWARY/29 before his departure, for use at subject conference.</p> <p>2. The requirements in Attachment B are some of those given to AECASSOWARY/2 who discussed them with identity for his use at the Hamburg International Law Biennial. AECASSOWARY/1 is sponsoring identity's trip to the Law Biennial. It is believed that some of these requirements could also be serviced at subject congress and are, therefore, being forwarded. These also were discussed with AECASSOWARY/29.</p> <p>3. It is requested that any significant information elicited from Soviet delegates to subject Congress be cabled and that the remainder be fast pouched.</p>			
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>JW</i></p>			
<p>Attachments:</p> <p>A. Rqmts</p> <p>B. Rqmts</p> <p>C. Iden (U/S/C)</p> <p>11 August 1960</p> <p>Distribution:</p> <p>Orig & 1 - Addressee (w/att. A & B, C USC)</p> <p>2 - CCB, Frankfurt (w/att C USC)</p> <p>2 - CCS, Germany (w/att C USC)</p> <p>1 - RI (w/att)</p> <p>1 - WF/1 (w/att C)</p> <p>2 - SRB (w/att)</p>			
<p>DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2007</p>			
<p>3 attach</p> <p style="font-size: 2em; opacity: 0.5;">COPY</p> <p style="font-size: 1.5em;">74-124-29/3</p>			
FORM 10-57 53 (40)	USE PREVIOUS EDITION. REPLACES FORMS 51-28, 51-28A AND 51-29 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PAGE NO. <input type="checkbox"/> CONTINUED

RECORD COPY

RECORD COPY

SECRET

Att. A. to [] []

a. The June 6th issue of the Soviet periodical VOSEPROSY ISKHOZHII (Problems of History) showed changes in the periodical's editorial board. According to this issue, a new Chief Editor, Trukhanovsky, V. G., had been appointed in the place of the previous Chief Editor, Knyda, S. P.. In addition, Galikov, G. N. was appointed as new Deputy Chief Editor and the names of Matyushkin, N. I., (the former First Deputy Chief Editor), and Borisov, Yu. V., (the former Deputy Chief Editor), no longer appeared on the editorial board list. It is believed that these changes may be significant in view of the fact that in 1956 this magazine was the vehicle for startling reappraisals of early post-revolutionary Communist policies. If possible, source should elicit the following: In each case specify who said what and when.

- 1) any possible explanations for these changes; any data on their significance.
- 2) background on the previous careers and ideology of the new editors, Trukhanovsky and Galikov.

b. Source should attempt to elicit data indicating any current changes in the Soviet interpretation of recent history. What current trends can source detect in any Soviet reappraisal of post-revolutionary history?

c. If the opportunity presents itself, source should attempt to elicit data on what period of Chinese history historians, particularly Soviet historians, are concentrating on. Are the scholars of Chinese history placing greater emphasis on contemporary (post-1949) history or on the pre-1949 period of history? Specify who said what. Include names and biographic data of Soviets involved.

d. Source should watch for, and report on, any conflicts within the historians' community which pertain to the Soviets or to Sino-Soviet relations. Specify who said what. Report on Soviet participation in any such conflicts.

e. Where possible obtain names and biographic data on Soviets whom source meets.

IS COPY

SECRET

attach !
[] []
16 Aug 1960
74-124-29/3

SECRET

Att. B to ()

Questions for Ukrainian Legal Scholar

1. Background: At the February 1958 plenum of the central committee it was announced that a Congress of Kolkhozniks would be convened in the beginning of 1959 in order to revise the Model Kolkhoz Charter of 1935. The Congress never met, and the kolkhoz charter has not been changed.

Questions:

A. Why was the Congress of Kolkhozniks scheduled to be convened in "the beginning of 1959" never held?

2. Background: Interkolkhoz organizations have been formed to perform such tasks as the construction of roads, processing plants, storage warehouses, schools, power plants, etc. The Ukraine leads the USSR in the number of such organizations. However, up to now, these organizations have developed without direct governmental sponsorship or legal authorization. No laws exist regarding the ownership of the property of the interkolkhoz organizations or the payment of labor and such matters.

Questions:

A. Are there any plans to change the Model Kolkhoz Charter of 1935? What kind of changes are anticipated?

B. Are plans underway to codify the activities and organization of interkolkhoz organizations (mezhkolkhoznyye organizatsii)?

3. Background: The kolkhoz unions were designed to administer the activities of the interkolkhoz organizations at the oblast, republic, and national levels. The establishment of kolkhoz unions would have led to the virtual abolition of the Ministry of Agriculture and its subordinate agencies. The radical measure was first proposed by Khrushchev in a memorandum (zapiska) he prepared in 1956 or 1957, at the latest, and was later supported by N. V. Podgorny's formal memorandum (dokladnaya zapiska). The measure received strong support in the Ukrainian press in 1958 and 1959, but was defeated at the December 1959 plenum, despite support by presidium member Belyayev, and candidate members Palyansky, Podgorny, and Kirilenko.

Questions:

A. Why was the proposal to form kolkhoz unions (soyuzy) defeated at the December 1959 plenum? Who were the principal opponents of this reform?

CS COPY

SECRET

attach 2
()
74-124-29/3
16 Aug 1960

SECRET

4. Background: Kirichenko was Khrushchev's understudy in the party secretariat. He was transferred to Rostov less than three weeks after the December 1959 plenum, removed from the secretariat and presidium in May 1960, and removed from Rostov in June 1960.

Questions:

- A. Are plans underway to reactivate the kolkhoz union proposal?
- B. Why was A. I. Kirichenko demoted? Was he involved in the campaign to establish kolkhoz unions?

5. Background: The central committee resolution of March 1959 became the subject of nationwide discussion throughout 1959. However, another resolution was issued after the December 1959 plenum which repeated much of the Staline resolution.

Questions:

- A. Does informant know anything about what transpired at the December 1959 plenum?
- B. Why was a central committee resolution on ideology issued on 10 January 1960 -- Soviet sources state that this resolution was "indissolubly connected" with the December 1959 plenum -- when it merely repeated the already existing central committee Staline (Ukrainian) oblast?

6. Background: Many of Khrushchev's proteges, including the Procurator Rudenko, were advocating this in 1959.

Question:

- A. Who opposed the campaign in late 1958 and early 1959 to bring the "anti-party group" to trial on criminal charges?

IS COPY

SECRET

~~SECRET~~

S/C Att. to C 5

SECRET

**Robson F. HALASCZEK, Ukrainian emigre Professor of International Law
at the University of Buenos Aires.**

SECRET

attach 3
C 5
74-124-29/3
16 Aug 1960