	<u> </u>	CLASSIFICATION	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO.
DISPATCH		S-E-C-R-E-T	EGFW-7466
TO .	Chief of Base, Frankfurt COS, Germany		
			74-6-65/1 7 AUG 1950
FROM			DATE
	Chief. SR		18 August 1958
SUBJECT			RE: "43-3" (CHECK "X" ONE)
	REDSKIN/AEMANNER		MARKED FOR INDEXING
	Renewal of Project	·	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
ACTION REQUIRED	Cable Comments	DECLASSIFI	ED AND RELINDENTE SAN BE JUDGED
REFERENCE(S)	EGFA 22089, dated	SOURCES MET	THOOSEXEMPTION 3828 IMES DISCLOSURE ACT

- 1. The study of the field project outline submitted in the reference has ledd us to decide to request termination of Project AEMANNER effective 31 January 1959. In order to fulfill previous, made, commitments during the termination period we are requesting simultaneously \$5,426 be authorized for the support of AEMANNER activity up to 31 January 1959.

 I will become the principal agent of a new project if this can be justified on the basis of his activity. This will require the approval of a new field project outline before 31 January 1959. Deadlines and new cryptonyms will be forwarded after termination of AEMANNER is approved. Other assets which will not be terminated, such as mail drops will be transferred to the projects in which they are used or to a new support project.
- 2. There are several reasons for our decision to terminate AEMANNER. This project as originally written was devised to support REDSKIN operations into Lithuania and other REDSKIN operations using Lithuanians in the yest. However, as presented in the referenced field project outline, its objectives have been modified to include other activities in addition to the recruitment of internal assets in Lithuania. These other activities include support for operations under AECOMPASS, CAVENUE, and other Baltic projects. Thus, AEMANNER is no longer strictly serving its original purpose.
- 3. While originally the Baltic Section supported its FI operations with single projects for each country, we are now leaning toward a project to support the activities of individual principal agents. This change in project administration has been prompted by the fact that many FCB Baltic principal agents are now engaged in activities other than those for which they were originally recruited. With roof projects covering a diversity of activity, it is almost impossible to measure the cost and efficiency against the productivity of an agent. With the transfer from project ARMANNER of .L 7, 6 コ・ビ only one active full time agent, AEMANNER/6, remains on this project. According to your field project outline ARMANNER/6 spends the greater part of his time in other than Lithuanian operations. We note that during the past year he has been engaged in AECOMPASS, CAVENUE, and AEROOT. Because of this we believe that it is in order to request a project to support his activities exclusively. Besides the payment for mail drops it appears from your field project outline that other activity which you proposed to carry on under AEMANNER is developmental or one-time operations and can be supported from OOA funds.

FORM USE PREVIOU 10-57 53 REPLACES FO 51-28, 51-28A (40) WHICH ARE	RMS AND 51-29 CECRE	PAGE NO.
L		,

	<i>y.</i>	CLASSIFICATION	DISPATCH SYMBO	AND NO.
CONTINUAT DISPATO		S-E-C-R-E-T	EGFW-7b	66
be written is our pro 31 Januar	pposed budget	or other benefits are for AEMANNER for the p	to be paid. The middle August	e following 1958 to
	Agent Salar	ies [\$ 150	
			2,750 72	
			72 72	
			72 72 72	
		·	. 72	
	•		72 72	
•	Agent Trave	1	•	\$3, 476 300
	Operational	Expenses		500
	Safahouse R			450
	FEFTERMIT	Project Sefehouse		700
		. 103000 0000000		\$5,426
5. We re-	queet your co	mments on the above by	cable.	
19 August	1958			
2-COS G	rankfurt			
1-RI 2-SR/2/E	Baltic			
SR/2/Bal	tic: L 1;dg	g		
			•	
		•		
		CLASSIFICATION		PAGE
1	USE PREVIOUS EDITION. REPLACES FORMS 51-28, 51-28A AND 51-29	S.E C R E T	CONTIN	
	WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.			