

VIA: Air  
(SPECIFY AIR OR SEA POUCH)

SECURITY INFORMATION

DISPATCH NO. MGM-A-08406

**SECRET**  
CLASSIFICATION

TO : Chief, EE  
Chief, SR

DATE: 11 February 1952

FROM : Chief of Station, Frankfurt *RF*

SUBJECT: GENERAL - REDSOX/Operational/AERODYNAMIC

SPECIFIC - 0 Transmittal of Contact Report

Attached hereto is a contact report covering a meeting held 4 February 1952 between the case officer, CASSOWARIES 3 and 4. The meeting concerned a note received by CASSOWARY 3 from CAVATINA 2 which contained CAVATINA proposals for a political rapprochement and for resolving the problems of communications with the homeland.

[ ]  
*RSP*

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2007

*BX 20  
ful 6*

AN	<i>RF</i>
AB	<i>X</i>
IN	
PS	
BX	
DES	NFD
FI	

**CS COPY**

Removed from Project *Aerodynamics*  
CS Classification: *74-124-29/5-*  
JOB # *69-425783*  
Box: ~~20~~ *Ful 6*  
*20 Ful 6*  
*1 att.*

Distribution:

- ~~3-EE~~ w/att. (in tripl)
- 2-MOB w/att. (in dupl)
- 1-COS w/att.
- 1-CSOB/K w/att.

**SECRET**  
CLASSIFICATION

*74-124-29/3*

**IR COPY**

SECRET

Attach. 11 to MORG-A

08406

C O N T A C T   R E P O R T

Case Officer Meeting with CASSOWARIES 3 and 4, 4 February 1952

1. At CASSOWARY 3's request, [ ] met him and CASSOWARY 4 at their safe-apartment in Munich on 4 February 1952. CASSOWARY 3 explained that the last conference between himself, CASSOWARY 4, CAVATINA 2 and 5 on 25 January 1952, was held in order to formulate a basis for settling the conflict between CASSOWARY 1 and CAVATINA 6. At that time, CASSOWARY 3 requested CAVATINA 2 to prepare for the next meeting CAVATINA 6 proposals for a political rapprochement and for resolving the problem of communications with the homeland. CASSOWARY 3 then informed the case officer that, on 2 February 1952, he had received a note signed by CAVATINA 2 in the name of CAVATINA 6, containing the CAVATINA 6 proposals requested by CASSOWARY 3. CASSOWARY 3 was irked that CAVATINA 2, after carrying on oral discussions on 17, 23 and 25 January, should suddenly resort to a written memorandum, thereby placing the negotiations on a more rigid and complex plane.

2. The first part of the note presents the CAVATINA 6 proposal for a provisional settlement of the CASSOWARY-CAVATINA political conflict, pending a homeland decision. CAVATINA 6 declares that the relationship between itself and CASSOWARY 1 should be the same as that which exists between CAWNPORE 1 and 2, i.e. members of CAVATINA 6 should hold positions in CASSOWARY 1. (Case Officer's Note: CASSOWARY 3 explained that he had turned down a 25 January CAVATINA proposal to co-opt immediately two members of CAVATINA 6 into CASSOWARY 1. CASSOWARY 3 told the CAVATINAS that they would first have to prove by tangible evidence in their propaganda, publications and general activity, that they have changed their methods and attitudes. Otherwise, immediate co-optation would carry over to CASSOWARY 1 all of the unpleasant and undesirable stigmas which are presently associated with CAVATINA 6.)

3. The 2 February CAVATINA note goes on to list the respective responsibilities of the two groups as specified in the homeland proposal (mandate) of October-November 1950, and announces CAVATINA readiness to abide by these.

4. Furthermore, since the members of CAWNPORE 2 who were sent abroad in 1944 (CASSOWARIES 3, 5, 9, 10, 11, etc.) are not in the CAVATINA organization of their own volition, members of CAVATINA 6 must hold posts in CASSOWARY 1 in order to represent the policies and interests of CAWNPORE 2. The members of CAVATINA 6 who will represent CAWNPORE 2 must be responsible to CAVATINA 6 for their actions in CASSOWARY 1. In other words, CAVATINA 6 retains the right to recall and replace any of its members sent to CASSOWARY 1 to represent CAWNPORE 2. (Case Officer's Note: The members of CAWNPORE sent abroad in 1944 were excluded from the CAVATINA organization in 1948. The October-November mandate, however, does not recognize their expulsion from CAWNPORE 2, hence the entire logic of the CAVATINA stand is misdirected.)

5. CAVATINA 6 will insist that all collaboration of a political or general nature between CASSOWARY 1 and CAVATINA 6 must be discussed and settled within the forum of CASSOWARY 1 in which CAVATINA 6 will have its

removed from Project *Aerodynamic*  
S Classification: 74-124-29/5-  
OB # 69-425/83  
ox: 22 Fold: 25-H/watt to MORG-A

SECRET

8406

CS COPY

74-124-29/3

**SECRET**

-2-

representatives. There will be no co-operation between the two groups unless the CAVATINA members of CASSOWARY 1 are in full accord with the proposals presented. All activity of CASSOWARY 1 must be co-ordinated and passed upon by the CAVATINA members.

6. The latter part of the note contains the response to CASSOWARY 3's request for the CAVATINA solution to the problem of contact with the homeland. CAVATINA 6 takes the following stand:

a) There exists, at present, one line of communication with the homeland. This line is headed and controlled by the contacts section of CAVATINA 6 and will continue under its aegis in the future.

b) The contacts section of CAVATINA 6 is willing to transmit to and from the homeland by its own means and methods any pouches which CASSOWARY 1 may wish to send. This service would also include the movement of individual representatives of CASSOWARY 1 to the homeland.

c) CASSOWARY 1 must cede to the CAVATINA contacts section all matters relative to communications with the homeland.

d) The CAVATINA contacts section will transmit and/or send CASSOWARY pouches and/or personal representatives to the homeland, provided that the CASSOWARIES make no further attempts on their own to establish contact with the homeland. ~~to~~

(Case Officer's Note: The logic of the CAVATINA stand on the communications problem is also incomprehensible in view of the fact that they state earlier in the 2 February note (cf. paragraph 3 above) that they are willing to abide by the division of responsibilities as set forth by the homeland in the October-November mandate of 1950. According to that mandate, communications and any other activity in direct support of the homeland struggle is the responsibility of CASSOWARY 1.)

7. Forwarded under separate cover are three CAPELIN reports covering the CAVATINA-CASSOWARY negotiations held on 17, 23 and 25 January 1952. CAPELIN received his information from CAVATINA 5 who, along with CAVATINA 2, CASSOWARY 3 and 4, participated in all three discussions.

[ ]

**SECRET**

74-124-29/3