

SECRET

3 April 1959

Should I submit HCC

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, DOB

SUBJECT : Wartime Intelligence Collection Requirements

- REFERENCES :
- a. Memo dated 1 April 1959 from DC/PI/Plans to SR/PI, same subject
 - b. Thermofax Copies of Requirements Compiled by Theater Commanders

1. In line with our conversation this date I am passing on for review and comments by referenced memo from PI/Plans together with the assembly of requirements prepared by CINCAL, CINCLANT, EUCEM, CINCPAC, CINCSHM, and CINCUSAC. I believe the letter should give you and Ed an idea of what they're looking for in the way of comments. However, to explain a bit further, this is definitely not a requirements capability study. We are not to look at these assemblies of requirements from the point of view of whether we can accept them for planning or programming purposes. What is wanted is any comments SR may have with respect to the suitability of these requirements, considered on a Command-by-Command basis. Regardless of our capabilities the following points can serve as a point of departure for any comments we have to offer:

- a. Does the form and format make sense?
- b. Are the requirements specific enough so that, when we get around to studying them from the point of view of deciding whether we have capabilities to perform against any of these requirements, we can know exactly what they want; similarly, are they specific enough as a basis for mounting a collection operation? (Obviously, where coordinates are given the requirement should be specific enough to enable us to indicate whether we have some capability.)

2. Note that our comments for SR should be in the hands of PI/Plans by 15 April. I haven't had a chance to make any study of these myself

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3928
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

SECRET

SECRET

and would appreciate getting them back from you not later than around 9 April so that I may have a chance to look them over. Per our conversation on 3 April I suggest that any study be geared more to the manner in which a given Command (i.e., CINCAL, EUCOM, CINCPAC, etc.) has compiled its requirements and less with individual specific requirements. The latter effort can come later on when the whole package will have been processed and made available to the Agency officially by the JCS. It is my estimate that, as in the case of the U/W exercise with which we were concerned several months ago, SR would be concerned to at least some degree with the intelligence requirements compiled by CINCAL, EUCOM, and CINCPAC to the exclusion of those compiled by CINCLANT, CISEASIA, and CINCSAC. However, your examination may prove that this is not 100% the case.

[SR/COP/Plans]

Distribution:

- 1 - Addressee v/a (ref. a & b) (TS-153520/1) Routed SR/COP/PI
- 1 - WP/Requirements ✓
- 1 - Chrono

-2-
SECRET

TOP SECRET

1 April 1955

MEMORANDUM FOR: SI/71

SUBJECT: Various Intelligence Collection Requirements

1. Attached are copies of the various intelligence collection requirements compiled by CINCPAC, CINCSALT, MACV, CINCPACFLT, CINCPACFLT, and CINCPACFLT and transmitted to this Agency by the JCS on 28 March 1955. In informal discussions with representatives of the JCS Agency representatives have indicated that these requirements will be returned to the JCS with a request that they be processed in accordance with the recently promulgated JCS Maritime Intelligence Collection Appendix to the Intelligence Annex to the Joint Strategic Capabilities Plan (SI-299-59). SI-299-59 contains within it instructions to the various Commands abroad to coordinate the compilation of their various intelligence collection requirements closely with the respective Senior War Planners, and to follow procedures which in effect are consistent with those the Agency prescribed to the Senior War Planners in OMO-14362 of February 12, 1955. Our response to the JCS will also contain comments concerning the suitability of these requirements as further guidance to the JCS in framing its further instructions to the Commands. We do not plan to indicate, in this written response, our capabilities concerning any of these requirements. The JCS representatives have indicated that they will welcome our comments and will return these requirements to the respective Commands for further processing in accordance with SI-299-59.

2. FI/Plans would appreciate receiving your comments on the suitability and feasibility of these requirements by April 15.

[Redacted]
FI/Plans Group

TOP SECRET