

SECRET

25 November 1959

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, War Plans Staff

SUBJECT : Penetration Preparatory Actions for Wartime Operations

1. Reference is made to your memo of 15 October 1959, subject: "Penetration Preparatory Actions for Wartime Operations Contained in the Global War Plan".

2. Summarized below are responses to questions reflected on separate sheet attached to your memorandum.

- a. SR is responsible for only one contingency of general war or local emergency will be furnished by SR Division. SR components or individual bodies located in other overseas stations will, along with personnel of the operating Divisions having area jurisdiction over the countries concerned, receive alert instructions from the Senior War Planners whose area interests are involved.
- b. A list of assets trained in our AHERFOT Program is maintained on a current basis. Plans for the activation of such assets have been prepared and are in the process of refinement.
- c. Yes. SR Division has, as of this writing, recruited and trained 40 agents and is holding them in a state of readiness for wartime or emergency use.
- d. It is estimated that during the initial stages of a war SR's overall military and civilian personnel mobilization requirements will not differ materially from current needs. Military and civilian mobilization requirements for the "build-up" period for overseas SR components, including the are under study.

SECRET

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 2520
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

SECRET

- e. Yes.
- f. The initial draft of the Area War Plan for Alaska prepared by in close consultation with the officers from the Staff of has been received in headquarters. After consultation with them (these recommendations for adjustments will be incorporated with comments prepared in the Division) the Area War Plan was transmitted to the Plans Staff for further consideration. Upon receipt of responses from the Plans Staff, the Plan will be returned to the Staff with request that it be redrafted by them, *Amendments* after further consultation with Alaska.
- g. Yes. Besides the AIRSOP Program referred to above, we maintain a program directed toward the maintenance and refinement of a long-term capability in the illegal intelligence/counterintelligence field for use in wartime or emergency which, regardless of the situation today or whatever lack of tactical success we may have had in the **WARSAW** field, will be maintained as a matter of great planning. In the process of maintaining this operational capability, we are maintaining skills, techniques, and exploring various operational approaches as well as new equipment which may be possible but (as well as old) we are investigations of hostile frontiers. Also, improved maritime and air techniques (including electronic collection devices) are being developed which create opportunities for use, subtle, and potentially productive approaches in wartime. Beyond these programs mentioned above, we will continue to refine its capabilities for operating against the USSR and has not wide approximately 1/3 of its operational efforts (as well as budget) for a wide variety of preparatory activities and planning to support the hot war mission of the **Division** services. While it is operationally infeasible to maintain steady or step-by-step assets in the USSR it is, nevertheless, expected that some of the support structures we have laid down in the USSR to back up peacetime operations can be expected to survive in wartime.
- h. Yes. Redeployment plans for SR personnel in the field have been prepared and are periodically re-examined in

SECRET

in order to keep them up to date. An ER schedule of mobilization assignments of its various personnel prepared initially on 8 September 1958 is currently in the process of revision. Beyond this, plans for the conversion to various applications of arms personnel as well as various categories of technical developed or accumulated during operations are currently in the process of refinement. This involves, in part, work being done in connection with the training and maintenance in a state of readiness of various agents under the ALPHABET Program and, in part, the maintenance of ER Division's capabilities in the Illegal (numeric) field.

1. See sub-para 4., 5., and 6. above.
2. See sub-para 8., and 9. above.
3. In the absence of the availability of any specific requirements for Technical Support Personnel it is considered that likely, if required, due to this is this that differs from what we do to provide support for current operational activities. However, we feel that work can and, in fact, is being done to plan for support needs for Technical Support Personnel differing in type from current ER requirements. This planning is going forward within ER Division largely under our ALPHABET and NUMERIC Programs. Simply as a matter of conjecture, it might be stated with some degree of accuracy that in the process of working should be developing and refining our capabilities in the ALPHABET and NUMERIC fields we are planning to support any Technical Support Personnel that materialize in various.

4. Yes. Arrangements have been made with ALPHABET to provide a military unit cover designation for the Ambrose Station.

5. Yes in the sense that funds (including rebates) have been set aside in previous accounts with operations against the USSR. Additionally, caches of rebates have been put down collectively. While these funds were not earmarked specifically or specifically for "the support of CIA wartime missions", they, in most or possibly all cases,

SECRET

would be expected to be available for this purpose.

- h. It is believed that all SR personnel who have military reserve status in any one of the arms or services have been considered in mobilization arrangements with a specific O&A organization. As of this time there are no employees or draftees to be reported to the Director of Personnel.
- i. The two sections state that certain SR's assigned and named Program.
- j. See Section h. above.
- k. This will be done in cooperation with other Agency components, including the Plans Staff, as the need therefore is indicated. To the extent considered feasible, the Area War Plan for Alaska is expected to deal with this problem.
- l. The two sub-grams h., i., j., and k. above.
- m. The investigation of the operational indispensability of including standby assets who would be expected to remain inactive or dormant in the USSR, is an essential element entirely with our program for the development of externally-held assets.
- n. This, in the sense that externally-held assets developed under the ASBROW Program would be expected to serve as support assets for the program (among other roles they have been tasked to play in various) of working in a support capacity to elements of the Army, Service.


SR/OSM/Plans

Distribution:

I - Addressees

- 1 - OSM/BS for retention
- 1 - O/SOB for retention ✓
- 1 - Routed SR/OSM, DSEB, OSR
- 1 - War Plans
- 1 - OSMOS

S-E-C-R-E-T

COMMENTS

25 Nov. 59

CSR/DOB _____

① SR/DOB/COP DEC 59 12

Message Center _____

② Case Officer(s)

SA&E _____

Training _____

SSO & Finance _____

Logistics _____

Security _____

For your info:
 The attached is what finally
 went down as answers to
 questions from the War Plans
 Staff insofar as SR Div. is
 concerned. Some of
 answers were incorporated. Altho
 not exact or perfect at least the
 answers will provide the War Plans
 Staff with general info pertaining
 to our activity.

Mike L

S-E-C-R-E-T

Agent Dispatch
Wartime Emergency

Central Cover Tactical
Support Planning

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007